

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**To:** [Committee of Adjustment](#); [Clerks@vaughan.ca](mailto:Clerks@vaughan.ca); [Vigneault, Christine](#)  
**Cc:** [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** [External] 1115 Clarence Ave. / 81 Appian Way - Ashley Park Developments Inc.  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 18, 2020 11:32:14 PM

---

Firstly, I hope everyone is doing well during this COVID uncertainty.  
Secondly, if the provincial medical officers are giving clearance to students to return to school, then the City of Vaughan and the Committee of Adjustment should be open to the public engaging with 50 people per room just like at the theatres...there is no excuse for the public to be prohibited from engaging with our government....not January 4, 2021.  
We've had previous issues with the Committee of Adjustment audio and minutes not functioning properly when we gave deputations. Now we are experiencing difficulty with electronic deputation registration showing an error 404 code.

Did the application register as 1115 Clarence Ave or as 81 Appian Way?  
There has been no staff and consulting discussions with the community for input.

The Committee of Adjustment must follow all Bylaw Requirements according to all subdivision master plans that were approved by Council for the surrounding area including Appian Way. The variances don't adhere to the original master plan. Many examples abound with sheds / cabanas, etc. being torn down because they didn't comply with Bylaws and weren't granted variances. This project must comply with Bylaws ..no exceptions.

Does a Development Agreement supersede a Subdivision Agreement in meeting Committee of Adjustment conditions? There is a strong suspicion that the previous developments didn't meet Committee of Adjustment deadline conditions and bypassed issues by being granted a Development Agreement.....this is an improper maneuver.

Why are we not following a 150 metre notification, instead of 60 metres, to the residents?  
Why are the house, cabana , and pool not being registered as separate applications?  
The GWRA met with the engineering department and received assurances that the road would be the same width and straight as the rest of Appian Way, including road parking. The road doesn't comply and has a meandering feature structure..totally out of design.  
Why does this not follow the rest of the road? ...this road section is too narrow. This affects this development. There is no available road parking because it will obstruct thorough traffic.

There are 2 hasbults on this property. Is this a possible decoy for creating a new precedent in the architectural streetscape changes for a second house on the property and the adjacent development? The Committee of Adjustment has naively made many decisions that have led to negative future precedents because it deemed their decisions as minor variances.

AS FOR ASSERTIONS THAT COMMUNITY INPUT TO DEVELOPMENT IN THEIR NEIGHBOURHOOD IS A VEXATIOUS OR FRIVOLOUS CLAIM IS ERRONEOUS AND PART OF THE DEMOCRATIC PROCESS.

Best Regards  
**Tony Lorini**  
**President**  
**Greater Woodbridge Ratepayer Association**