## **Assunta Ferrante**

From: Clerks@vaughan.ca

Sent: Monday, February 28, 2022 10:36 AM

**To:** Assunta Ferrante

**Subject:** FW: [External] GTA Ratepayer Alliance focused on overdevelopment and the lack of a sensible growth

COMMUNICATION C4

(WORKING SESSION)

**COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE** 

ITEM NO. 2

March 2, 2022

vision for the GTA

From: Marilyn Iafrate < Marilyn. Iafrate@vaughan.ca>

Sent: Monday, February 28, 2022 10:35 AM

To: Clerks@vaughan.ca

Subject: Fwd: [External] GTA Ratepayer Alliance focused on overdevelopment and the lack of a sensible growth vision

for the GTA

Please ad this to Wed's WS item #2.

**Thanks** 

Sent from my iPad

Begin forwarded message:

From: Catherine Lazaric

Date: February 28, 2022 at 10:27:18 AM EST

To: Stephen.LecceCO@pc.ola.org, doug.fordco@pc.ola.org, Council@vaughan.ca, Clerks@vaughan.ca

Subject: [External] GTA Ratepayer Alliance focused on overdevelopment and the lack of a sensible

growth vision for the GTA

Reply-To: Catherine Lazaric

Dear Premier,

We are writing to you on behalf of **A Better GTA**, an alliance of non-partisan, non-profit resident and ratepayer groups in the Greater Toronto Area united in opposition to what we see as unregulated overdevelopment and the lack of a sensible growth vision for the GTA.

In recent years, growth in the GTA has run amok. It is destroying neighborhoods and building uncomfortably dense centers creating traffic gridlock and unlivable communities with few if any public amenities. Canada is also in the grip of a housing affordability crisis that has seen house prices triple in the past 10 years.

The province has responded with ideas such as Transit-Oriented Communities (TOC), which seek to put density along subway lines, and the Housing Affordability Task Force, which attempts to provide a solution for the housing affordability crisis. On the surface, both sound sensible.

The challenge with the province's implementation of transit-oriented communities is that they will achieve the opposite of your government's stated goals. As Matti Seimiatycki, professor of urban planning at U of T, points out "the language is transit-oriented communities, but what they (the province) are really focused on is development and density." The result will be unlivable communities.

The Housing Affordability Task Force was formed to generate ideas to address the housing crisis. Again, while the sentiment was right, the province started with the wrong premise and has generated solutions that will produce the opposite of what is needed. The report was designed by a group of bankers and developers, and predictably makes recommendations that meet the needs of the development industry over public interests.

The province is creating giveaway programs for developers who are interested in maximizing returns on their own specific projects but not interested in paying for the broader societal damage that their projects leave in communities. Residents and ratepayers, who our alliance represents, will be left to pay for the damage.

Our alliance is not a bunch of NIMBYs. We are not against development. We just want sensible, balanced, affordable, livable developments and a broader regional city that can prosper for all. Growth must be accompanied by infrastructure, jobs, transit, parks, cultural institutions and other features which will allow communities to thrive. We want to see a return to respecting the Ontario Planning Act and a reversal of the province's policies which would transfer power over land use decisions from municipalities and citizens to developers. We want to see a holistic vision created for the GTA, and a return to an evidence and policy-based approach to planning - not one based on access, connections and ministerial whim. It is not too late to get community buy-in in trying to solve the housing affordability crisis – but a comprehensive, win-win and durable solution must involve ALL stakeholders, not just the development industry. We urge you to hold a Summit to bring all affected stakeholders to the table and work out reasonable compromises. Do not rush to introduce legislation prior to the Election that will only benefit the development industry. Residents are voters too.

In this letter, we draw your attention to two specific problems:

- 1) The findings in the Ontario Housing Affordability Task Force Report.
- 2) The two TOCs at Yonge and Hwy 407.

## 1) The findings of the Ontario Housing Affordability Task Force.

The Province of Ontario, indeed much of Canada, has a housing affordability crisis. Over the past 10 years, house prices have tripled. Some people have become fabulously rich off the housing market while many others are barely scraping by.

But a housing affordability crisis is not the same as a housing shortage. The report begins: "For many years, the province has not built enough housing to meet the needs of our growing population. While the affordability crisis began in our large cities, it has now spread to smaller towns and rural communities".

The trouble is that the data shows this statement is simply not true. Our analysis is spelled out on our website.

## Here are the facts:

• Compared historically, Canada does not have a housing shortage. We are building houses today at the same rate or faster than population growth, especially in the GTA housing supply is growing much faster than population growth; at issue is that we are not building the right kind of housing – particularly rental and low-income housing.

According to Toronto and York Region records, there is already enough proposed housing (approved and under review) to meet population projections for the next 20 years; at issue is that developers are sitting on their approved projects but are not building and this can be fixed by legislation.

- Housing vacancies in the GTA are at a 20 year high; many are either being left empty or are being used for short-term rentals such as Airbnb.
- The cost of financing a mortgage has kept even with incomes and has not risen.

The primary reasons we are having a housing crisis are as follows:

- Interest rates are at a historic low; money is cheap, inflating housing sale prices.
- We are experiencing a period of supercharged demand by investors (25% of buyers in Toronto now are multi-property owners); if you can finance at 1.5% and get a return of 20%, everyone will want to be in on it.
- We have failed to build houses for renters and low-income households.

The current housing task force report is built on a false premise and its recommendations would not solve the problem, but would mainly result in weakening the power of municipalities and citizens to help determine the nature of the communities that they live in. The Yonge/407 TOCs are a good example to show the consequences of following the path planned by your government – chasing unlimited height and unlimited density rather than planning for livable communities.

## 2) The Two TOCs at Yonge St and Hwy 407

The stated aim of the TOC Act is to "build vibrant connected communities, bringing jobs and housing closer to transit." We like the sound of this. Unfortunately, what is being proposed is the opposite. The pair of TOCs at Highway 407 and Yonge St. provides an example. The TOCs will create the following:

• The 2nd densest place on earth, just behind the Dharavi slums of Mumbai – made famous by the film Slumdog Millionaire. The centers will be 3 x as dense as St. James Town, 4 x as dense as Yonge-Eglinton, 5 x as dense as North York Centre, and 6 x as dense as the Toronto Central Waterfront and the island of Manhattan. In this small area (half the size of Exhibition Place), the province's plan is to install 67 condo towers, 40 @ 60 storeys or more, 11 @ 80 storeys. (For reference, First Canadian Place is 72 storeys).

- A centre that will be unlivable. The province's plan attempts to squeeze the equivalent of the population of Newmarket (88,000) into 45 hectares. It will have only 1 school, no community or cultural centres, and less than 10 hectares of parkland; by contrast, Newmarket has 29 schools and 320 hectares of parkland. How is this a vibrant community?
- A centre that will do nothing for jobs and will exacerbate traffic for the whole GTA. The plan doubles housing from the original secondary plans proposed by Richmond Hill and Markham, but halves employment. The result will be that residents will have to commute longer and farther to work. This will further clog our already overcrowded road network and Yonge Subway; people in midtown Toronto should expect to never get a subway seat again.

We agree with the TOC concept. However, in its current implementation, the Yonge-407 TOC is a shameless giveaway to developers. The Yonge-407 TOC centre has the potential to be a cornerstone for the Ontario economy and a centre for all of York Region. It can address jobs, help Canada meet its climate targets, and be a model for smart city building. The current plan will not achieve this. Therefore, we urge the province to scrap the current TOC proposals and do a Blue Sky for the lands on both sides of 407 from Yonge St to Bayview and beyond along the 407 and hydro corridor. A better vision for the GTA is possible.

Young-407 TOC is not an isolated case. Overdevelopment has become one of the main concerns for GTA residents. Another example of massive overdevelopment is the proposed 105 high-rise towers on either side of Steeles Ave from Dufferin to Bayview. If all are approved, 63,000 NEW residents or the entire population of Aurora (62,000 in 2021) would be squeezed into this already crowded area.

The solutions to the GTA's issues with housing, transportation, climate management, and more are not out of our reach. We can build a great city that provides for all of this and addresses our affordability problems. However, first, we ask that your government defer any further action on implementing any legislation which would enact the current TOC policies or the Task Force report. This concern is clearly echoed by many municipal councils and Mayoral associations throughout the GTA. There is no rush. Give residents the opportunity to make our case.

۱۸	/arı	me	٩t	R	ല	ar	'n	S
٧١	all	1116	ວເ	1 N	СU	aı	u	თ.

Catherine..