

COMMUNICATION C21 ITEM NO. 3 COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE (PUBLIC MEETING)

January 18, 2022

Michael Melling michaelm@davieshowe.com Direct: 416.263.4515 Main: 416.977.7088

Fax: 416.977.8931 File No. 704039-01

January 17, 2022

By E-Mail Only to *clerks@vaughan.ca*

His Worship Mayor Maurizio Bevilacqua and Members of Council The Corporation of the City of Vaughan 2141 Major Mackenzie Drive Vaughan, Ontario L6A 1T1

Attention: Todd Coles, City Clerk

Your Worship and Members of Council:

Re: Committee of the Whole, January 18, 2022 City-Wide Comprehensive Zoning By-law 001-2021 (the "New ZBL") Transition Provision Amendments, File No. Z.21.052 Inconsistencies and Administrative Amendments Submission of The Q Towers General Partner Inc. ("Q Towers")

We are counsel to Q Towers, which has an interest in lands at the southwest corner of Major Mackenzie Drive West and Fossil Hill Road, known as Part of Lot 20, Concession 6 and 77 to 87 Woodstream Boulevard. Q Towers is an Appellant in the Ontario Land Tribunal proceeding concerning the New ZBL.

On behalf of Q Towers, we have reviewed the proposed modifications to the New ZBL, as contained in two separate Staff Reports dated January 18, 2022, respectively addressing transition provisions and technical revisions.

The purpose of this letter is to express our client's concern regarding the proposed revisions to the transitional provisions of the New ZBL (the "**Proposed Amendments**").

Our client's concerns regarding the Proposed Amendments relate to its in-process zoning by-law, official plan and subdivision approval applications (Files OP.21.001, Z.21.002 and 19T-21V001), which were deemed complete on February 25, 2021. Additionally, our client is concerned the City has failed to accurately carry forward its site-specific zoning permissions into Exception 265 of the New ZBL and that the Proposed Amendments still maintain a 10 year time limit on transitional rights.



Site-Specific Exemptions

It appears that s. 1.6.2.6 has been introduced to address situations where site-specific approvals have not been addressed by the New ZBL. Problematically, the new clause fails to account for situations where an exception has been incorrectly transposed into the New By-law.

A provision clarifying that the original site-specific approval prevails in the event of a conflict with the New By-law should be added.

Zoning By-law Amendments and Concurrent Applications

The proposed changes in s. 1.6.3.3.1 do not clarify whether a zoning by-law amendment deemed complete as of October 20, 2021 would amend Zoning By-law 1-88 (the "**Former ZBL**"), or the New ZBL, or both.

If the intent is to amend the Former ZBL, there is a conflict with the Preamble to the transition provisions, which indicates that assessments of transition issues will be determined under the Former ZBL "as it read on October 20, 2021", which would negate an approval obtained after that date.

There seems to be an intent to allow certain applications that implement a zoning by-law amendment filed on or before October 20, 2021, but not yet approved, to be assessed under the Former ZBL (see s. 1.6.3.3.2). However, that flexibility does not apply where a related application is filed concurrently with a zoning by-law amendment application prior to October 20, 2021, as is the case here.

Furthermore, the flexibility is again negated by the requirement to comply with the Former ZBL "as it read on October 20, 2021". Similarly, Section 1.6.3.3.5, which allows for approval of applications filed on or before October 20, 2021, omits Official Plan and Site Plan approvals, which should be included, and is also affected by the "as it read on October 20, 2021" issue.

Ten Year Time Limit

The proposed revisions in s. 1.6.4.2, which as written repeals the transition provisions of the New ZBL after 10 years, do not address the fundamental problem of indeterminate liability for property owners, and are arbitrary. Our client urges the City to adopt a more measured approach by converting the 10 year sunset date to a *review* of the transition provisions in 10 years.



Conclusion

We thank you for the opportunity to provide comments and kindly request confirmation of receipt of these written submissions, along with, notice of all future steps in this matter.

Yours sincerely,

MWM: al

- copy: Ms. Wendy Law, Deputy City Manager, Legal and Administrative Services, and City Solicitor Ms. Caterina Facciolo, Deputy City Solicitor, Planning and Real Estate Ms. Candace Tashos, Legal Counsel
 - Mr. Nick Spensieri, City Manager
 - Mr. Haiqing Xu, Deputy City Manager, Planning and Growth Management
 - Mr. Brandon Correia, Manager of Special Projects, Planning and Growth Management
 - Mr. Elvio Valente, Building Standards, Manager, Zoning Services and Zoning Administrator
 - Ms. Rosemarie Humphries, R.P.P., M.C.I.P., Humphries Planning Group Inc. Client