

From: Clerks@vaughan.ca
To: John Britto
Subject: FW: [External] Proposed Development: Z.22.043 Location: Block 279, 65M-4491, 87 Keatley Drive
Date: Monday, January 20, 2025 8:39:15 AM

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Monday, January 20, 2025 5:02 AM
To: DevelopmentPlanning@vaughan.ca; Clerks@vaughan.ca; Chris Ainsworth <Chris.Ainsworth@vaughan.ca>; Steven Del Duca <Steven.DelDuca@vaughan.ca>; Linda Jackson <Linda.Jackson@vaughan.ca>; Mario Ferri <Mario.Ferri@vaughan.ca>; Gino Rosati <Gino.Rosati@vaughan.ca>; Mario G. Racco <MarioG.Racco@vaughan.ca>; Marilyn Iafrate <Marilyn.Iafrate@vaughan.ca>; Adriano Volpentesta <Adriano.Volpentesta@vaughan.ca>; Rosanna DeFrancesca <Rosanna.DeFrancesca@vaughan.ca>; Gila Martow <Gila.Martow@vaughan.ca>; preserve.ute@gmail.com
Subject: [External] Proposed Development: Z.22.043 Location: Block 279, 65M-4491, 87 Keatley Drive

CAUTION! This is an external email. Verify the sender's email address and carefully examine any links or attachments before clicking. If you believe this may be a phishing email, please use the Phish Alert Button.

Dear Council Members and Mayor's Office,

I respectfully urge you to:

1. **Reassess the zoning applications with a stronger focus on the well-being and interests of the local community.** As we all know, rezoning to RM1 is not in the best interests of the Upper Thornhill Estate community. It is essential that the public interest in maintaining the NC zoning is given thorough and thoughtful consideration. In fact, rezoning to RM1 will have a significant negative impact to the property values of nearby homes.
2. **Reject the development proposal in its current form.** The violations of the official plan and zoning by-laws are deeply concerning (as detailed in Attachments 8 & 9). It is the City's responsibility to uphold and enforce these regulations. Approving the proposal unconditionally would significantly undermine public trust and damage the reputation of the City Council and the Mayor's Office.
3. **If you choose to vote YES on January 21st, please do so with necessary conditions to protect the community.**

In addition to the concerns raised by others--such as parking, school capacity, and traffic--my particular concern is the **minimum interior side-yard setback**. This is because my property is directly adjacent to the proposed Block 04, which includes 12 units facing my home. A reduced setback would severely impact my privacy and increase noise levels, which are significant concerns for me and my family. I strongly urge the Council to enforce the **minimum interior side-yard setback of 7.5 meters**. As a resident of Vaughan, I have the right to oppose any development proposal that fails to adhere to this requirement.

I trust that the Council will take these concerns into account and make decisions that serve the best interests of our community.

Sincerely,

Tony Weng

■ Keatley Drive