

CITY OF VAUGHAN COUNCIL MINUTES FEBRUARY 11, 2020

Table of Contents

<u>Minute No.</u> <u>Page No.</u>		
10.	CONFIRMATION OF AGENDA	
11.	DISCLOSURE OF INTEREST	
12.	ADOPTION OR CORRECTION OF MINUTES	
13.	COMMUNICATIONS	
14.	DETERMINATION OF ITEMS REQUIRING SEPARATE DISCUSSION	
15.	CONSIDERATION OF ITEMS REQUIRING SEPARATE DISCUSSION4	
16.	RESOLUTION TO RESOLVE INTO CLOSED SESSION	
17.	CONSIDERATION OF ITEMS REQUIRING SEPARATE DISCUSSION5	
18.	REIMBURSEMENT OF LEGAL EXPENSES FOR MEMBERS OF COUNCIL 6	
19.	CORRESPONDENCE RECEIVED BY THE CHIEF HUMAN RESOURCES	
	OFFICER	
20.	APPROVAL OF ITEMS NOT REQUIRING SEPARATE DISCUSSION13	
21.	BY-LAWS	
22.	CONFIRMING BY-LAW14	
23	AD IOURNMENT	

CITY OF VAUGHAN

COUNCIL MEETING

TUESDAY, FEBRUARY 11, 2020

MINUTES

Council convened in the Municipal Council Chamber in Vaughan, Ontario, at 1:04 p.m.

The following members were present:

Hon. Maurizio Bevilacqua, Mayor Regional Councillor Mario Ferri Regional Councillor Gino Rosati Regional Councillor Linda D. Jackson Councillor Tony Carella Councillor Rosanna DeFrancesca Councillor Marilyn Iafrate Councillor Alan Shefman Councillor Sandra Yeung Racco

10. CONFIRMATION OF AGENDA

MOVED by Regional Councillor Ferri seconded by Councillor DeFrancesca

THAT the agenda be confirmed.

AMENDMENT

MOVED by Regional Councillor Ferri seconded by Councillor DeFrancesca

That the following addendums be added to the agenda:

 REIMBURSEMENT OF LEGAL EXPENSES FOR MEMBERS OF <u>COUNCIL</u>

Report of the Integrity Commissioner and Lobbyist Registrar with respect to the above.

2. CORRESPONDENCE RECEIVED BY THE CHIEF HUMAN RESOURCES OFFICER

Confidential verbal report with respect to the above.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Upon the question of the main motion:

CARRIED AS AMENDED

11. DISCLOSURE OF INTEREST

There was no disclosure of interest by any member.

12. ADOPTION OR CORRECTION OF MINUTES

MOVED by Regional Councillor Jackson seconded by Councillor Shefman

THAT the minutes of the Council meeting of January 28, 2020 be adopted as presented.

CARRIED

13. COMMUNICATIONS

MOVED by Councillor Yeung Racco seconded by Councillor Shefman

THAT Communications C1 to C8 inclusive be received and referred to their respective items on the agenda.

CARRIED

14. <u>DETERMINATION OF ITEMS REQUIRING SEPARATE DISCUSSION</u>

The following items were identified for separate discussion:

Committee of the Whole Report No. 4

Item 1

Committee of the Whole (Closed Session) Report No. 5

Item 3

Addendum Items

Items 1 and 2

15. CONSIDERATION OF ITEMS REQUIRING SEPARATE DISCUSSION

COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE REPORT NO. 4

(Refer to Committee Report for complete recommendations and documentation on all Committee items.)

ITEM – 1 VMC YMCA CENTRE OF COMMUNITY AND LIBRARY – BUDGET AMENDMENT - EMERGENCY PREPAREDNESS

MOVED by Councillor DeFrancesca seconded by Regional Councillor Jackson

THAT Item 1, Committee of the Whole Report No. 4 be adopted and amended, as follows:

By approving the following in accordance with communication C8 from the Interim City Manager, dated February 11, 2020:

- 1) That the Emergency Management Program Committee (EMPC) report back to Council no later than June 2020 with the proposed approach and key elements of an Emergency Preparedness and Resiliency strategy; and
- 2) That a decision regarding location and placement of generators within the City of Vaughan, be deferred until after the Emergency Management Program Committee report back to Council;

By receiving the report of the Deputy City Manager, Corporate Services and Chief Financial Officer and the Interim City Manager, dated February 4, 2020; and

By receiving the following communications:

- C4 Ms. Carrie Liddy, dated February 6, 2020; and
- C7 Fire Chief Deryn Rizzi, dated February 10, 2020.

CARRIED

16. RESOLUTION TO RESOLVE INTO CLOSED SESSION

MOVED by Councillor Shefman seconded by Regional Councillor Jackson

That Council resolve into Closed Session for the purpose of discussing the following matters:

- 2. REIMBURSEMENT OF LEGAL EXPENSES FOR MEMBERS OF COUNCIL (Addendum No. 1)

(advice that is subject to solicitor-client privilege)

CARRIED

Council recessed at 1:12 p.m.

MOVED by Councillor lafrate seconded by Councillor Shefman

THAT Council reconvene at 5:45 p.m.

CARRIED

Council reconvened at 5:45 p.m. with all members present.

17. CONSIDERATION OF ITEMS REQUIRING SEPARATE DISCUSSION

COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE (CLOSED SESSION) REPORT NO. 5

(Refer to Committee Report for complete recommendations and documentation on all Committee items.)

ITEM – 3 VFRS LABOUR RELATIONS AND NEGOTIATIONS

MOVED by Councillor Shefman seconded by Councillor Iafrate

THAT Item 3, Committee of the Whole (Closed Session) Report No. 5 be adopted and amended, as follows:

By approving the confidential recommendation of the Council (Closed Session) meeting of February 11, 2020.

CARRIED

Addendum Items

18. REIMBURSEMENT OF LEGAL EXPENSES FOR MEMBERS OF COUNCIL (Addendum No. 1)

MOVED by Councillor DeFrancesca seconded by Regional Councillor Jackson

- 1) That consideration of this matter be deferred to a future meeting and a report be provided with further options; and
- 2) That the confidential recommendation of the Council (Closed Session) meeting of February 11, 2020, be approved.

CARRIED

Report of the Integrity Commissioner and Lobbyist Registrar, dated February 11, 2020

Purpose

Members of Council are exposed to a variety of potential or actual liabilities or costs in the good faith performance of duties.

The purpose of this report is to offer for Council's consideration, amendments to the Code Complaint Protocol that provide a process for the reimbursement of legal expenses where a Member of Council is named in a Code of Conduct complaint.¹

¹ Code in this Report refers to the Code of Ethical Conduct for Members of Council and Local Boards

Report Highlights

- In 2010, the Integrity Commissioner recommended that the Code Complaint Protocol be amended to include a new provision that would consider payment of legal expenses to Members of Council while they were acting within the scope of their duties or in the course of their mandate and were not acting against the interests of the City in matters governed by the Code.
- The provision providing for such indemnification was adopted by Council in 2011 and formed part of the Code Complaint Protocol until the Code Complaint Protocol was amended in 2019.
- There had been no recommendations made to remove the reimbursement provisions of the Code Complaint Protocol from the 2019 updated version.
- As such, staff seek Council's direction on whether to re-introduce the reimbursement provisions into the Code Complaint Protocol, such that legal expenses in relation to non-MCIA and MCIA Code complaints are also subject to reimbursement
- The objective of the inclusion of a section for payment of legal expenses into the Code Complaint Protocol is to provide Members of Council with reasonable protection from personal financial losses or expenses incurred while they were acting within the scope of their duties or in the course of their mandate, and were not acting against the interests of the City in matters governed by the Code.

Recommendations

If Council determines that amendments to the Code of Ethical Conduct for Members of Council and Local Boards Complaint Protocol (the "Code Complaint Protocol") regarding indemnification are necessary, the Integrity Commissioner recommends that Council consider the adoption of the options set out below.

Background

A. Non-MCIA Code Complaints

In 2017 the Province passed legislation to amend the *Municipal Act, 2001* and the *MCIA*, giving Integrity Commissioners the authority to review and consider complaints made under the *MCIA* beginning March 1, 2019.

In response to these amendments, in 2019 the Integrity Commissioner and City staff brought forward a revised Code of Ethical Conduct for Members of Council and Local Boards (the "Code"), as well as a revised Code Complaint Protocol. The revised Code as well as a revised Code Complaint Protocol were approved by Council on June 12, 2019. Section 19 of the pre-June 2019 Code Complaint Protocol, was not included in the revised Code Complaint Protocol.

Currently, if a formal complaint against a Member of Council is filed with the Integrity Commissioner under the Code with regards to a violation under the *MCIA*, the legal expenses related to responding to the complaint are covered under the Indemnification By-law, so long as the Member has been exonerated. In other words, a Member of Council may seek reimbursement for such legal expense under the Indemnification By-law, *if* the Member is found not to have contravened section 5 of the *MCIA*.

Currently, neither the Indemnification By-law nor the Code Complaint Protocol address indemnification for legal expenses incurred by a Member of Council related to Code complaints that are not related to the *MCIA*.

B. Indemnification for Code Complaints in Other Municipalities

Staff made inquiries and found some examples of indemnification policies in other municipalities in respect of their indemnification policies.

City of Vaughan

From 2011 until the recent amendments in 2019, Vaughan's Code Complaint Protocol contained a provision that allowed for reimbursement of legal expenses related to Code of Conduct complaints in certain circumstances, as excerpted below:

Reimbursement of Legal Expenses

- 19. (1) A Member of Council who is subject of an Integrity Commissioner complaint under Part A (Informal Complaint Procedure) or Part B (Formal Complaint Procedure) under this Protocol may charge against the Member's office budget the actual legal expense incurred for consultation with a lawyer of up to \$500.00.
- (2) A Member of Council who is the subject of an Integrity Commissioner complaint investigation * under this Protocol may be reimbursed for actual and reasonable expenses incurred for consultation with a lawyer of up to \$5000.00, where it is determined that there has been no contravention of the Code of Ethical Conduct by the Member.
- *An Integrity Commissioner complaint investigation begins when the Integrity Commissioner opens a case file and gives notice of the same to the Member of Council subject of the formal complaint.

Town of Whitchurch-Stouffville

Whitchurch-Stouffville reimburses legal expenses related to Code of Conduct complaints in certain circumstances, as set out below:

Reimbursement of Legal Expenses

19. (1) A Member of Council who is subject of an Integrity Commissioner complaint under Part A (Informal Complaint Procedure) or Part B (Formal Complaint Procedure) under this Protocol may charge against the Member's office budget the actual legal expense incurred for consultation with a lawyer of up to \$700.00.

(2) A Member of Council who is the subject of an Integrity Commissioner complaint investigation * under this Protocol may be reimbursed for actual and reasonable expenses incurred for consultation with a lawyer of up to \$10,000.00, where it is determined that there has been no contravention of the Code of Ethical Conduct by the Member.

*An Integrity Commissioner complaint investigation begins when the Integrity Commissioner opens a case file and gives notice of the same to the Member of Council subject of the formal complaint.

City of Ottawa

Ottawa reimburses legal expenses related to Code of Conduct complaints in certain circumstances, as set out below (By-law 2018-400 (Code of Conduct for Members of Council)):

The Member who is the subject of the investigation may consult with a lawyer and charge this to their office budget. If the complaint is determined to have merit, the Integrity Commissioner may require the Member to reimburse these expenses to the City.

City of Toronto

Toronto reimburses legal expenses related to Code of Conduct complaints in certain circumstances, as set out below:

- 11. (1) Subject to this section and Council's policy on office expense budget use, claims for reimbursement by a member of Council for costs under this section shall be processed under the Indemnification Policy for Members of Council.
- (2) A complainant and a member who are parties to a complaint under this procedure shall each be reimbursed for actual and reasonable legal and related expenses up to a maximum of: (a) \$5,000; or (b) \$20,000, if the Integrity Commissioner has elected to investigate the complaint by exercise of the powers of a commission under Parts I and II of the Public Inquiries Act.
- (3) In the case of an application under the Judicial Review Procedure Act for judicial review of actions taken on a complaint against a member of council by the Integrity Commissioner, Council: (a) where a member made the judicial review application, the member is eligible for reimbursement of legal costs, including additional legal costs in a successful application, that are not covered by the costs awarded by the court, up to a maximum of \$20,000. (b) a member may apply for reimbursement of the legal costs of intervention in a judicial review application where the member's interests are at stake, up to a maximum of \$20,000.
- (4) Council may consider the reimbursement of costs above the limit in subsections (2) and (3) on a case by case basis.

- (5) Costs may be provided in advance in an investigation, if the Integrity Commissioner is of the opinion that the use of a lawyer by one or more of the parties would facilitate the carrying out of the investigation, and subsections (6) and (7) do not apply to the advance costs paid under this subsection.
- (6) Costs shall only be reimbursed under this section to the complainant, if the Integrity Commissioner concludes that the complaint is not frivolous, vexatious or made in bad faith and the Integrity Commissioner's conclusion is not overturned on judicial review.
- (7) Costs shall only be reimbursed under this section to the member: (a) if the Integrity Commissioner concludes that there has been no contravention of the Code of Conduct by the member or that the member is not blameworthy as described in section 7, and the Integrity Commissioner's conclusion is not overturned on judicial review; or (b) where Council receives the Integrity Commissioner's report on a violation and determines that it should not take any action.
- (8) Any award of costs under subsection (7) shall be contingent on a report from the City Solicitor in consultation with the Integrity Commissioner.

Previous Reports/Authority

<u>2011 REPORT ON REIMBURSEMENT OF LEGAL EXPENSES FOR MEMBERS OF COUNCIL ON COMPLAIN</u>TS TO THE INTEGRITY COMMISSIONER

Analysis and Options

C. Policy Decision Considerations

Any amendment to the Code Complaint Protocol to reimburse legal expenses incurred to respond to Code of Conduct complaints brought against Members of Council should satisfy the following public interest objectives:

- Encouraging the highest standards of professional and ethical behaviour among elected officials;
- Protect elected officials who act in good faith in the performance of their official duties.

In the course of carrying-out their official duties, Members of Council are potentially subject to a legal action or Code of Conduct complaint, including one that alleges a contravention of the *MCIA*. Generally, the indemnification for Code complaints will provide for the payment of legal fees, as well as costs incurred or made against a Member of Council in response to a Code of Conduct complaint.

Indemnifying Members of Council for Code complaints brought against them in the exercise of their municipal duties and functions is a best practice. It helps the City attract and retain municipal officials with the assurance that they will not suffer negative personal financial consequences from the good faith exercise of their official duties.

The *MCIA* provides that a municipality may protect a member of Council or any local board who has been found not to have contravened Section 5 of the *MCIA* by the payment of legal costs or reimbursement to the member for such costs.

The Integrity Commissioner proposes to enhance the Code Complaint Protocol (and recommends a corresponding amendment to the City's Indemnification Bylaw) to provide reimbursement for these circumstances in accordance with the *MCIA* and the *Municipal Act*. This is consistent with bylaws enacted by other municipalities.

D. Options

The *MCIA* provides the legal framework within which to identify, declare, address and adjudicate conflicts of interest of Members of Council. The Integrity Commissioner may receive and investigate *MCIA* complaints. If, upon completion of an investigation, the Integrity Commissioner determines that on a balance of probabilities there has been a violation of the *MCIA*, or is otherwise of the opinion that it is in the City's interest for a judge to determine if there has been a violation of the section 5, 5.1 or 5.2 of the *MCIA*, the Integrity Commissioner may apply to a judge for such a determination.

The Integrity Commissioner recommends that Council consider the following in respect of reimbursement of actual legal expenses incurred by Members of Council for Code (non-MCIA and MCIA) complaints to the Integrity Commissioner.

Consideration 1:

- That Council consider re-introducing section 19 of the Code Complaint Protocol with the following modifications:
- A provision that will allow funding for payment of legal expenses for responding to a Code of Conduct complaint (including a complaint alleging a contravention of the *MCIA*), in advance of a ruling that the member has not breached the Code. Payment will be made on the condition that the Member would not be entitled to the payment if the member was found to have breached the Code (including the *MCIA*).
- A provision that a Member be required to repay any advanced funds received, if following the conclusion of a Code complaint investigation, the Integrity Commissioner makes a finding of contravention in respect a Member's conduct.²

-	`	
•	1	r
•	,	ı

² Section 12 of the Code Complaint Protocol provides that if, upon completion of the investigation, the Integrity Commissioner determines that on a balance of probabilities there has been a violation of the *MCIA*, or is otherwise of the opinion that it is in the City's interest for a judge to determine if there has been a violation of the *MCIA*, the Integrity Commissioner may apply to a judge for such a determination. Only a judge can make a determination of whether there has been a violation of sections 5, 5.1, or 5.2 of the *MCIA*.

- A provision that a Member not be required to repay the City any of the funds advanced, if the Integrity Commissioner finds that:
 - there has been no contravention; or
 - a contravention has occurred by reason of inadvertence, or
 - a contravention has occurred by reason of a bona fide error in judgment;

Consideration 2:

 That Council consider including a provision that will allow Members to seek advance reimbursement up to a specific amount (i.e. \$25,000), and that Council approval be obtained for further advance funding.

Consideration 3:

- That Council consider including a provision that sets out the process for requests for reimbursement, which would include:
- a Member's request for and approval of advance funding be made to the City Solicitor and in appropriate circumstances, the City Solicitor may consult with the Integrity Commissioner and/or external counsel on making this decision.

Consideration 4:

 That Council consider whether to extend similar indemnification to members of local boards (which would include members on the Accessibility Advisory Committee, Committee of Adjustment, Heritage Vaughan Committee, and Property Standards Committee).

Financial Impact

The financial impact to the City is not known at this time, as it will depend on the volume of requests submitted and the costs of legal fees for each one.

Broader Regional Impacts/Considerations

NA

Conclusion

The current Indemnification By-law includes reimbursement for legal expenses in relation to *MCIA* proceedings only but not for expenses related to non- *MCIA* Code Complaints to the Integrity Commissioner. As such, the Integrity Commissioner recommends that Council provide direction to staff on whether it wishes to reintroduce reimbursement provisions into the Code Complaint Protocol with any necessary modifications, as provided for in this report.

For more information, please contact Suzanne Craig

Attachments

 2011 REPORT ON REIMBURSEMENT OF LEGAL EXPENSES FOR MEMBERS OF COUNCIL ON COMPLAINTS TO THE INTEGRITY COMMISSIONER

Prepared by

Suzanne Craig, Integrity Commissioner and Lobbyist Registrar x8301

(A copy of the attachments referred to in the foregoing have been forwarded to each Member of Council and a copy thereof is also on file in the Office of the City Clerk.)

19. CORRESPONDENCE RECEIVED BY THE CHIEF HUMAN RESOURCES OFFICER

(Addendum No. 2)

MOVED by Councillor Shefman seconded by Councillor Iafrate

1) That the confidential recommendation of the Council (Closed Session) meeting of February 11, 2020, be approved.

CARRIED

20. APPROVAL OF ITEMS NOT REQUIRING SEPARATE DISCUSSION

MOVED by Councillor Carella seconded by Regional Councillor Ferri

THAT Items 1 to 11 of the Committee of the Whole Report No. 4, with the exception of the item identified for separate discussion, BE APPROVED and the recommendations therein be adopted;

THAT Items 1 to 3 of the Committee of the Whole (Closed Session) Report No. 5, with the exception of the item identified for separate discussion, BE APPROVED and the recommendations therein be adopted; and

THAT Items 1 to 5 of the Committee of the Whole (Public Hearing) Report No. 6, BE APPROVED and the recommendations therein be adopted.

CARRIED

21. BY-LAWS

MOVED by Councillor DeFrancesca seconded by Councillor Yeung Racco

THAT the following by-laws be enacted:

BY-LAW NUMBER 010-2020

A By-law to authorize the acquisition of lands required for the Portage Parkway Extension and to authorize the Mayor and Clerk to execute Agreement(s) of Purchase and Sale between Met Residences Corp. and the Corporation of the City of Vaughan. (Item 2, Committee of the Whole (Closed Session), Report No. 5, Council, February 11, 2020)

BY-LAW NUMBER 011-2020

A By-law to assume Municipal Services in The Bridalpath of Thornhill Subdivision Phase 2, 19T-03V01, Registered Plan 65M-4135. (Thornhill Ravines Development Corporation dated May 25, 2009, in Part of Lot 19, Concession 2) (Delegation By-law 005-2018)

BY-LAW NUMBER 012-2020

A By-law to exempt parts of Plan 65M-4639 from the provisions of Part Lot Control. (PLC.19.014, Paradise Homes Kleinburg Inc., located south of Nashville Road and east of Huntington Road, being Lots 4 to 7, 10 to 20, 42 to 44, 49 to 58, 70 to 75, 116 to 123 and Block 128 on Registered Plan 65M-4639) (Delegation By-law 005-2018)

BY-LAW NUMBER 013-2020

A By-law to exempt parts of Plan 65M-4639 from the provisions of Part Lot Control. (PLC.19.013, Arista Homes (New Kleinburg) Inc., located south of Nashville Road and east of Huntington Road, being Lots 8, 9, 30 to 34, 40, 41, 47, 48, 83, 112 to 115, 124, 125, and Block 126 on Registered Plan 65M-4639) (Delegation By-law 005-2018)

CARRIED

22. CONFIRMING BY-LAW

MOVED by Regional Councillor Rosati seconded by Regional Councillor Jackson

THAT By-law Number 014-2020, being a by-law to confirm the proceedings of Council at its meeting on February 11, 2020, be enacted.

CARRIED

23.	<u>ADJOURNMENT</u>			
	MOVED by Councillor Carella seconded by Councillor DeFrancesca			
	THAT the meeting adjourn at 5:51 p.m.			
	CARRIED			
Hon.	Maurizio Bevilacqua, Mayor	Todd Coles, City Clerk		