

C4.
Communication
CW(2) – September 17, 2024
Item No. 3

From: Clerks@vaughan.ca
To: [Assunta Ferrante](mailto:Assunta.Ferrante)
Subject: FW: [External] Potential Future Woodbridge Go Station
Date: Friday, September 13, 2024 12:13:15 PM

From: IRENE FORD [REDACTED]
Sent: Friday, September 13, 2024 11:58 AM
To: Clerks@vaughan.ca; oprmanager@vaughan.ca
Cc: York Region <yorkregion@metrolinx.com>; MMAH Official Plans (MMAH) <mmahofficialplans@ontario.ca>
Subject: [External] Potential Future Woodbridge Go Station

CAUTION! This is an external email. Verify the sender's email address and carefully examine any links or attachments before clicking. If you believe this may be a phishing email, please use the Phish Alert Button.

Vaughan Clerks,

Please submit this communication in response to Agenda Item 6(3) entitled: WOODBRIDGE GO STATION LAND USE STUDY FILE NO. BU-9571-20 VICINITY – KIPLING AVENUE AND MEETING HOUSE ROAD.

I am pleased to see that staff have taken a balanced approach to identifying land for a potential future Go Station and have acknowledge the very real and significant challenges as well as uncertain timelines.

I would ask that in approval of the Secondary Plan as recommended by staff that they ensure to delineate the Go Line and potential station by identifying them as 'subject to further study' or 'potential'. This would be consistent with both York Region's Official Plan, 2022 Map 10 Rapid Transit Network and Schedule 10 of Vaughan's 2010 Official Plan. This status should carry forward into the ongoing update to Vaughan's Official Plan and depicted upon approval to any other applicable plans and by-laws. There should be no confusion between existing versus proposed.

This is important to ensure when development is discussed in this area that residents, landowners, the City of Vaughan and the province who is the responsible for Go Transit, are all in agreement about the timing and reality of additional transit required to support intensification and higher density.

Often development comes with the promises of improved infrastructure but it doesn't come until long after, or worse government priorities change after an election. It is paramount that the reality of the timing of infrastructure be realistically presented for all so that there is no misconception or false pretense about the development that is

possible now versus decades from now.

Thank you,
Irene Ford