

COMMUNICATION – C4
ITEM 5
Committee of the Whole (Closed Session)
May 12, 2021

From: [Simone Barb](#)
To: [Casella, Tony](#); [Coles, Todd](#)
Cc: [Richard Lonsell](#); [Robert A. Kenedy](#); [Sustainable Vaughan](#); [IRENE FORD](#); [Keep Vaughan Green](#); [Andre Wili](#); [Kathryn Angus](#); [Bob Moroz](#); [Jaf ate, Marilyn](#); [DeFrance.ca, Rosanna](#); [Bacco, Sandra](#); [Shefman, Alan](#); [Rosati, Gino](#); [Jackson, Linda](#); [Ferri, Mario](#); [Noor Javed](#); [Celeste Dugas, MOE](#); [Phyllis Barbieri](#); [MATT MCNEICE](#); [Kristen Sones](#); [Matthew Randa](#); [Andrea Brown](#); [Ryan Stern](#); [Suppa, Frank](#)
Subject: [External] Re: 5550 Langstaff Rd.,
Date: Wednesday, April 21, 2021 5:00:09 PM

Todd,

Please add this document to the Special Council Meeting

That will correct the record of Mr Carella statement that the site was not contaminated

Regards,
Simone Barbieri

FURTHER TO EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE #1/08
To be held Friday, March 7, 2008

Pages

FURTHER TO:

8. SECTION II - ITEMS FOR EXECUTIVE ACTION

8.1 ERRATA UPDATE SHEET

132-133

WITHDRAWN

8.1.37 2058433 ONTARIO LTD.

WITHDRAWN

8.1.48 11 BUTTONVILLE CRESCENT EAST

NEW PERMITS

CITY OF TORONTO (NORTH YORK COMMUNITY COUNCIL AREA)

8.1.68 28 DONWOODS DRIVE

To construct, reconstruct, erect or place a building or structure on Lot 41, Plan 2343, (28 Donwoods Drive), in the City of Toronto (North York Community Council Area), Don River Watershed. The purpose is to develop within a Regulated Area of the Don River Watershed to facilitate construction of a new two-storey dwelling. The existing dwelling is to be demolished.

134-135

CITY OF VAUGHAN

8.1.69 1668137 ONTARIO INC.

To site grade and temporarily or permanently place, dump or remove any material, originating on the site or elsewhere on Part of Lot 11, Concession 8, (5550 Langstaff Road), in the City of Vaughan, Humber River Watershed as located on the property owned by 1668137 Ontario Inc. The purpose is to undertake works within a TRCA Regulated Area of the Humber River Watershed in order to remediate a contaminated site and to restore an altered valley / stream feature on Part of Lot 11, Concession 8 (5550 Langstaff Road), in the City of Vaughan.

135-138

TOWN OF CALEDON

8.1.70 RIVER'S EDGE BOLTON LTD. C/O KIRK MAWHINNEY

To construct, reconstruct, erect or place a building or structure, site grade and temporarily or permanently place, dump or remove any material, originating on the site or elsewhere on Lot 53, 63, Plan 43R-20636, (60 Ann Street), in the Town of Caledon, Humber River Watershed as located on the property owned by River's Edge Bolton Ltd. c/o Kirk Mawhinney. The purpose is to construct a new residential condominium building within the Bolton Special Policy Area. 138-142

Sent from my iPhone

On Apr 21, 2021, at 4:17 PM, Simone Barb [REDACTED] wrote:

Hello Todd,

Please add this second communication to the special council meeting

<letter 04212021x2.pdf>

Regards,
Simone Barbieri

Sent from my iPhone

On Apr 21, 2021, at 1:26 PM, Simone Barb <[REDACTED]> wrote:

Todd,

Please place this communications on the City website for the Special Council meeting regarding 5550 Langstaff. Please add my communication received today to Special Council meeting as well.

As well as the 2 Orders from the Ministry of Environment. As well as a copy of the court Order for the hauling as well.

Regards,
Simone Barbieri
<letter to council 04202021 pdf>

<20210129132018937 pdf>

<20210106135918091 2 pdf>

<2014 CoV Contaminated Sites Policy Update 2 pdf>

<new doc 2018-03-11 22 04 13_20181130135432 pdf>

<Final Remediation Action Plan pdf>

<https://pub-vaughan.escrimemeetings.com/Meeting.aspx?id=ac33c990-4578-4abc-93e4-518a692b2ce9&Agenda=Addendum&lang=English&Item=14>

Sent from my iPhone

On Apr 21, 2021, at 11:33 AM, Simone Barb <[REDACTED]> wrote:

Hello All,

Please see attached communication regarding April20/2021 meeting regarding 5550 Langstaff

Also attached is the 2 Current Ministry Orders that are currently outstanding and have not been complied with by the developer The first order Amended to the second Director's order

Regards,
Simone
<letter to council 04202021 pdf>

<20210106135918091 2 pdf>

<20210129132018937 pdf>

Sent from my iPhone

On Apr 20, 2021, at 6:15 PM, Phyllis Barbieri <[REDACTED]> wrote:

Good evening to all:

This email I find disturbing that Councilor Carella is behaving so careless with his constituents well being!!

I have been struggling since this nightmare began!! The fact that we are being totally ignored and this is another bad decision!!

Suzanne Craig Integrity Commissioner has constantly been made apprised!! of how we have been ignored Yet she is failing us to

I demand that Tony Carella step down It's clear due to his age he can't think clearly This application is not protecting the City's risk Don't forget Gentile is suing, so why are do you doing business with him???

Phyllis

Sent from my iPhone

On Apr 20, 2021, at 2:19 PM, Richard Lorello <[REDACTED]> wrote:

Mr. Coles

Please place this communications on the City website for the Special Council meeting regarding 5550 Langstaff.

<https://pub-vaughan.escrimemeetings.com/Meeting.aspx?Id=ac33c990-4578-4abc-93e4-518a692b2ce9&Agenda=Addendum&lang=English&Item=14>

Councillor Carella

It is most disturbing that you brought this item forward as an addendum item regarding 5550 Langstaff without any notification to the community that is directly affected. You have an obligation to your constituents to not only apprise them any developments but you also have an obligation to allow for public input. Did you even consider meeting with the community to advise them of your intentions to proceed with the development at 5550 Langstaff?

This is yet another liberty that you have taken in the midst of a raging pandemic. Your actions in this matter are deplorable.

I strongly request that your addendum item be retracted until the people affected by this development have been notified of a public meeting to hear their input.

As you are well aware this development is situated on a recognised Ministry of Environment brown field where hazardous waste has been dumped dating back decades and for years the community has been concerned for their health and welfare.

You are aware that the residents of this community have had their lives turned upside down over the last 15 years with a history of poor management on the City's including the transport of dump trucks carrying hazardous material through their neighbourhood.

You are aware that the first phase of this development was started without permits or an acknowledged Record or Site Condition by the Ministry of Environment.

You are aware that the residents of this community have been waiting for years for some kind of resolution to this matter.

That said you decided to proceed with none other than an addendum item without any public notification or opportunity for the public for input. The manner in which this development has been managed over the years has also been deplorable.

Other members of Council and the Mayor also have an obligation to reject Councillor Carella addendum at the next Special Council Meeting until the proper protocols and public notices have been provided.

I and others would like to know what your plans are prior to making a motion to Council.

Sincerely
Richard T. Lorello

On Tuesday, April 20, 2021, 11:31:31 a.m. EDT, IRENE FORD [REDACTED]

Hello,

At this morning's meeting I tuned in late. Councillor Carella brought up a development application 5550 Langstaff Rd. I don't really understand why or how it got to be here or what the motion was fully about. He is pushing to get approval for something so the construction on this site can get the green light. It sound like the Mayor is going to schedule a Special Council meeting for this. I think Councillor Carella's intentions are good here and just wants this saga over with, at least that's how he's presented this.

I take issue more with the process as to why and how a development application came up in this meeting. It would seem the issue will now by-pass CofW and go straight to a Special Council meeting as per Vaughan's by-law no one can speak at Council meetings so it sounds like whatever just happened circumvented opportunities for residents to give deputations. Councillor Carella is willing to take the heat for this b/c the saga has been going on for so long...

If you are more familiar with the history and have concerns here you may want to reach out to inquire what is happening. I will not be doing anything further with this item.

Irene

["Joint failure": 15-year saga over Vaughan dump site development heats up — again — due to waste haulage](#)

