C37.

Communication

CW(PM) - September 10, 2024

Item No. 2

From: Clerks@vaughan.ca
To: Assunta Ferrante

Subject: FW: [External] Opposition to Item No: 4 – 2 – COW – PUBLIC MEETING September 10

Date: Monday, September 9, 2024 9:24:38 AM

From: S Ro

Sent: Monday, September 09, 2024 8:46 AM

To: Marilyn Iafrate < Marilyn.lafrate@vaughan.ca>; Clerks@vaughan.ca; Marisa Provenzano

<Marisa.Provenzano@vaughan.ca>

Subject: [External] Opposition to Item No: 4 – 2 – COW – PUBLIC MEETING September 10

CAUTION! This is an external email. Verify the sender's email address and carefully examine any links or attachments before clicking. If you believe this may be a phishing email, please use the Phish Alert Button.

Dear Members of the Committee of the Whole, including the Honourable Mayor Del Duca and members of staff providing consultation to this matter.

I am writing to express my strong opposition to the proposed Zoning Amendment Application concerning high-density development within the subdivision, particularly at 9222 Keele Street, which is set to be discussed in the upcoming Committee of the Whole (Public Meeting) on September 10, 2024.

As a resident of Alberta Drive and this community, I have several concerns regarding the inclusion of our subdivision within the Protected Major Transit Station Areas (PMTSA) boundary and the resulting zoning amendments. I believe this amendment will have significant negative impacts on our community, and I respectfully urge the Council to reconsider this decision for the following reasons:

□□□ Increased Traffic and Congestion: The introduction of high-density development
will undoubtedly lead to a substantial increase in traffic and congestion in our
neighborhood. Keele Street and surrounding roads are already heavily used, and
additional traffic from new developments would exacerbate these issues, potentially
leading to safety concerns for both drivers and pedestrians. Our neighborhood is already
suffering from people cutting through during rush hour. Also tens of vehicles lining up
at traffic lights to turn left or right on Rutherford rd.

Strain on Infrastructure and Services: Our community's current infrastructure is not
designed to accommodate high-density developments. Such a change would place an
immense strain on local amenities, including schools, parks, recreational facilities, and
healthcare services, potentially reducing the quality of life for current residents. As it
stands, no overnight parking is allowed due to the streets being too narrow, and
this development could further strain our resources.

-
er and
e in
y the

In light of these concerns, I strongly oppose the proposed Zoning Amendment Application and request that the Council vote against including our subdivision within the PMTSA boundary. Instead, I encourage the City to explore alternative locations for high-density developments that are better suited to accommodate such growth without compromising existing communities.

Thank you for considering my concerns. I hope the Council will take the residents' perspectives into account and make a decision that reflects the best interests of our community.

Sincerely,

V.R.