Subject:

Objection to new development 11333 Dufferin st. - comments to be included in Monday oct. 7 at 7:00pm Vaughan City Hall – Council Chambers 2141

c____ Communication COUNCIL: △♂ 23

Rpt. No. <u>3</u> Item

----Original Message---

From: parham parnian

Sent: Monday, October 07, 2019 8:44 AM

To: Clerks@vaughan.ca

Subject: Objection to new development 11333 Dufferin st. - comments to be included in Monday oct. 7 at 7:00pm

Vaughan City Hall - Council Chambers 2141

To city of Vaughan,

I, Parham Parnian, P.Eng., M. Eng., resident of Kirby and Dufferin area, have noticed the application for new development in the vicinity of Kirby and Dufferin intersection (11333 Dufferin Rd.).

I hereby send this email in lieu of participating in the meeting dated Monday oct. 7 at 7:00pm Vaughan City Hall – Council Chambers 2141 to express my objection to new development in the area before providing the infrastructure that seems to be insufficient even for current residents.

My major concern to oppose this and similar developments in proximity of the Dufferin and Kirby intersection is lack of supporting infrastructure even for current density. The most critical item is Dufferin street, which the capacity is not even enough for current traffic.

It takes about 45 minutes to move from Kirby to Clark street in the morning and about the same in the afternoon in the opposite direction. Kirby is two lanes too (single lane each direction), with intersections keeping the same configuration of rather rural era. With no plan for public transportation, widening the roads, modifying the intersections, adding artillery roads and other solutions, even the capacity for current residents seems to be insufficient.

An example regarding incorrect intersection configuration is Kirby and Keele, where even with current traffic transitions are confusing, which creates potential for accident. With more traffic, this intersection will be very problematic. There is no by-pass lane for left turn in any direction, although it has become a busy intersection.

I couldn't find any indicative that there are plans to overcome such deficiencies. If there are such plans, major activities after increasing the density of the area will complicate the traffic exponentially as the increase in travels will add to the traffic problems due to construction phases.

In general, if plans for further development in the area are to be studied, a prior overhaul and expansion of the infrastructure and facilities to cover those developments- in advance - will be required.

In city of Vaughan, Vaughan Metropolitan Center seems to be a good example of planning ahead for increasing in the population density, and I hope that the city consider the same level of care for Dufferin Kirby area by advancing the required infrastructure before providing permit for future developments.

By the way due to above mentioned concerns, I disagree with new development close to Kirby and Dufferin. I will appreciate if my comments be included in the meeting.

Regards,

Parham Parnian

n (proffered communication method)