

COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE (2) – MARCH 8, 2022**COMMUNICATIONS**

<u>Distributed March 4, 2022</u>	<u>Item</u>
C1. Presentation material	7
<u>Distributed March 7, 2022</u>	
C2. Memorandum from the Deputy City Manager, Community Services, dated March 8, 2022	13
C3. Cam Milani, Rizmi Holdings Limited, dated March 7, 2022	4
C4. Memorandum from the Deputy City Manager, Planning and Growth Management, dated March 7, 2022	5
<u>Distributed March 8, 2022</u>	
C5. Carrie Gordon, Bell, Bayfield Street, Barrie, dated March 7, 2022	12
C6. Carrie Gordon, Bell, Bayfield Street, Barrie, dated March 7, 2022	11

Disclaimer Respecting External Communications

Communications are posted on the City's website pursuant to Procedure By-law Number 7-2011. The City of Vaughan is not responsible for the validity or accuracy of any facts and/or opinions contained in external Communications listed on printed agendas and/or agendas posted on the City's website.

Please note there may be further Communications.

COMMUNICATION C1.

ITEM NO. 7

COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE (2)

March 8, 2022

STRENGTHENING AND ADVANCING

Small Business and
Entrepreneurship Services

Opening Remarks

*RAPHAEL COSTA, Director
Economic Development*



Vaughan Business and Entrepreneurship Centre (VBEC) Relaunch



Meet the VBEC Team

- **Sydney Skynner**, Entrepreneurship Co-ordinator
 - Summer Company and Starter Company Plus
- **Kate Jung**, Entrepreneurship Co-ordinator
 - Starter Company Plus and Business Advisory
- **Faaria Wali**, Small Business Advisor
 - Business Advisory, Workshops, Seminars and Partner Programming
- **Don De Los Santos**, Manager, Small Business and Entrepreneurship
- In Recruitment, Economic Services Representative
- In Recruitment (2 positions), Small Business Assistant, My Main Street Ambassador

Continuing VBEC Services

Walk-in Services

- General inquiries (walk-in, phone, email)
- Business registration (sole proprietorship and partnership)

Business Consultation

- Business planning
- Business plan review
- Mentorship
- Referrals to other organizations

Entrepreneurship Programs

- Summer Company (15-29)
- Starter Company Plus (18+)

Business Training and Events

- Seminars and workshops
- Vaughan Small Business Week
- Vaughan Business Café

Continuing VBEC Services



It all starts with a plan!
Vaughan launches a NEW virtual business planning series to help entrepreneurs develop their roadmap to success

vaughanbusiness.ca/events

V VAUGHAN
vaughan
ECONOMIC & CULTURAL DEVELOPMENT

Supporting Vaughan's entrepreneurial spirit

vaughanbusiness.ca

vaughan
ECONOMIC & CULTURAL DEVELOPMENT
Small Business & Franchise Support

Celebrating successes from the City's 2021 Summer Company program

Summer Company
DIRECTORY

vaughanbusiness.ca/Summer-Company

V VAUGHAN
vaughan
ECONOMIC & CULTURAL DEVELOPMENT

Vaughan celebrates Small Business Week

Virtual workshops and programs are taking place throughout the week

vaughanbusiness.ca/SBW2021

V VAUGHAN
vaughan
ECONOMIC & CULTURAL DEVELOPMENT

Building Resilience in Times of Change: When to Iterate vs. Pivot

vaughanbusiness.ca/events

V VAUGHAN
vaughan
ECONOMIC & CULTURAL DEVELOPMENT

Virtual business services continue
Snow, rain or shine, the City's Economic Development team is here to support you any time!

vaughanbusiness.ca

V VAUGHAN
vaughan
ECONOMIC & CULTURAL DEVELOPMENT

Supporting Vaughan's local businesses
The City is relaunching the Starter Company Plus program for storefront, office and startup companies

vaughanbusiness.ca/Starter-Company-Plus

V VAUGHAN
vaughan
ECONOMIC & CULTURAL DEVELOPMENT

THE HUB
Ontario



Provincial funding contribution to the City to operate VBEC

- The Economic Development department seeks Council support to enter into Transfer Payment Agreements with the Province and apply for relevant grants to support program delivery.
- The Ontario government has committed to maintaining funding levels for up to three years until March 31, 2025, consistent with the existing agreement term of April 1, 2019 to March 31, 2022.
- Ministry funding supporting SBEC activities has remained stable at **\$323,525 annually** (including a reserved amount of at least \$148,000 towards grant payments to Starter Company Plus and the Summer Company program).



VBEC delivers leading services in the Greater Toronto Area and York Region


Impact of VBEC in 2021

- Assisted with the start and expansion of **239** businesses
- Undertook **790** business consultations
- Responded to **3,133** inquiries
- Provided **78** webinars to **1,568** participants

New VBEC services in 2022

Virtual services continue; in-person services set to resume

- A relaunch of VBEC's mission, vision, values, mandate and overall brand is planned for **June 2022**.
- The VBEC relaunch further aligns with the recruitment effort for a new Economic Services Representative based in the Small Business Entrepreneurship business unit.
- The VBEC will offer services in each commercial community, with service delivered weekly from our Community Centres on a rotating basis to provide support in the community.





New VBEC services in 2022

New program launching: My Main Street, Local Business Accelerator

- Hiring two Main Street Ambassadors (out of only 65 available positions province-wide)
- Support for both new and existing small, independent, for-profit, consumer-serving (B2C) brick-and-mortar business operators, such as retail, restaurants, recreational and personal services
- Non-repayable funding contributions will be made available to small businesses in the form of up to ten grants of **\$10,000** for each of the two main street ambassador areas supported (totaling **20 grants** for companies in Vaughan)

MY MAIN STREET
MA RUE PRINCIPALE



DATE: March 8, 2022

TO: Mayor and Members of Council

FROM: Gus Michaels, Deputy City Manager, Community Services

RE: **COMMUNICATION – Committee of the Whole (2) March 8, 2022**
Item 13, Report No. 12
SIGN BY-LAW RELIEF FOR BUSINESSES

Recommendations

The Deputy City Manager, Community Services recommends:

1. That where reference is made to a recommended requirement of a 100-metre separation between mobile signs, the measurement be replaced with a required separation of 30 metres (or approximately 100 feet), including replacing this reference in Staff Recommendation 1. (a), as follows:
 1. (a) Mobile signs be permitted on an eligible property, in accordance with section 8.10(a) of the Sign By-law, with a minimum distance of 30 metres between such signs, with up to three (3) signs per property, provided that the mobile sign does not directly abut a residentially zoned property;
2. That Table 1 in the report be replaced with the Table in Attachment 1 to this Communication; and
3. That the statement in the Permit Fees section of the report, that reads “Staff have also confirmed that no major municipality in the GTA has reduced fees as part of any regulatory relief” be amended to read “Staff have also confirmed that no major municipality in the GTA, with the exception of Mississauga, has reduced fees as part of any regulatory relief.”

Background

To amend the report on the *Sign By-law Relief for Businesses* to correct a measurement error and to revise Table 1 and a related statement in the report.

Recommendation 1 that provides for a 100m distance between signs was an error, with the intended outcome from the consultation process being to recommend 100 feet (or 30m), not 100m as originally reflected. The revised separation distances do not affect the overall analysis, since in assessing potential impact staff assumed that properties would be eligible for the maximum of three signs per lot.

Recommendation 2 follows from the need to clarify and/or correct some of the information in Table 1. This included the information that pertained to the number of signs permitted per lot (as opposed to per business premises as intended). The effect of these revisions is to show that most municipalities in York Region permit more than one sign per lot, using either lot frontage or separation as the standard to determine the number of signs allowed. The revisions further support the intent of providing a reasonable measure of relief that supports the economic recovery of the business community, while ensuring and balancing the greater public interest, safety and wellbeing of the community.

Recommendation 3 follows from one of the amendments made to Table 1 containing the jurisdictional comparisons.

While staff strive for the most accurate information to be provided at all times, COVID and much of the relief that various municipalities provided have taken various forms that include but were not limited to by-law amendments, while others were proceeded upon without the need to formally provide an amendment to their various by-laws. This has added to the difficulties in ensuring access to up-to-date accurate information. This communication and the revised attachment provide further accuracy of the current state of the various municipalities with respect to how they permit/manage signs, accordingly. Additionally, the value of further engagement with industry stakeholders assisted staff in establishing the recommendations contained within, that staff believe will provide the necessary support for the industry and our business community.

For more information, contact Rudi Czekalla-Martinez, Manager, Policy and Business Planning, ext. 8782.

Attachments

1. Table 1: Jurisdictional Scan of GTA Mobile Sign Regulations

Respectfully submitted by:

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read "Gus Michaels".

Gus Michaels,
Deputy City Manager, Community Services

Attachment 1

Table 1: Jurisdictional Scan of GTA Mobile Sign Regulations

Municipality	Fees	Number of signs	Time limits
Vaughan	\$154.00 (in 2022)	One per street line to a max of 2 per lot	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Max of 4 per year - 21 days per permit - At least 21 days between permits
Toronto	\$169.65 (including HST)	One (1) per business, with no mobile sign within 23 metres of another	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Max of 3 per year - 30 days per permit - At least 30 days between permits
Mississauga	\$110.00 (on line); \$120.00 (counter service). Fees waived until Sep. 2022	One (1) per street line of a property, extended to four (4) as part of emergency relief	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Max of 6 per year - 21 days per permit (now extended to 30 days)
Kitchener	\$90.00 (waived until end of pandemic)	One (1) sign per lot	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Max of 6 per year - 30 days per permit
Brampton	\$125.00	Four (4) signs per property	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - No limit per year - 21 days per permit - No black-out period
Markham	\$189.00	One (1) per business, with no mobile sign within 20 metres of another	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Max of two 21-day permits or three 14-day permits per year - At least 90 days between permits
Richmond Hill	\$118.00	One (1) sign per property, or up to two (2) signs if a 50-metre separation between signs can be achieved	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - No set limit - 21 days per permit - At least 30 days between permits
Aurora	\$100.00 (with fees being reduced by 50%)	One (1) sign per lot, or up to two (2) signs if the lot has a frontage of 75 or more metres (or 12.2 or more metres if a corner lot)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - No set limit, but no more than 12 weeks in total in a calendar year

King	\$131.00	One (1) sign per property, with up to 2 additional signs allowed if the street frontage exceeds 350 metres	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - No set limit - 30 days per permit - At least 30 days between permits
Newmarket	\$110.00 (fees were waved in 2020 and 2021, but were resumed in 2022)	One (1) sign per business premises, with multiple signs permitted depending on lot frontage (from 6 with 200 ft frontage to 15 with 1,000 ft frontage)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - No set limit - 30 days per permit - no black-out period
Whitchurch-Stouffville	\$95.00 (fees waived for 2020 and 2021)	One (1) sign per lot, or up to two (2) signs if the lot has a frontage of more than 100 metres (or 25 metres if a corner lot)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Max of 2 permits per year - 15 days per permit - no black-out period
Georgina	\$50 (30-day); \$100 (60-day); and \$200 (90 day)	One (1) sign permitted on a frontage of less than 150 metres. If more, can have 1 additional sign. 1 additional sign also permitted if the lot abuts 2 or more highways, or if a lot contains more than 8 business premises.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Max of 240 days per year - 30, 60 or 90 days per permit - No black-out period

March 8, 2022**Assunta Ferrante**

From: Clerks@vaughan.ca
Sent: Monday, March 7, 2022 11:21 AM
To: Assunta Ferrante
Subject: FW: [External] Draft York Region Official Plan Comments Item 4 Committee of the Whole
Attachments: Comprehensive Zoning By-Law

From: Cam Milani [REDACTED]
Sent: Monday, March 07, 2022 11:02 AM
To: Clerks@vaughan.ca
Cc: Maurizio Bevilacqua <Maurizio.Bevilacqua@vaughan.ca>; Rosanna DeFrancesca <Rosanna.DeFrancesca@vaughan.ca>; Gino Rosati <Gino.Rosati@vaughan.ca>; Linda Jackson <Linda.Jackson@vaughan.ca>; Haiqing Xu <Haiqing.Xu@vaughan.ca>; Nick Spensieri <Nick.Spensieri@vaughan.ca>; Tony Carella <Tony.Carella@vaughan.ca>; Sandra Yeung Racco <Sandra.Racco@vaughan.ca>; Marilyn lafrate <Marilyn.lafrate@vaughan.ca>; Alan Shefman <Alan.Shefman@vaughan.ca>; Mario Ferri <Mario.Ferri@vaughan.ca>; Wendy Law <Wendy.Law@vaughan.ca>
Subject: [External] Draft York Region Official Plan Comments Item 4 Committee of the Whole

Hi,

Please accept these as our comments on the above noted item.

We own or have an interest in 350 acres of land located on the north and south sides of Kirby Rd between Dufferin St and Bathurst known as the Rizmi lands. The Rizmi Lands are fully transitioned under the Oak Ridges Moraine Conservation Act as confirmed by the OLT in May 2003 and have been identified by Vaughan, York and the Province as a major aggregate resource area for the GTA on numerous documents including but not limited to, the York Regional Official Plan, the VOP 2010, the Aggregate Resources Inventory Paper 179 (link here for reference: <http://www.geologyontario.mndm.gov.on.ca/mndmfiles/pub/data/imaging/arip179/ARIP179.pdf>). Such aggregate resources are protected pursuant to my previous email sent to Vaughan Council attached to this email.

The current report requests Council to delete aggregate resources in the new Regional Official Plan. We view this recommendation as an act of bad faith considering our ongoing negotiations on these lands, which are literally being dealt with by this Council on the same day.

I would recommend that Council defer any decisions on these lands for the time being.

Please act accordingly.

Cam Milani

Assunta Ferrante

From: Cam Milani [REDACTED]
Sent: Wednesday, October 20, 2021 12:33 PM
To: Clerks@vaughan.ca; Rosanna DeFrancesca; Linda Jackson; Gino Rosati; Mario Ferri; Maurizio Bevilacqua; Alan Shefman; Sandra Yeung Racco; Tony Carella; Marilyn Iafrate
Cc: Matthew Di Vona; Haiqing Xu; Brandon Correia
Subject: Comprehensive Zoning By-Law
Attachments: Map 7 2009.pdf; Rizmi Vaughan Motion 350 Acres VOP 2010[3].pdf; OMB Decision May 6 03 Eger.pdf

Members of Council,

Further to our correspondences to staff over this process, please find attached a depiction of the operative Regional Official Plan Aggregate Map 7 as it relates to 250 Acres of Land known as Part Lot 30 and Lots 31 Concession 2 ("250 Acres"). The 250 Acres are shown partially as "R(C)". This map clearly illustrates the lands are intended for Aggregates extraction. The 250 Acres contain tens of millions of tons of aggregates above and below the water table. As such, the comprehensive zoning by-law should be zoning the lands Mineral Aggregate Operation Zone for a gravel pit.

The "R(C)" represents our "stayed appeals", which also unfortunately is not accurately reflected on Map B-4. The original appeals applied to 350 acres of lands, however, 100 acres in the SW corner were resolved pursuant to a Ministerial Order in 2015. The balance of the 250 Acres remain designated Aggregates and as such, should be zoned in accordance with the Official Plan.

The PPS states the following with respect to Aggregates:

"2.5.1 Mineral aggregate resources shall be protected for long-term use and, where provincial information is available, deposits of mineral aggregate resources shall be identified.

2.5.2.1 As much of the mineral aggregate resources as is realistically possible shall be made available as close to markets as possible. Demonstration of need for mineral aggregate resources, including any type of supply/demand analysis, shall not be required, notwithstanding the availability, designation or licensing for extraction of mineral aggregate resources locally or elsewhere. "

Aggregates "shall be protected". This is an obligation under the PPS. It is not a choice. As you can tell on the attached Map 7, our aggregates are the southernmost identified resource making it the closest to the markets in all of York Region. The Aggregates on the 250 Acres are of paramount importance to York Region, the GTA and the entire Province. Proximity to markets due to transportation and environmental impacts drive those policies. Any supply demand analysis requirements have also been waived by the province leading to a clear and simple path to extraction.

"2.5.2.3 Mineral aggregate resource conservation shall be undertaken, including through the use of accessory aggregate recycling facilities within operations, wherever feasible."

As required under the PPS above, our current aggregate recycling operation (defined as a **mineral aggregate operation** under the PPS and Growth Plan) on the 100 Acres will be expanded to the adjacent 250 Acres in order to conserve the Aggregates for extraction and supply to the marketplace as long as possible.

The Growth Plan states:

“4.2.8.2 (c) an application requiring a new approval under the Aggregate Resources Act to expand an existing mineral aggregate operation may be permitted in the Natural Heritage System for the Growth Plan, including in key natural heritage features, key hydrologic features and any associated vegetation protection zones, only if the related decision is consistent with the PPS and satisfies the rehabilitation requirements of the policies in this subsection.”

As we expand our current mineral aggregate operation, such expansions are clearly awarded exemptions to any environmental features that may exist on the property, subject to obvious rehabilitation requirements. The province has clearly created a hierarchy of land use planning needs valuing aggregates in close proximity to the marketplace above almost all other policies.

In 2003, the OMB (now OLT) ruled our appeals were transitioned pursuant to the Oak Ridges Moraine Conservation Act section 15, and therefore the lands do not need to conform to the Oak Ridges Moraine Conservation Plan. I have attached the full OMB Decision for your reference and quote pg 6 below:

“The Board finds on a plain reading of the Act, Rizmi falls within the transitional provisions and is entitled to a full and fair hearing of its appeals and referral.”

This decision was appealed to the courts by the City which appeal was dismissed.


Lastly, attached is Vaughan Council’s resolution with respect to the “Stayed Appeals” on the 250 Acres in the VOP 2010. The original motion related to the 350 acres of land, however as stated earlier, such now is limited to the 250 Acres due to Ministerial Order on the 100 Acres, but still operative. Our original appeals referenced in the motion were for the protection of the aggregates resources on the lands and would flow through to the VOP 2010 once fully adjudicated on appeal.

We look forward to Vaughan’s Comprehensive Zoning By-Law conforming with the PPS, the Growth Plan, the Region of York Official Plan and the VOP 2010.

Yours Truly,



Cam Milani

Rizmi Holdings Limited



Map 7

MINERAL AGGREGATE RESOURCES

-  Mineral Aggregate Resource Area
-  Oak Ridges Moraine Conservation Plan Area - Refer to Section 2.5 and all other applicable sections of this Plan as it relates to the Oak Ridges Moraine, including the Oak Ridges Moraine Conservation Plan itself

March 1, 2009

0 2 4 6 8 10 km

DATE: JUNE 12 2012

**TO: MEMBERS OF REGIONAL PLANNING AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT
COMMITTEE AND REGIONAL COUNCIL**

**FROM: JOHN MACKENZIE, COMMISSIONER OF PLANNING
CITY OF VAUGHAN**

RE: MODIFICATIONS TO THE VAUGHAN OFFICIAL PLAN – 2010

On April 17, 2012, City of Vaughan Council adopted the following Committee of the Whole Recommendation:

That Vaughan's 2010 Official Plan be modified to identify the lands located on lots 30 and 31 of Concession 2 (collectively 350 acres known as the Rizmi Lands) as a special policy/site specific area on Schedule 14, with accompanying text specifying that the land-use designation(s) for and policies applicable to the lands are to be determined in accordance with the outcome of previously filed Ontario Municipal Board appeals.

These modifications were unintentionally omitted from the current modified version of the Vaughan Official Plan 2010, but should have been added as per local Council direction.

On this basis, it is requested that the Regional Planning and Economic Development Committee recommend the inclusion of the above modification in addition to the recommendations presented by the Region of York Transportation and Community Planning Department.

Respectfully submitted,



John MacKenzie
Commissioner of Planning

- c. Heather Konefat, Director of Community Planning, Region of York
Augustine Ko, Senior Planner, Community Planning, Region of York
Clayton Harris, City Manager, City of Vaughan
Heather Wilson, Director of Legal Services, City of Vaughan
Roy McQuillin, Manager of Policy Planning, City of Vaughan

ISSUE DATE:

May 6, 2003

DECISION/ORDER NO:

0581



Ontario Municipal Board

Commission des affaires municipales de l'Ontario

PL010732

PL001029

PL957073

Rizmi Holdings Limited and Lucia Milani have appealed to the Ontario Municipal Board under subsection 17 (36) of the *Planning Act*, R.S.O. 1990, c.P. 13, as amended, from a decision of the Regional Municipality of York to further approve those portions of proposed Amendment No. 600 to the Official Plan for the City of Vaughan located on the Oak Ridges Moraine
O.M.B. File No. O020094

Rizmi Holdings Limited has appealed to the Ontario Municipal Board under subsection 34 (19) of the *Planning Act*, R.S.O. 1990, c.P. 13, against Zoning By-Law 327-2000 of the City of Vaughan
O.M.B. File No. R000232

The Minister of Natural Resources has referred to the Ontario Municipal Board under subsection 11 (5) of the *Aggregate Resources Act*, R.S.O. 1990, c.A. 8, as amended, an application for a Class A Licence for the removal of aggregate from lands being composed of Part of Lot 30, Concession 2, in the City of Vaughan
O.M.B. File No. M020096

At the request of Lucia Milani, Lucia Milani In Trust, and Rizmi Holdings, the Honourable Minister of Municipal Affairs has referred to the Ontario Municipal Board under subsection 17(11) of the *Planning Act*, R.S.O. 1990, c. P.13, a portion of the York Region Official Plan, specifically those lands identified as Referral "C" on Maps 2 and 4 and the same portion of Maps 3 and 7 and Sections 2.1, 2.2 and 5.0, insofar as the relate to these lands
Ministry's File No. 19-OP-1994
O.M.B. File No. O 960161

APPEARANCES:

Parties

City of Vaughan

Regional Municipality of York

Toronto Region Conservation Authority

Rizmi Holdings Limited and Lucia Milani

Maple Downs Golf and Country Club
Limited

Counsel*/Agents

N. J. Pepino*
T. Halinski*

C. Grant*

J. Matera*

P. Van Loan*
A. Jeanrie*
C. Butler (student at law)

B. Horosko *

Woodland Acres Ratepayer Association
Group

D. Abrahams

L. Grimaldi

Maplewood Ravines Community
Association

DECISION DELIVERED BY M. F. V. EGER AND ORDER OF THE BOARD

Prehearing Matters

The Board has held two prehearing conferences on this matter and a hearing is scheduled to commence on August 5, 2003 for four (4) weeks. April 28 and 29th were scheduled to consider motions on any preliminary matters and to finalize the issue and witness lists of the parties, as well as the final procedural order.

At this prehearing the Woodland Acres Ratepayers Association Group requested a change from participant to party status. This request was granted. Mr. Grimaldi represents the Maplewood Ravines Community Association and requested party status. The Association is currently unincorporated but intends to become incorporated. The Board granted the Association party status subject to proof of incorporation being filed with the Board and other parties.

Representatives for the two participants were also in attendance – D. Izzard for Storm Coalition Inc. and M. Iafrate for Vaughan C.A.R.E.S.

As the Board's decision on the motion brought by the City, the Region and the Conservation Authority would affect the issues to be dealt with in the prehearing, the remainder of the prehearing was adjourned.

However, based on the Board's decision on the motion –

THE NEXT PREHEARING IS SCHEDULED TO COMMENCE AT 10:30 A.M. ON TUESDAY, JUNE 10, 2003 IN THE COUNCIL CHAMBERS, MUNICIPAL BUILDING 2141 MAJOR MACKENZIE DRIVE, VAUGHAN. NO FURTHER NOTICE WILL ISSUE EXCEPT AS NOTED WITH RESPECT TO REFERRAL 'C' OF THE YORK REGIONAL OFFICIAL PLAN.

COUNSEL FOR THE REGION OF YORK IS TO ENSURE THAT NOTICE IS GIVEN THAT THE BOARD WILL BE CONSIDERING THE REFERRAL 'C' MATTER

AT THE NEXT PREHEARING. NOTICE IS TO BE GIVEN IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE BOARD'S DIRECTIONS TO THE REGION DATED APRIL 4, 2003.

Motion

The City of Vaughan, The Regional Municipality of York and the Toronto and Region Conservation Authority have brought a motion for:

1. an Order of the Board determining that the *Oak Ridges Moraine Conservation Act*, S.O. 2001, c.31 and the Oak Ridges Conservation Plan, enacted by O.Reg. 140/02 apply to the matters before the Board; and
2. an Order dismissing the appeal of Zoning By-law No. 327-2000 of the City of Vaughan or, in the alternative, adjourning the matter *sine die*.

The motion is not granted and the matter is to proceed to a further prehearing where the issues list, witness lists and procedural details will be finalized. However in light of issues raised during the hearing and in the interests of fair and efficient process, the Board requests the parties to consider and make submissions at the next prehearing on a hearing process phased as follows. The first phase would determine the appropriate official plan designations and policies at the local and regional level and the zoning for the subject lands. If the Board allows all or part of Rizmi Holdings Limited appeal against Zoning By-law 327-2000 of the City of Vaughan, and the effect of that decision would permit aggregate extraction on the Rizmi lands, the hearing would then proceed to a further phase to determine details of the license application.

Rizmi Holdings Limited and Lucia Milani (Rizmi) own approximately 350 acres of land located between Dufferin and Bathurst Streets, north of Teston Road in the City of Vaughan. The lands are situated on the Oak Ridges Moraine.

The matters before the Board relate to a portion of these lands, about 100 acres, specifically the west half of Lot 30, Concession 2. At one time a portion of these lands were used for the extraction of aggregate, but this activity ended prior to Rizmi's ownership of the lands in 1979. Since 1991, Rizmi has used the lands for recycling concrete/asphalt to produce granular products for the construction industry. In September 1998, Rizmi made an application for a Class A License under the *Aggregate Resources Act*. The Ministry of Natural Resources referred the Aggregate Application

to the Board in September 2002 for a determination of all issues raised by objectors. This matter was consolidated with other matters before the Board, including a referral request by Rizmi of a portion of the York Region Official Plan and appeals by Rizmi of proposed Amendment No. 600 to the Official Plan for the City of Vaughan and Zoning By-law 327-2000 of the City of Vaughan.

There is a considerable planning, land use and legal history to these lands, fully detailed in the motion records, which the Board does not intend to repeat here. However, it is clear that since 1975, the approved Official Plan designations on the Rizmi lands have not permitted aggregate extraction uses, but the existing zoning permits aggregate uses. The City is seeking, through By-law 327-2000 to bring the “anomalous” zoning into conformity with the designation in their Official Plan. That history also documents Rizmi’s reliance on the existing M4- Pit and Quarry Industrial zone, which has been in place since the 1960’s, to undertake the concrete/asphalt recycling operation activities and in the future, an aggregate operation. Subsection 12.1 (1) of the *Aggregate Resources Act* stipulates that:

No license shall be issued for a pit or quarry if a zoning by-law prohibits the site from being used for the making, establishment or operation of pits and quarries.

The motion was argued on the basis that as a result of the coming into force of the *Oak Ridges Moraine Conservation Act* (the “Act”), and the enactment of the Oak Ridges Moraine Conservation Plan (the “Plan”) aggregate extraction operations on a substantial portion of the Rizmi lands are prohibited and cannot be granted a license. The Plan indicates that the area which is subject to the application under the *Aggregate Resources Act* is designated as Natural Core, in part, and Countryside Area, in part. Subsection 11(3) of the Plan indicates that aggregate operations are not permitted in Natural Core Areas. Further, subsection 6(3) restricts an existing mineral aggregate operation or wayside pit within a Natural Core Area from expanding beyond the boundary of the area currently under license or permit and although aggregate extraction is permitted in the Countryside Areas, it is to strict regulation under the Plan. It was argued that these provisions in the Plan would prevent an aggregate use on the Rizmi lands.

The Oak Ridges Moraine Conservation Plan was established, by regulation, pursuant to subsection 3 (1) of the *Oak Ridges Moraine Conservation Act*. Subsection 7 (1) of this Act requires that decisions made under the *Planning Act* or the

Condominium Act, 1998 conform to the Plan. An application under the *Aggregates Resources Act* is not a decision under either the *Planning Act* or the *Condominium Act, 1998*, and the Plan does not directly apply to such applications. However, the parties agree that because the *Aggregates Resources Act* prohibits the issuance of a license where the zoning does not allow the aggregate resources use, the *Oak Ridges Moraine Conservation Act* and Plan do have an indirect impact on the subject license request.

Under Subsection 15(3) of the Act, outstanding applications, matters or proceedings commenced before November 17, 2001, if a decision has been made in respect of the application, matter or proceeding before that date, are not subject to Subsection 7(1). All the *Planning Act* matters before the Board meet the requirements of Subsection 15(3). Rizmi's position in response to the motion is that the discussion should end there and the Board process should continue. Counsel for the City and other moving parties disagree.

Section 8 of the Act states that, despite any other Act, the Oak Ridges Moraine Conservation Plan prevails in the event of a conflict with the Plan and an official plan amendment, a zoning by-law, or a policy statement issued under Section 3 of the *Planning Act*. The moving parties rely on the fact that there is no provision in the Act or Plan which grants transitional status or an exemption from Section 8 of the Act in concluding that Section 8 "guarantees the paramountcy of the Plan over all inconsistent zoning, official plan policies and Provincial Policy Statement policies" and "by providing transitional rules with respect to the application of s.7 but not s.8, the Legislature's intent to have s.8 apply immediately upon the ORM Act coming into force is clear". The Board does not agree.

The Act is organized into two parts. Sections 3 to 14 fall under the heading the Oak Ridges Moraine Conservation Plan. These sections include directions related to the Plan's establishment, objectives, contents, effect of the Plan, the process for bringing municipal official plans and zoning by-laws into conformity with the Plan and amendments to the Plan. Sections 15 to 25 are under the heading, Transitional Provisions, Regulations and Miscellaneous. Under the subheading Transition, application of s. 7, Section 15 provides guidance as to the applicability of Section 7 based on the status of applications in the planning process. The plain reading of the Act is that applications well into the planning process, before November 17, 2001, would be permitted to continue to completion and not strictly conform to the Plan. The Board

agrees with counsel for Rizmi when he says “the interpretation of section 8 of the Act provided by the Moving Party would have the effect of rendering section 15 of the Act without meaning. There is no need to have transition provisions exempting the need for conformity with the Plan for an application, matter or proceeding commenced before November 17, 2001 if the zoning of a property has already been amended and frozen by the implementation of the Plan through section 8 of the Act”.

The Act also provides for a “conformity process”. It sets out a tight timeline and process for municipalities to amend their official plans and zoning by-laws to implement the Plan. City of Vaughan Council has endorsed a timeline for this amendment process and anticipates adoption of the required Official Plan Amendment and enactment of the Zoning By-law Amendment in June 2003. Notice has already issued for a May 5, 2003 public hearing regarding the proposed amendments to bring the City’s Official Plan and Zoning By-law into conformity with the Oak Ridges Moraine Conservation Plan. The Region of York has already adopted an amendment to its Official Plan to bring it into conformity with the Plan. Notice of adoption of Amendment 41 to the Official Plan for the Region of York issued on March 31, 2003. It is the position of the moving parties that these amendments, because they must be in conformity with the Plan which designates the subject lands Natural Core Area and Countryside Area will preclude aggregate extraction operations on at least the Natural Core Area designated portion of the subject lands.

By-law No. 327-2000 proposes to rezone the subject lands from M4-Pit and Quarry Industrial Zone to OS1 Open Space Conservation Zone and A Agricultural Zone. The OS1 Zone would permit conservation projects and forestry projects. The A Zone would permit agricultural, residential, home occupation, recreational, commercial, cottage industries, wayside pit and quarry uses. The moving parties submit that this zoning would conform to the Plan and because of the “imminent” enactment of the City’s conformity instruments, Rizmi’s appeal of By-law 327-2000 is moot and ought to be dismissed or adjourned *sine die*. The Board agrees that the timeframe in which the Rizmi matters have taken to come to hearing before the Board against the Act’s directives with respect to conformity with the Plan are a frustration for the moving parties. But the Oak Ridges Moraine process itself caused the situation as all applications before the Board were required to be held until the Act and Plan were finalized. The Board finds that on a plain reading of the Act, Rizmi falls within the transition provisions and is entitled to a full and fair hearing of its appeals and referral.

While the Minister of Municipal Affairs and Housing is the approval authority for conformity instruments, the Act provides a process to resolve conflicts and includes the ability for the Minister to confer with persons or a public body who the Minister considers may have an interest in the proposed official plan and zoning by-law amendments. This would include the Minister considering a Board decision on a matter that falls squarely within the transition provisions of the Act.

The hearing should proceed as scheduled. The Ministry of Natural Resources' referral letter with respect to the application for license under the *Aggregate Resources Act*, states that-

Should the rezoning of the subject property be upheld by the Board, the implications of such on the Aggregate Resources Act application in general and the proposed rehabilitation end use of the proposed pit, would have to be examined.

The Board agrees. For this reason, consideration should be given to the hearing proceeding in phases. Phase 1 could determine whether mineral extraction uses are appropriate on any portion of the subject lands. If the Board finds that they are not then as noted above, the *Aggregate Resources Act* would prohibit the issuance of a license. If the Board finds that there is a basis upon which to permit the mineral extractive uses, Phase 2 would determine detailed issues related to the license.

The motion is not granted. This matter is to proceed to prehearing to finalize the procedural order.

I will continue to case manage this matter but am not seized of the hearing.

So orders the Board.

M. F. V. EGER
VICE-CHAIR



COMMUNICATION C4.

ITEM NO. 5

COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE (2)

March 8, 2022

DATE: March 7, 2022

TO: Mayor and Members of Council

FROM: Haiqing Xu, Deputy City Manager, Planning & Growth Management

RE: COMMUNICATION - Committee of the Whole (2) – March 8, 2022

Report # 12, Item #5: Penguin-Calloway (Vaughan) Inc. (Block A5-Phase 1) Site Development File DA.20.052 101 Edgeley Blvd.

1. Purpose

To request Council defer a decision on Committee of the Whole (2) Item #5: Penguin-Calloway (Vaughan) Inc. (Block A5-Phase 1) Site Development File DA.20.052 101 Edgeley Blvd.

2. Analysis

At the request of the Applicant, we are asking the Committee to defer its decision on the Committee of the Whole (2) Item #5: Penguin-Calloway (Vaughan) Inc. (Block A5-Phase 1) Site Development File DA.20.052 101 Edgeley Blvd. to a later date to allow more time for discussion between the City and the applicant with regard to parkland dedications.

For more information, contact Haiqing Xu, Deputy City Manager, Planning & Growth Management.

Approved by

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Haiqing Xu'.

Haiqing Xu, Deputy City Manager,
Planning & Growth Management

Assunta Ferrante

From: Gordon, Carrie <carrie.gordon@bell.ca>
Sent: Monday, March 7, 2022 3:00 PM
To: Clerks@vaughan.ca
Subject: [External] 905-22-109 - Closure - Milani Blvd
Attachments: 905-22-109 letter.pdf; SCHEDULE968SE0403.doc; Vaughan - Road Closing 2.pdf

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

COMMUNICATION C5.

ITEM NO. 12

COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE (2)

March 8, 2022

Good afternoon,

Please find attached Bell Canada's response to the Road Closure Application at Milani Blvd. Should there be any questions, please direct them to the undersigned.

Kind regards,

Carrie Gordon



Associate, External Liaison
Right of Way Control Centre
140 Bayfield St, Fl 2
Barrie ON, L4M 3B1
T: 705-722-2244/844-857-7942
F :705-726-4600

Bell Canada
FI-2, 140 Bayfield St.
Barrie, Ontario
L4M 3B1

Fax: 705-722-2263
Tel: 705-722-2244
E-mail: carrie.gordon@bell.ca



March 7, 2022

City of Vaughan
Office of the City Clerk
2141 Major Mackenzie Dr.
Vaughan, ON
L6A 1T1

Attention: Todd Coles clerks@vaughan.ca

Dear Sir:

**Subject: Notice of Stopping-Up and Closing of a Road
Milani Blvd., Vaughan ON
Bell File: 905-22-109**

We acknowledge receipt and thank you for your correspondence dated February 22, 2022.

Subsequent to review of the abovenoted Application by our local Engineering Department, it has been identified that Bell Canada will require a transfer of easement over these lands to protect existing buried facilities, supply service to the properties, and to maintain service in the area. According to our records, Bell's buried cable runs through the property, parallel to the direction of the roadway as identified in the sketch provided.

Bell Canada would like to confirm that a blanket easement over the lands, or a 3.0m wide corridor to be measured 1.5m on either side of the buried facilities as can be accommodated would satisfy our needs. With respect to the buried plant, it will be necessary for the surveyor to arrange for a cable locate to identify its location.

Bell Canada kindly requests that the easement be granted from the City prior to the sale of these lands into private ownership. Please find attached to this e-mail, Bell's standard Schedule and a sketch showing the approximate location of our facilities.

Since the easement is necessary in order to provide and maintain service to this area, all costs associated with this transaction will be the responsibility of the Owner.

We hope this proposal meets with your approval. We look forward to the City's Solicitor contacting us.


If there are any questions or concerns, please do not hesitate to call.

Yours truly,

A handwritten signature in blue ink that reads "Carrie Gordon".

Carrie Gordon
Right of Way Associate
(Encl.)





WHEREAS the Transferee is the owner in fee simple of those lands and premises (the “Transferee’s lands”) being composed of Parts of Town Lots 5 and 6 on the North side of Adelaide Street West according to the Plan of the Town of York and designated as Parts 1 and 2, Plan 63R-545, City of Toronto.

WHEREAS the Transferor is the owner in fee simple of lands described as

(the “Property”).

THE TRANSFEROR grants and transfers to the Transferee, its successors and assigns to be used and enjoyed as appurtenant to the Transferee’s lands, a free and unencumbered easement (the “Easement”) in perpetuity upon, over, in, under and across lands more particularly described under Properties on Page 1 attached (the “Easement Lands”):

- 1. the right to construct, operate, maintain, repair, replace, renew, or make additions at all times to telecommunications facilities (the “Facilities”) or any part thereof forming part of continuous lines between the Transferee’s lands and other lands including, without limitation, all necessary cable and wires (both buried and aerial), conduits, conduit structures, markers, poles, anchors, guys, maintenance holes, fixtures, equipment, and all appurtenances thereto.
- 2. the right of free and unimpeded access at all times to the Transferee, its contractors, agents, employees, vehicles, equipment, and supplies to and over the Easement Lands.
- 3. the right of free and unimpeded access at all times to the Easement Lands from and over the Property or abutting road allowances or abutting rights of way for the purpose of exercising the rights hereby granted.
- 4. the right to remove, trim, sever, or fell any obstructions such as trees, roots, brush, stumps, boulders or rock encountered during the course of construction or subsequent maintenance of the Facilities.

THE TRANSFEROR shall have the right to fully use and enjoy the Easement Lands provided that without the prior written consent of the Transferee, such consent not to be unreasonably withheld, the Transferor shall not place any buildings or other structures or dig, drill, pave or excavate within the Easement Lands.

THE TRANSFEE shall:

- 1. be responsible for any damage caused at any time by its agents or employees to the Property. When practical, the Transferee, after any of its activities, shall restore the Property appropriately. The subsequent maintenance of the Property shall be the responsibility of the Transferor.
- 2. indemnify and save the Transferor harmless from all actions, causes of actions, suits, claims and demands of every nature and kind whatsoever which may be made against the Transferor relating to or arising out of the placement of the Facilities by the Transferee and for which the Transferee, in law, is responsible.
- 3. at its sole discretion, be permitted to attach, or permit the attachment of, wires, cables and equipment of any other company or commission for the purposes only of supplying a service to the public.

NOTWITHSTANDING any rule of law or equity and even though any of the Facilities may become annexed or affixed to the Easement Lands, title to the Facilities shall nevertheless remain in the Transferee.

THIS AGREEMENT including all rights, privileges, and benefits herein contained shall extend to, be binding upon, and enure to the benefit of, the parties hereto and their respective heirs, executors, administrators, successors, and assigns.

THE TRANSFEE, in the event it grants a release of Easement, may abandon the Facilities annexed/affixed to the Easement Lands at its option.

Assunta Ferrante

COMMUNICATION C6.

ITEM NO. 11

COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE (2)

March 8, 2022

From: Gordon, Carrie <carrie.gordon@bell.ca>
Sent: Monday, March 7, 2022 3:10 PM
To: Clerks@vaughan.ca
Subject: [External] 905-22-110 - Closure - Eagle Rock Way
Attachments: Vaughan - Road Closing 2.pdf; 905-22-110 letter.pdf; SCHEDULE968SE0403.doc

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

Good afternoon,

Please find attached Bell Canada's response to the Road Closure Application at Eagle Rock Way. Should there be any questions, please direct them to the undersigned.

Kind regards,

Carrie Gordon



Associate, External Liaison
Right of Way Control Centre
140 Bayfield St, Fl 2
Barrie ON, L4M 3B1
T: 705-722-2244/844-857-7942
F :705-726-4600

Bell Canada
FI-2, 140 Bayfield St.
Barrie, Ontario
L4M 3B1

Fax: 705-722-2263
Tel: 705-722-2244
E-mail: carrie.gordon@bell.ca



March 7, 2022

City of Vaughan
Office of the City Clerk
2141 Major Mackenzie Dr.
Vaughan, ON
L6A 1T1

Attention: Todd Coles clerks@vaughan.ca

Dear Sir:

***Subject: Notice of Stopping-Up and Closing of a Road
Eagle Rock Way, Maple ON
Bell File: 905-22-110***

We acknowledge receipt and thank you for your correspondence dated February 22, 2022.

Subsequent to review of the abovenoted Application by our local Engineering Department, it has been identified that Bell Canada will require a transfer of easement over these lands to protect existing buried facilities, supply service to the properties, and to maintain service in the area. According to our records, Bell's buried cable runs through the property, parallel to the direction of the roadway as identified in the sketch provided.

Bell Canada would like to confirm that a blanket easement over the lands, or a 3.0m wide corridor to be measured 1.5m on either side of the buried facilities as can be accommodated would satisfy our needs. With respect to the buried plant, it will be necessary for the surveyor to arrange for a cable locate to identify its location.

Bell Canada kindly requests that the easement be granted from the City prior to the sale of these lands into private ownership. Please find attached to this e-mail, Bell's standard Schedule and a sketch showing the approximate location of our facilities.

Since the easement is necessary in order to provide and maintain service to this area, all costs associated with this transaction will be the responsibility of the Owner.

We hope this proposal meets with your approval. We look forward to the City's Solicitor contacting us.


If there are any questions or concerns, please do not hesitate to call.

Yours truly,

A handwritten signature in blue ink that reads "Carrie Gordon".

Carrie Gordon
Right of Way Associate
(Encl.)





WHEREAS the Transferee is the owner in fee simple of those lands and premises (the “Transferee’s lands”) being composed of Parts of Town Lots 5 and 6 on the North side of Adelaide Street West according to the Plan of the Town of York and designated as Parts 1 and 2, Plan 63R-545, City of Toronto.

WHEREAS the Transferor is the owner in fee simple of lands described as

(the “Property”).

THE TRANSFEROR grants and transfers to the Transferee, its successors and assigns to be used and enjoyed as appurtenant to the Transferee’s lands, a free and unencumbered easement (the “Easement”) in perpetuity upon, over, in, under and across lands more particularly described under Properties on Page 1 attached (the “Easement Lands”):

- 1. the right to construct, operate, maintain, repair, replace, renew, or make additions at all times to telecommunications facilities (the “Facilities”) or any part thereof forming part of continuous lines between the Transferee’s lands and other lands including, without limitation, all necessary cable and wires (both buried and aerial), conduits, conduit structures, markers, poles, anchors, guys, maintenance holes, fixtures, equipment, and all appurtenances thereto.
- 2. the right of free and unimpeded access at all times to the Transferee, its contractors, agents, employees, vehicles, equipment, and supplies to and over the Easement Lands.
- 3. the right of free and unimpeded access at all times to the Easement Lands from and over the Property or abutting road allowances or abutting rights of way for the purpose of exercising the rights hereby granted.
- 4. the right to remove, trim, sever, or fell any obstructions such as trees, roots, brush, stumps, boulders or rock encountered during the course of construction or subsequent maintenance of the Facilities.

THE TRANSFEROR shall have the right to fully use and enjoy the Easement Lands provided that without the prior written consent of the Transferee, such consent not to be unreasonably withheld, the Transferor shall not place any buildings or other structures or dig, drill, pave or excavate within the Easement Lands.

THE TRANSFEE shall:

- 1. be responsible for any damage caused at any time by its agents or employees to the Property. When practical, the Transferee, after any of its activities, shall restore the Property appropriately. The subsequent maintenance of the Property shall be the responsibility of the Transferor.
- 2. indemnify and save the Transferor harmless from all actions, causes of actions, suits, claims and demands of every nature and kind whatsoever which may be made against the Transferor relating to or arising out of the placement of the Facilities by the Transferee and for which the Transferee, in law, is responsible.
- 3. at its sole discretion, be permitted to attach, or permit the attachment of, wires, cables and equipment of any other company or commission for the purposes only of supplying a service to the public.

NOTWITHSTANDING any rule of law or equity and even though any of the Facilities may become annexed or affixed to the Easement Lands, title to the Facilities shall nevertheless remain in the Transferee.

THIS AGREEMENT including all rights, privileges, and benefits herein contained shall extend to, be binding upon, and enure to the benefit of, the parties hereto and their respective heirs, executors, administrators, successors, and assigns.

THE TRANSFEE, in the event it grants a release of Easement, may abandon the Facilities annexed/affixed to the Easement Lands at its option.