_			_
× 1	٤h	10	ct:
J	ıIJ	ıc	LL.

Application No. OP.19.003 - 4101 Rutherford Rc

CIL
Communication,
COUNCIL: Oct 2/19
PH Rpt. No. 26 Item 5

From: Kevin Doan <

Sent: Tuesday, September 17, 2019 4:35 PM

To: Clerks@vaughan.ca; Coles, Todd < Todd.Coles@vaughan.ca>; Messere, Clement < Clement.Messere@vaughan.ca>

Cc:

Subject: RE: Application No. OP.19.003 - 4101 Rutherford Road, Vaughan

Dear Mr. Coles, Mr. Messere, and City of Vaughan,

- 1. As it appears from a City's notice that I am required to confirm my objection in order to be allowed to be a party to any appeal in regard to any change to the Official Plan, I am therefore writing to confirm my objection to the above application for development at 4101 Rutherford Road.
- 2. My name is Kevin Doan and I reside within 250 metres of the area being proposed for development. At this time, I have two points to raise for the City's record and for City Council meeting scheduled for 7:00pm September 17, 2019:
 - a. I would like to ask why a traffic <u>safety</u> engineering report has not been required by the City for the developer to submit? I did not see such a report in the application materials submitted by the developer. I understand that such a report addresses <u>safety</u> issues rather than a report which only addresses volume of traffic or traffic flow which had been submitted. I further understand that such a report is required by the Region of York with <u>every</u> application for development. Does the City exempt the developer from providing a traffic safety engineering report? If so, please explain why. Will one be required by the City going forward?
 - b. I would further ask that <u>after</u> the City has addressed its issues if any with the developer, and as a result may have further received submissions from the developer in the future, will the public has an opportunity thereafter to raise further public concerns in response to future submissions by the developer? At this stage, as of September 17, 2019, new submissions from the developer may still be pending, given the City has <u>not</u> finally addressed its concerns with the developer, the public does not appear to have an opportunity to know the <u>full</u> record or the full case to respond to.

Sincerely,

Kevin Doan