## Good evening members of cour

PUBLIC HEARING COMMUNICATION

Date: Mars 20 ITEM NO. 4

My name is Sabrina, and I am here to ask you to grant an Interim Control bylaw for permit Application 19T-19V007.

I have lived in Vaughan for almost 40 years and have watched it change from farm land and forest all around to becoming an urban area. I have seen it change for the better and I have also witnessed changes that have hindered it. The application to rezone the Board of Trade to a residential area will have the most impact on my family, my neighbours and myself.

Accepting this proposal without granting an interim control bylaw will change my area forever.

Construction on this green space will not elevate the quality of life for anyone in my neighborhood. It will create more congestion on the roads that are already backed up. In fact, When I have to take my son to swim lessons at Woodbridge memorial I can either take clarence south to Woodbridge Avenue where I have to wait a few light changes before I can turn left because woodbridge avenue is always backed up.

My second option is to take islington south bound where I am stuck in grid lock. A drive that should take 5 minues turns into a 20 minute one.

More homes means more cars and traffic. we don't need studies to tell us that. we are not incompetent. Take a drive during traffic

hour and then imagine what the impact of 3000 more vehicles in small space will create and tell me if the infrastructure is conducive to building this so called "Village"

Does their supposed taffic study even take into consideration traffic from projects that are still under construction? If I wanted to live in traffic I would have bought a home downtown Toronto. I speak on everones behalf when I say we took pride in choosing this area because of its green space and the quiet lifestyle it provided. We don't want more cars on our roads!

Besides the traffic I had the opportunity to briefly look at the tree study that was conducted. I stopped after only the first page. Oddly trees that were worthy of being saved were located exactly on the lot lines of the proposed development.

Does the developer think we are all stupid? The Arborist report is an insult to our intelligence. Trees with diameters of i3 meters are being cut? If I proposed that for a tree in my backyard I would be arrested.. trees of that magnitude should be treasured and preserved.

Finally allowing an entrance or exit into an already complete street such as wycliffe is ridiculous. Counicillors the idea that a home in an established area be demolished to create a road in obsurd.

Have you driven by The home the developer is proposing to take down? It is not a decrepit old house. It is a beautiful home that has been landscaped. Why would we allow it to be rezoned into a road? Also what happens to the homes that will now flank it? Why do they have to suffer a loss to the value of their home?

If they wanted a corner lot they would have purchased one. The idea to tear it down to build a road to suit the sole benefit of a builder is ridiculous. Noone would like to have a neighbor's home teared down only to have a steet replace it. Not to mention that these homes flanking the proposed street opening were never even intended or aesthetically designed to be corner lots.

Finally, I chose to live in an established area for the mere reason that I do not want to live in dust or dirt or be inconvenienced by construction crews and dump trucks dumping driving by to drop fill especially into this pristine area. Had I wanted to do that I definietly would have bought in a new build subdivision outside of My area where the price of a home like mine would be significantly less. I chose this area for the

mere fact that it was complete. An area that was already established.

Mr. Bevelaqua in September of 2019 following an educational open house hosted at Doctors McLean District Park: you stated "In Vaughan, we are dedicated to championing healthy and sustainable neighbourhoods that will continue to elevate the quality of life for everyone.

Well Accepting this proposal will not elevate the quality of life for anyone who lives here. It will not benefit anyone but the pockets of the developers who definitely do not live in this area being affected. i can guarantee elevating density to this magnitude is a hinderance to our quality of life. There will be less greenspace, gridlock, mess on the roads and more pollution with less trees to counteract it.

Councillors I urge you all to take a drive on Clarence to see the beauty that lies there and afterward take a drive on Islington preferably during traffic hour to see what road congestion feels like for us. Bear in mind what an additional 3000 vehicles would do.

I am not opposed to developing land however, allowing construction of this magnitude in an already complete neighbourhood over a beautiful green space will certainly destroy my neighbourhood. Homes can be built

anywhere else in Vaughan but not on this greenspace that is already established!

This area is our home and should not be desiccated for the sole purpose of the developer and builder. They should not be allowed to change our landscape for their benefit alone.

Council members I urge you to grant an interim control bylaw so that alternate non biased studies can show the true impact that this construction will have on our community.

Thank you for your time.