

		Rpt. <u>No.</u>	ltem(s) <u>No.</u>	<u>Committee</u>	
Distributed May 17, 2024					
C1.	Sergiy Maltsev, Deepsprings Cres., Vaughan, dated May 6, 2024.	18	6	Committee of the Whole (Public Meeting)	
C2.	Jui Desai, Love Run Rd., Maple, dated May 7, 2024.	18	6	Committee of the Whole (Public Meeting)	
C3.	Alfredo Garcia, dated May 6, 2024.	18	6	Committee of the Whole (Public Meeting)	
C4.	Amram Bentolila, Deepsprings Cres, Maple, dated May 7, 2024.	18	6	Committee of the Whole (Public Meeting)	
C5.	Sarah Tova Rabinovitch, Deepsprings Crescent, Maple, dated May 7, 2024.	18	6	Committee of the Whole (Public Meeting)	
C6.	Carlos Morales and family, Deepsprings Crescent, Maple, dated May 7, 2024.	18	6	Committee of the Whole (Public Meeting)	
C7.	Rubi Brissett, Casabel Drive, Vaughan, dated May 7, 2024.	18	6	Committee of the Whole (Public Meeting)	
C8.	Neha Desai, Multimatic Anton Mfg., Basaltic Road, Concord, dated May 8, 2024.	18	6	Committee of the Whole (Public Meeting)	
C9.	Dineskanth Selvarajah, National Drive, Maple, dated May 7, 2024.	18	6	Committee of the Whole (Public Meeting)	
C10.	Adrian Brissett, Casabel Drive, Vaughan, dated May 7, 2024.	18	6	Committee of the Whole (Public Meeting)	
C11.	Anatoli Gues, Deepsprings Cres., Maple, dated May 6, 2024.	18	6	Committee of the Whole (Public Meeting)	
C12.	Digiacomo Family, dated May 6, 2024.	18	6	Committee of the Whole (Public Meeting)	
C13.	Patricia Rojas, dated May 8, 2024.	18	6	Committee of the Whole (Public Meeting)	
C14.	Natalia Gues, Deepsprings Cres., Maple, dated May 6, 2024.	18	6	Committee of the Whole (Public Meeting)	
C15.	Sergiy Moroz, Love Run Rd., Vaughan., dated May 7, 2024.	18	6	Committee of the Whole (Public Meeting)	

Disclaimer Respecting External Communications

Communications are posted on the City's website pursuant to Procedure By-law Number 7-2011. The City of Vaughan is not responsible for the validity or accuracy of any facts and/or opinions contained in external Communications listed on printed agendas and/or agendas posted on the City's website.



		Rpt. <u>No.</u>	ltem(s) <u>No.</u>	Committee
C16.	Anna Antsipovitch, dated May 7, 2024.	18	6	Committee of the Whole (Public Meeting)
C17.	Neha Desai, dated May 9, 2024.	18	6	Committee of the Whole (Public Meeting)
C18.	Natalya Amelina, Viktor Kuzov, Camino Drive, Maple, dated May 6, 2024.	18	6	Committee of the Whole (Public Meeting)
C19.	Quang Ngo, National Pine Drive, Maple, dated May 6, 2024.	18	6	Committee of the Whole (Public Meeting)
C20.	Vageetha Senevirathna, dated May 6, 2024.	18	6	Committee of the Whole (Public Meeting)
C21.	Olga Khazaradze, dated May 6, 2024.	18	6	Committee of the Whole (Public Meeting)
C22.	Philip Khazaradze, dated May 6, 2024.	18	6	Committee of the Whole (Public Meeting)
C23.	Michael Nathai, dated May 6, 2024.	18	6	Committee of the Whole (Public Meeting)
C24.	Yakov Kandyba, dated May 6, 2024.	18	6	Committee of the Whole (Public Meeting)
C25.	Linda Ortepi, dated May 7, 2024.	18	6	Committee of the Whole (Public Meeting)
C26.	Elmira and Adil Nurtayev, Deepsprings Cres., Vaughan, dated May 7, 2024.	18	6	Committee of the Whole (Public Meeting)
C27.	Hasan Ozbay, Deepsprings Crescent, Maple, dated May 7, 2024.	18	6	Committee of the Whole (Public Meeting)
C28.	Peyman Ozbay, dated May 7, 2024.	18	6	Committee of the Whole (Public Meeting)
C29.	Dhananjay Desai, Love Run Rd., Maple, dated May 7, 2024.	18	6	Committee of the Whole (Public Meeting)
C30.	Neha Desai, dated May 7, 2024.	18	6	Committee of the Whole (Public Meeting)
C31.	Nick N., dated May 7, 2024.	18	6	Committee of the Whole (Public Meeting)

Disclaimer Respecting External Communications

Communications are posted on the City's website pursuant to Procedure By-law Number 7-2011. The City of Vaughan is not responsible for the validity or accuracy of any facts and/or opinions contained in external Communications listed on printed agendas and/or agendas posted on the City's website.



		Rpt. <u>No.</u>	ltem(s) <u>No.</u>	Committee
C32.	Shawn and Audrey Reynolds, Pikake Court, Maple, dated May 7, 2024.	18	6	Committee of the Whole (Public Meeting)
C33.	Yan de Thieulloy, James Street, Vaughan, dated May 6, 2024.	18	1	Committee of the Whole (Public Meeting)
C34.	Conner Harris, Rayman Harris LLP, The Esplanade, Toronto, dated May 7, 2024.	18	5	Committee of the Whole (Public Meeting)
C35.	Karim Tahir, per Ahmadiyya Muslim Jama, Jane Street, Maple, dated May 9, 2024.	18	2	Committee of the Whole (Public Meeting)
C36.	Irene Ford, dated May 8, 2024.	19	2	Committee of the Whole (Working Session)
C37.	Maryann Munholland, Ashton Drive, Vaughan, dated May 11, 2024.	18	2	Committee of the Whole (Public Meeting)
C38.	Rose Savage, dated May 12, 2024.	17	13	Committee of the Whole
C39.	Rose Savage, dated May 12, 2024.	17	13	Committee of the Whole
C40.	angela, dated May 12, 2024.	17	13	Committee of the Whole
C41.	Quang Ngo, National Pine Drive, Maple, dated May 16, 2024.	18	6	Committee of the Whole (Public Meeting)
C42.	Rose Savage, dated May 16, 2024.	17	13	Committee of the Whole
C43.	Rose Savage, dated May 16, 2024.	17	13	Committee of the Whole
Distributed May 21, 2024				
C44.	Rose Savage, dated May 20, 2024.	17	13	Committee of the Whole
C45.	angela, dated May 18, 2024.	17	13	Committee of the Whole
C46.	Irene Zeppier, dated May 18, 2024.	17	13	Committee of the Whole
C47.	Memorandum from the Deputy City Manager, Infrastructure Development, dated May 22, 2024.	20	4	Committee of the Whole
C48.	Confidential - Max Reedijk, Dentons Canada LLP, King Street West, Toronto, dated May 21, 2024.	21	6	Committee of the Whole (Closed Session)

Disclaimer Respecting External Communications Communications are posted on the City's website pursuant to Procedure By-law Number 7-2011. The City of Vaughan is not responsible for the validity or accuracy of any facts and/or opinions contained in external Communications listed on printed agendas and/or agendas posted on the City's website.



		Rpt. <u>No.</u>	ltem(s) <u>No.</u>	<u>Committee</u>
C49.	Memorandum from the Deputy City Manager, Community Services, dated May 8, 2024.			BL 085-2024
Distributed May 22, 2024				
C50.	Confidential memorandum from Deputy City Manager, Legal and Administrative Services and City Solicitor, dated May 22, 2024.	21	6	Committee of the Whole (Closed Session)

<u>Disclaimer Respecting External Communications</u> Communications are posted on the City's website pursuant to Procedure By-law Number 7-2011. The City of Vaughan is not responsible for the validity or accuracy of any facts and/or opinions contained in external Communications listed on printed agendas and/or agendas posted on the City's website.

Communication

Council - May 22, 2024

CW(PM) – Report No. 18 Item No. 6

From:	<u>Clerks@vaughan.ca</u>	
То:	Assunta Ferrante	
Subject:	FW: [External] Strong Disagreement with Rezoning and Cor OP.23.0001)	dominium Development Amendment (FILE
Date:	May-06-24 1:00:54 PM	

From: Maltsev Sergiy

Sent: Monday, May 6, 2024 12:53 PM

To: Clerks@vaughan.ca

Subject: [External] Strong Disagreement with Rezoning and Condominium Development Amendment (FILE OP.23.0001)

CAUTION! This is an external email. Verify the sender's email address and carefully examine any links or attachments before clicking. If you believe this may be a phishing email, please use the Phish Alert Button.

Dear Vaughan Chancellor,

I hope this letter finds you well. I am writing to express my strong disagreement with the proposed rezoning and condominium building in our area. As a resident deeply invested in the well-being and future of our community, I am concerned about the potential ramifications of this development.

First and foremost, the projected increase in traffic congestion is alarming. Our neighborhood already experiences significant traffic during peak hours, and adding more vehicles to the mix would exacerbate this issue. Not only does increased traffic impede the flow of daily life for residents, but it also poses safety risks for pedestrians and cyclists.

Furthermore, the absence of pedestrian walkways in our area is deeply concerning. With the projected increase in traffic and parking congestion, the lack of safe pathways for pedestrians would make it exceedingly unsafe for people to move around the neighborhood. This poses significant risks to the safety and well-being of residents, particularly children, elderly individuals, and those with mobility challenges. It is crucial that any development plans address this critical issue to ensure the safety and accessibility of our community.

Moreover, I am deeply concerned about the potential impact of this development on the real estate values of properties in our area. The construction of condominiums may lead to an oversaturation of housing options, thereby driving down property values. As a homeowner, this is particularly troubling, as it threatens to undermine the investment I have made in my property and the financial stability of my family.

In light of these concerns, I urge you to not allow the proposed rezoning and

condominium development to proceed. It is imperative that any future development plans prioritize the well-being and interests of the existing community. I implore you to engage with residents, listen to their feedback, and work towards solutions that address our collective needs and concerns.

Thank you for taking the time to consider my perspective on this matter. I trust that you will make the decision that is in the best interest of our community.

Sincerely, Sergiy Maltsev Resident of DeepSprings Cres. Assunta Ferrante Adelina Bellisario FW: [External] FW: 3300 Rutherford May-08-24 10:42:25 AM C2 Communication

Council – May 22, 2024

CW(PM) – Report No. 18 Item No. 6

From: Neha Desai Sent: Tuesday, May 7, 2024 3:08 PM To: <u>Clerks@vaughan.ca</u> Subject: [External] FW: 3300 Rutherford

From:

Date:

To: Subject:

CAUTION! This is an external email. Verify the sender's email address and carefully examine any links or attachments before clicking. If you believe this may be a phishing email, please use the Phish Alert Button.

To whom it may concern. I am Jui Desai from Love Run Rd I am writing this letter regarding the new proposal for 3300 Rutherford Development. All our neighbors have the same consensus about the new proposal which has not resolved any problems/concerns that were raise by all members of our neighborhood last May 2023. In some degree, this new proposal is even worse because it even eliminated road entrance/exit to Canada's Wonderland service road.

We discussed and reviewed the new proposal at a community/neighborhood meeting held last week in our subdivision and everybody is strongly opposed to this new proposal for many reasons that goes against urban planning goals and ideals (eg. NOT easing transportation throughout the city, NOT creating more community spaces; ie schools, upgrading current infrastructure, improving citizens' quality of life etc.). This development is very bad for our existing extremely unbearable local traffic which already puts the majority of our neighbors at risk with most of the streets in our neighborhood without sidewalks at all as one example. The thought of this proposal puts more stress on me and our neighbours causing mental difficulties and the negative

We bought house 23 yrs ago, at that time this area was not in plan to build high rise now city cannot change their plan to build constructions.

By putting this sign, we got so much stress so think while they do constructions how much stress we have to face and after build that many

residences this stress will increase

and never will be gone if start building in here- it is affecting our mental stress.

Traffic is a huge problem, so many accidents happened, lost many lives.

Long line up for making right turn from our community and also long line up for left turn – wasting so much gas for just waiting for the turn right now so think about another 2000 cars

In last meeting Mayor already said that they cannot build higher than 7 stories because it is just beside the highway

No apt. can build near other residential houses.

There are many open lands available in Brampton North of Teston – cannot make this area so much crowded.

Builder will be not living in this area; we have to live and we will suffer with this wrong decision.

I don't have family Dr. for me and my family, because not enough Dr. available, how those 2000 times 3 people in a home will get family Dr.s?

No other school build nearby – where the children will go? effect on the neighborhood as whole. We all agree that people who have lived in finished residential area with finished commercial plaza for more than 20 years has to be priority in the mind of City of Vaughan, the mayor and all counsellors.

We all agree that land at <u>3300 Rutherford Rd</u> is simply unsuitable for this type of development due to its drastic negative impact on our neighborhood in every way, shape and form. We hope that City of Vaughan reviews this proposal for what it really is, a builder cash grab and hears our strong opposition to the new proposal and votes to reject it.

Sincerely

Best Regards

Jui Desai Love Run Rd. Maple, On. From:Clerks@vaughan.caTo:Assunta FerranteSubject:FW: [External] Stop 3030 Rutherford Rd.Date:May-07-24 8:46:05 AM

From: Alfredo Garcia
Sent: Monday, May 6, 2024 9:28 PM
To: Clerks@vaughan.ca
Subject: [External] Stop 3030 Rutherford Rd.

CAUTION! This is an external email. Verify the sender's email address and carefully examine any links or attachments before clicking. If you believe this may be a phishing email, please use the Phish Alert Button.

Answer send from my iPhone.

To whom it may concern.

I am writing this letter regarding new proposal for 3300 Rutherford Development.All our neighborhood have consensus about new proposal which not resolving any

problems that were raise by all members of our neighborhood last May 2023. In some degree this new proposal is even worse because it even eliminated road entrance/exit to

Canada's Wonderland service road.We decided new proposal at community meeting and everybody is strongly oppose this new proposal for many reasons that goes against urban planning goals and ideals (eg. NOT easing transportation throughout the city, NOT creating more community spaces, improving citizens' quality of life etc.). Development is very bad for already unbearable local traffic which already put majority of our neighbors at risk with most of the streets in our neighborhood without side-walks at all.It puts

more stress on people, mental problems and on neighborhood as whole. We all agree that people who have lived in finished residential area with finished commercial plazza for more than 20 years has to be priority in the mind of City of Vaughan mayor and all councilors.

We all agree that land at 3300 Rutherford Rdis simply unsuitable for this type of development due to its drastic negative impact on our neighborhood in every way, shape and form. We hope that City of Vaughan in touch with this reality

and our strong opposition to new proposal and will reject it.

From: Amram Bentolila <

Sent: Monday, May 6, 2024 9:21 PM

To: Marilyn Iafrate <Marilyn.Iafrate@vaughan.ca>; Clerks@vaughan.ca **Subject:** [External] Meeting on May 7th 2024,3300 Rutherford Road Condo concerns

CAUTION! This is an external email. Verify the sender's email address and carefully examine any links or attachments before clicking. If you believe this may be a phishing email, please use the Phish Alert Button.

Dear Councillor/City Clerk,

I hope this email finds you well.

Unfortunately I am not able to attend the meeting on May 7th, 2024. But I am writing to express my concerns about the proposed condo development at 3300 Rutherford Road in Vaughan, Ontario. While I appreciate the city's efforts to accommodate growth, I believe that this development poses several challenges that need to be carefully considered before any rezoning decisions are made.

Firstly, the area was initially zoned for industrial use, and the transition to residential condos seems abrupt and incongruous with the existing community. The industrial zoning was intended to foster economic growth and provide employment opportunities in Vaughan. Rezoning this area for residential use could undermine these objectives.

Secondly, the proposed development could significantly impact traffic congestion in the area. Rutherford Road is already busy, and adding high-density residential units could exacerbate the existing traffic issues. The potential for increased congestion raises concerns about road safety and commuting times for local residents. Additionally, increased traffic congestion has been linked to heightened stress and anxiety, which could negatively affect the mental health and well-being of local residents.

Furthermore, the infrastructure in the area may not be adequately equipped to handle the increased population density. This includes concerns about parking availability, public transportation capacity, and the strain on local services such as schools and healthcare facilities.

Lastly, the development could affect the overall character of the community. Many residents, including myself, moved to this area for its industrial and commercial character, and the sudden shift to high-rise residential buildings could change the neighborhood's

atmosphere significantly.

I respectfully request that the city carefully reconsiders the proposed rezoning and condo development, taking into account the concerns of local residents. It would be beneficial to explore alternative locations for high-density residential developments that align more closely with Vaughan's long-term planning goals and existing zoning designations.

Thank you for your attention to this matter. I look forward to hearing from you and hope that our concerns will be addressed in the decision-making process.

Sincerely,

Amram Bentolila, resident on Deepsprings Cres, Maple, Ontario

From:	Clerks@vaughan.ca
То:	Adelina Bellisario
Subject:	FW: [External] Please STOP the proposal for 3300 Rutherford Rd
Date:	May-08-24 8:22:49 AM

From: Marilyn Iafrate <Marilyn.Iafrate@vaughan.ca>
Sent: Tuesday, May 7, 2024 4:48 PM
To: Clerks@vaughan.ca
Subject: FW: [External] Please STOP the proposal for 3300 Rutherford Rd

From: Sarah Tova
Sent: Tuesday, May 07, 2024 3:39 PM
To: <u>Clerks@vaughan.ca</u>
Cc: Marilyn lafrate <<u>Marilyn.lafrate@vaughan.ca</u>>; <u>mayor@vaughan.ca</u>
Subject: [External] Please STOP the proposal for 3300 Rutherford Rd

CAUTION! This is an external email. Verify the sender's email address and carefully examine any links or attachments before clicking. If you believe this may be a phishing email, please use the Phish Alert Button.

To whom it may concern.

I cannot attend the community meeting tonight but please don't take that to mean I am in favour of this proposal. I and my family and neighbours are staunchly opposed to the proposal; as it stands as much as I was opposed to the previous version.

I am writing this letter regarding new proposal for 3300 Rutherford Development.All our neighborhood have consensus about new proposal which not resolving any

problems that were raise by all members of our neighborhood last May 2023. In some degree this new proposal is even worse because it even eliminated road entrance/exit to

Canada's Wonderland service road. We decided new proposal at community meeting and everybody is strongly oppose this new proposal for many reasons that goes against urban planning goals and ideals (eg. NOT easing transportation throughout the city, NOT creating more community spaces, improving citizens' quality of life etc.). Development is very bad for already unbearable local traffic which already put majority of our neighbors at risk with most of the streets in our neighborhood without side-walks at all. It puts

more stress on people, mental problems and on neighborhood as whole. We all agree that people who have lived in finished residential area with finished commercial plazza for more than 20 years

has to be priority in the mind of City of Vaughan mayor and all councilors.

We all agree that land at 3300 Rutherford Rd is simply unsuitable for this type of development due to its drastic negative impact on our neighborhood in every way, shape and form. We hope that City of Vaughan in touch with this reality and our strong opposition to new proposal and will reject it.

Sarah Tova Rabinovitch

Deepsprings Crescent, Maple, ON , Canada

C6 Communication Council – May 22, 2024 CW(PM) – Report No. 18 Item No. 6

From:Clerks@vaughan.caTo:Adelina BellisarioSubject:FW: [External] 3300 Rutherford PlansDate:May-08-24 8:23:06 AM

From: CARLOS MORALES Sent: Tuesday, May 7, 2024 4:50 PM To: Clerks@vaughan.ca Subject: [External] 3300 Rutherford Plans

CAUTION! This is an external email. Verify the sender's email address and carefully examine any links or attachments before clicking. If you believe this may be a phishing email, please use the Phish Alert Button.

To whom it may concern.

I am an original owner at Deepsprings Crescent,

I am writing this letter regarding the new proposal for 3300 Rutherford Development. All our neighbors have the same consensus about the new proposal which has not resolved any problems/concerns that were raise by all members of our neighborhood last May 2023. In some degree, this new proposal is even worse because it even eliminated road entrance/exit to Canada's Wonderland service road.

We discussed and reviewed the new proposal at a community/neighborhood meeting held last week in our subdivision and everybody is strongly opposed to this new proposal for many reasons that goes against urban planning goals and ideals (eg. NOT easing transportation throughout the city, NOT creating more community spaces; ie schools, upgrading current infrastructure, improving citizens' quality of life etc.).

This development is very bad for our existing extremely unbearable local traffic which already puts the majority of our neighbors at risk with most of the streets in our neighborhood without side-walks at all as one example. The thought of this proposal puts more stress on me and our neighbours causing mental difficulties and the negative effect on the neighborhood as whole. We all agree that people who have lived in finished residential area with finished commercial plaza for more than 20 years has to be priority in the mind of City of Vaughan, the mayor and all counsellors.

We all agree that land at <u>3300 Rutherford Rd</u> is simply unsuitable for this type of development due to its drastic negative impact on our neighborhood in every way, shape and form. We hope that City of Vaughan reviews this proposal for what it really is, a builder cash grab and hears our strong opposition to the new proposal and votes to reject it.

Sincerely,

C7 Communication Council – May 22, 2024 CW(PM) – Report No. 18 Item No. 6

From:Clerks@vaughan.caTo:Adelina BellisarioSubject:FW: [External] NO to 3300 Rutherford RdDate:May-08-24 8:23:29 AM

From: Rubi Brissett

Sent: Tuesday, May 7, 2024 4:55 PM To: Clerks@vaughan.ca Subject: [External] NO to 3300 Rutherford Rd

CAUTION! This is an external email. Verify the sender's email address and carefully examine any links or attachments before clicking. If you believe this may be a phishing email, please use the Phish Alert Button.

To whom it may concern:

I am an original owner at Casabel Drive

I am writing this letter regarding the new proposal for 3300 Rutherford Development. All our neighbors have the same consensus about the new proposal which has not resolved any problems/concerns that were raise by all members of our neighborhood last May 2023. In some degree, this new proposal is even worse because it even eliminated road entrance/exit to Canada's Wonderland service road.

We discussed and reviewed the new proposal at a community/neighborhood meeting held last week in our subdivision and everybody is strongly opposed to this new proposal for many reasons that goes against urban planning goals and ideals (eg. NOT easing transportation throughout the city, NOT creating more community spaces; ie schools, upgrading current infrastructure, improving citizens' quality of life etc.). This development is very bad for our existing extremely unbearable local traffic which already puts the majority of our neighbors at risk with most of the streets in our neighborhood without side-walks at all as one example. The thought of this proposal puts more stress on me and our neighbours causing mental difficulties and the negative effect on the neighborhood as whole. We all agree that people who have lived in finished residential area with finished commercial plaza for more than 20 years has to be priority in the mind of City of Vaughan, the mayor and all counsellors.

We all agree that land at 3300 Rutherford Rd is simply unsuitable for this type of development due to its drastic negative impact on our neighborhood in every way, shape and form. We hope that City of Vaughan reviews this proposal for what it really is, a builder cash grab and hears our strong opposition to the new proposal and votes to reject it.

Sincerely, Rubi Brissett

C8 Communication Council – May 22, 2024 CW(PM) – Report No. 18 Item No. 6

From: To: Subject: Date: Attachments: Clerks@vaughan.ca Adelina Bellisario FW: [External] RE: 3300 Rutherford May-08-24 8:24:31 AM image001.png imagea1964e.PNG

From: Neha Desai <ndesai@multimatic.com>
Sent: Wednesday, May 8, 2024 8:04 AM
To: Clerks@vaughan.ca
Subject: [External] RE: 3300 Rutherford

CAUTION! This is an external email. Verify the sender's email address and carefully examine any links or attachments before clicking. If you believe this may be a phishing email, please use the Phish Alert Button.

Good Morning!

Yesterday we had a meeting about 3300 Rutherford.

There are few most imp. things to keep in mind while taking any decisions for this area.

<u>Always busy</u> if you see map – shows always high traffic – due to Vaughan mills and Hy 400

Wonderland is not open today otherwise it adds up

Go station way is only this road.

Whatever they build – commercial and Keg etc. in this plaza, Engineers and developers thought properly and build in this area.

It is matches with Vaughn mills sites, similar height . it is a bad idea to demolish these and then build another one.

There are so much open areas to build, not to demolish and build.

There are no buildings near houses anywhere in Vaughan.

We are 600 houses and any other high constructions blocking sun. giving more stress of traffic to us as a residents

Auto road is also causing problem to residents but whatever done, we cannot change so leave it as is.

No need any more in this area and don't make mess. To to little north to

develop. This area is already developed.

Don't even think to change anything – it proves that your earlier decision was wrong, or this decision is wrong.

<u>There is no new school added in</u> this area and on Jane street so many new buildings are under constructions – where children will go for school? <u>Not enough family Dr.'s available</u> – where all these buildings people will go? <u>After all constructions on Jane St. buildings done will increase more traffic on</u> <u>the road so how you guys can think about adding more?</u>

It is builder's mistake to buy this land – it is not our fault. We came here and living in here from 2002 and that time <u>this area was designated for grocery</u> <u>shop</u>.

Not like adding any other ones. Otherwise, we shouldn't buy our residence in here. So from all our residence it is BIG NO for any change in this Plaza.

Time taken this – 7.32 am



Neha Desai Production Clerk Multimatic Anton Mfg. 300 Basaltic Road Concord, Ontario Canada L4K 4Y9

Tel. +1 905 879 0500



<u>CONFIDENTIALITY NOTE</u>: This email (and attachments, if any) contain(s) information that is PRIVATE and CONFIDENTIAL, and may be LEGALLY PRIVILEGED or otherwise exempt from disclosure under applicable law. All copyright is reserved, and no right or license is granted to duplicate or publish the content of this email or to forward it to third parties, without, in each case, specific permission. If you have received this email in error, you must not copy, distribute, retain or use this email or the information contained in it for any purpose (other than to notify the sender). If you believe that you are not the intended recipient of this email, please contact the sender immediately and delete this email from your computer system (including any archive or other storage facilities). Thank you.

C9 Communication Council – May 22, 2024 CW(PM) – Report No. 18 Item No. 6

From: Dineskanth Selvarajah

Sent: Tuesday, May 7, 2024 5:58 PM

To: Clerks@vaughan.ca; Margaret Holyday <Margaret.Holyday@vaughan.ca> **Subject:** [External] 3300 Rutherford , 7:00pm est meeting

CAUTION! This is an external email. Verify the sender's email address and carefully examine any links or attachments before clicking. If you believe this may be a phishing email, please use the Phish Alert Button.

Landlord: Dineskanth Selvarajah National drive, Maple

Dear City Council Members of Vaughan

I hope this message finds you well. I am writing to express my deep concerns regarding the proposed construction project at 3300 Rutherford Road.

As a resident of the neighborhood surrounding this area, I, along with many of my neighbors, strongly oppose this construction project. Our neighborhood is known for its tranquility and friendliness, and we fear that the addition of more buildings will not only disrupt the peaceful atmosphere but also compromise the safety of our community.

The current traffic situation, especially during rush hours, is already quite challenging, and adding more construction will only exacerbate the problem. We worry about the safety of our children and the overall well-being of our community if this project moves forward.

Furthermore, preserving our neighborhood's green and environmental spaces is of utmost importance to us. We believe that excessive construction will not only diminish the beauty of our area but also harm the local ecosystem.

I urge the City Council to reconsider this proposal and withdraw the construction plans for 3300 Rutherford Road. Our neighborhood deserves to remain a safe, peaceful, and green environment for all its residents. Thank you for taking the time to consider our concerns. I hope that together we can find a solution that benefits everyone.

Regards Dineskanth

C10 Communication Council – May 22, 2024 CW(PM) – Report No. 18 Item No. 6

From:Clerks@vaughan.caTo:Adelina BellisarioSubject:FW: [External] No to 3300 RutherfordDate:May-08-24 8:23:46 AM

-----Original Message-----From: Adrian Brissett Sent: Tuesday, May 7, 2024 5:02 PM To: Clerks@vaughan.ca Subject: [External] No to 3300 Rutherford

CAUTION! This is an external email. Verify the sender's email address and carefully examine any links or attachments before clicking. If you believe this may be a phishing email, please use the Phish Alert Button.

To whom it may concern:

I am the owner at Casabel Drive

I am writing this letter regarding the new proposal for 3300 Rutherford Development. All our neighbors have the same consensus about the new proposal which has not resolved any problems/concerns that were raise by all members of our neighborhood last May 2023. In some degree, this new proposal is even worse because it even eliminated road entrance/exit to Canada's Wonderland service road.

We discussed and reviewed the new proposal at a community/neighborhood meeting held last week in our subdivision and everybody is strongly opposed to this new proposal for many reasons that goes against urban planning goals and ideals (eg. NOT easing transportation throughout the city, NOT creating more community spaces; ie schools, upgrading current infrastructure, improving citizens' quality of life etc.). This development is very bad for our existing extremely unbearable local traffic which already puts the majority of our neighbors at risk with most of the streets in our neighborhood without side-walks at all as one example. The thought of this proposal puts more stress on me and our neighbours causing mental difficulties and the negative effect on the neighborhood as whole. We all agree that people who have lived in finished residential area with finished commercial plaza for more than 20 years has to be priority in the mind of City of Vaughan, the mayor and all counsellors.

We all agree that land at 3300 Rutherford Rd is simply unsuitable for this type of development due to its drastic negative impact on our neighborhood in every way, shape and form. We hope that City of Vaughan reviews this proposal for what it really is, a builder cash grab and hears our strong opposition to the new proposal and votes to reject it.

Sincerely, Adrian Brissett

C11 Communication

Council - May 22, 2024

CW(PM) – Report No. 18 Item No. 6

From:Assunta FerranteTo:Adelina BellisarioSubject:FW: [External] Stop 3300 RutherfordDate:May-08-24 12:05:41 PM

From: Anatoli Gues < Sent: Monday, May 6, 2024 9:02 PM To: <u>Clerks@vaughan.ca</u> Subject: [External] Stop 3300 Rutherford

CAUTION! This is an external email. Verify the sender's email address and carefully examine any links or attachments before clicking. If you believe this may be a phishing email, please use the Phish Alert Button.

To whom it may concern.

I am writing this letter regarding new proposal for 3300 Rutherford Development.All our neighborhood have consensus about new proposal which not resolving any

problems that were raise by all members of our neighborhood last May 2023. In some degree this new proposal is even worse because it even eliminated road entrance/exit to

Canada's Wonderland service road.We decided new proposal at community meeting and everybody is strongly oppose this new proposal for many reasons that goes against urban planning goals and ideals (eg. NOT easing transportation throughout the city, NOT creating more community spaces, improving citizens' quality of life etc.). Development is very bad for already unbearable local traffic which already put majority of our neighbors at risk with most of the streets in our neighborhood without side-walks at all.It puts

more stress on people, mental problems and on neighborhood as whole. We all agree that people who have lived in finished residential area with finished commercial plazza for more than 20 years has to be priority in the mind of City of Vaughan mayor and all councilors.

We all agree that land at 3300 Rutherford Rd is simply unsuitable for this type of development due to its drastic negative impact on our neighborhood in every way, shape and form. We hope that City of Vaughan in touch with this reality

and our strong opposition to new proposal and will reject it.

Sincerely,

Anatoli Gues



Deepsprings Cres. Maple

C12 Communication Council – May 22, 2024 CW(PM) – Report No. 18 Item No. 6

From:Assunta FerranteTo:Adelina BellisarioSubject:FW: [External] 3300 RutherfordDate:May-08-24 12:02:45 PM

-----Original Message-----From: Marc DiGiacomo Sent: Monday, May 6, 2024 8:57 PM To: Clerks@vaughan.ca Subject: [External] 3300 Rutherford

CAUTION! This is an external email. Verify the sender's email address and carefully examine any links or attachments before clicking. If you believe this may be a phishing email, please use the Phish Alert Button.

Hello My name is Marc DiGiacomo.

I have lived on pikake court since 2003 before that I lived in Woodbridge since 1987. I loved growing up in Vaughan. at that time it was the town of Vaughan not city of Vaughan. I have fond memories of riding my bicycle to market lane going to fresh records or getting some french fries at golden glory. it was a great place to be a kid. Now i am raising a child of my own in Vaughan. the plaza at 3300 Rutherford has been great for us as we can walk to our dentist located in that plaza or if we need something from dollorama or the pharmacy it is great that we have a plaza within 100 metres from our house.

As my wife does not drive it comes in very handy. The plaza is really part of our community. a community is not just houses it is the businesses and roads also. by removing this plaza (witch the location was zoned for a plaza and not many high rise buildings.) we will be forced to drive to these things that where once so close to us. this will add more traffic to the streets. as a resident for 30 plus years i am aware that car traffic if one of the biggest problems effecting Vaughan.adding all these units in our little one entrance subdivision will make it unliveable.

also it will be more dangerous for us to walk around the neighbourhood with all this added traffic. I am aware that Canada is trying to grow and that with one of the largest land masses and a small population of 37 million we have lots of room to grow.

I just don't feel that removing all the businesses from a community and just building condos is not the best action for creating the ideal living environment witch is what we strive for in Vaughan. Our city is rated higher than many cites for quality if life and i think we should do our best to keep it that way and not just try to cash in. please reconsider rezoning this land i don't feel it will help the city of Vaughan at all it will only help a certain

builder get even richer. these people don't live in Vaughan and don't care about our little subdivision.

There is plenty of empty land near by between Vaughanmills and langstaff as well as on highway 7 or even Jane where there a re no residents to bother.

please reconsider and help us save our little pocket of paradise

Yours truly the Digiacomo Family

C13	
Communication	
Council – May 22, 2024	
Council – May 22, 2024 CW(PM) – Report No. 18	Item No. 6

From:	Adelina Bellisario	CW(PM) – Repe	
То:	Adelina Bellisario		
Subject:	FW: [External] Presentation for 3300 Rutherford Road_Meeting May 7_2024		
Date:	May-08-24 2:52:57 PM		
Attachments:	PRESENTATION NO TO 3300 RUTHERFORD DEVELOP	MENT.pdf	
Importance:	High		

From: Patricia Rojas <

Sent: Wednesday, May 8, 2024 11:51 AM

To: <u>Clerks@vaughan.ca</u>

Subject: [External] Presentation for 3300 Rutherford Road_Meeting May 7_2024 **Importance:** High

CAUTION! This is an external email. Verify the sender's email address and carefully examine any links or attachments before clicking. If you believe this may be a phishing email, please use the Phish Alert Button.

Good morning,

I would like to make sure that my presentation on May 7, 2024, regarding 3300 Rutherford Road is added to the files on record.

Please confirm you have received this message.

Thank you.

Patricia Rojas

Canada's Largest and Most Reliable 5G Network

This communication is confidential. We only send and receive email on the basis of the terms set out at <u>https://www.rogers.com/emailnotice</u>

Le réseau 5G le plus étendu et le plus fiable au pays

Ce message est confidentiel. Notre transmission et réception de courriels se fait strictement suivant les modalités énoncées dans l'avis publié à <u>www.rogers.com/aviscourriel</u>

3300 RUTHERFORD DEVELOPMENT

MAY 7,2024





WE ARE SURROUNDED BY HIGH VOLUME AREAS THAT ALREADY IMPACT TRAFFIC



ROADS OF TRAFFIC WITH HEAVY CONGESTION



SECTION 1 ByLaws & Policies



GANU GENERAL MIXED-USED ZONE

WHAT WE ARE CURRENTLY UNDER

- **1** Mix Commercial
 - Offices
 - Institution Uses
 - * EXISTING COMMERCIAL AREA*



HIGH-RISE MIXED-USED ZONE

WHAT THEY WANT TO CHANGE TO

Mixing with Residential
 NOT ALLOWED –

GAU GENERAL MIXED-USED ZONE

HMU HIGH-RISE MIXED-USED ZONE

2 Per VOP (Vaughan Official Plan)

- Mid-rised mixed-use
 - o 8 Storeys
- FSI (Floor Space Index)
 2.5 Times. Also known as
 FAR (Floor Area)

12 Storeys
 – NOT ALLOWED –

GMU

GENERAL MIXED-USED ZONE

3 Per (Vaughan Metropolitan Centre Secondary Plan)

- Policy 2.2.5 Intensification Area. Mix centre + corridors
 - Vaughan Metropolitan Center must have tallest buildings and greatest densities in the city @ Subject Lands

HOWEVER: Rutherford Road

- Primary Intensification Corridor
- Is the subject land Boundary
- South of Rutherford Subject Land
- North of Rutherford
- Community Commercial Mix-Use
- Maximum 6 Storeys high
- FSI 1.5 Times

HIGH-RISE MIXED-USED ZONE

- Developers are at the wrong side of the Subject Land Boundary
 - Lowest Building 12 Storeys
 - FSI 4.56 Times
 - NOT ALLOWED -

GAU GENERAL MIXED-USED ZONE

4 Per VOP 4.2.1.14

 Due to noise, environmental and truck concerns, areas directly next to Provincial highways should be protected for Non-Residential Purposes HMU HIGH-RISE MIXED-USED ZONE



Residential: 2,009 Units
SECTION 2 Health Impacts

Health Impacts

- As per Tim Jason (PhD in Population Health Specializing in Health Geography)
 - Drastic Life changes can impact one's health
 - Development Massive changes in our neighbourhood will and already is affecting our lives
- Studies show traffic congestion lead to greater emotional health effects:
 - Stress: 80.4%
 - Nervousness: 74.2%
 - Aggressiveness: 52.2%
- Long driving hours Sitting in traffic for long periods daily will lead to:
 - Back pain: 66.8%
 - Pain in legs: 56.7%
 - Headaches: 43.4%
 - **Dizziness: 28.8%**

Health Impacts (Continued)

- Mental Health will deteriorate in the neighbourhood
- Population in the neighbourhood has a high concentration of Seniors, Children and Retirees. A population that planned to live in a QUIET, PEACEFUL and SAFE neighbourhood environment
- Increase risk during any future:
 - Contagions
 - Infections
 - Pandemics
- **PRESENTLY:** We are already dealing with **STRESS** and **ANXIETY** in our families due to the Developers plan to make such a **MASSIVE** development in our neighbourhood.



Parking Concerns

- Developers have 2,009 residential Units and Parking Spots. In total 2,506
 - Assuming 1 car per residential unit (NOT REALISTIC)
 - 2,506 also includes parking for the commercial units? If so, parking is short counted
- Most of the neighbourhood residents own multiple vehicle, parked in their driveways
- We have a by-law that does not allow us to park on the streets
- Most of the neighbourhood have **NO SIDEWALKS**
- Safety is already an issue, pedestrians have to walk on roads
- Allowing parking on the streets will be **UNSAFE** for Pedestrians
- Due to <u>HIGH VOLUME</u> of traffic in the neighbourhood <u>PARKING ON THE STREETS</u> will cause a problem for traffic to flow
- If the By-Laws to park on the street are changed, the neighbourhood will also move into the streets





TRAFFIC

Per VOP (Vaughan Official Plan) 4.2.1

- Concentrates on street types
- Streets have specific profiles and characteristics
- Traffic Volume Range
- Right-of-way width
- Capacity for Transit

TRAFFIC IMPACTS

MAIN STREETS TO MAKE SURE VOP 4.2.1 is followed are:

• Komura Rd.

- No sidewalks
- Narrow street
- Not fit for big volume of traffic

• Sweet River Blvd.

- Narrow street
- Presently used as a main road to avoid Jane traffic
- Traffic is already **VERY CONGESTED**
- Daily 3PM 5PM Traffic is bumper to bumper between the Auto Mall on Sweet River Blvd going towards Rutherford
- Due to stress drivers become aggressive and don't make full stops at the Stop sign

TRAFFIC IMPACTS (Continued)

• Sweet River Blvd. (Continued)

- In a good day it takes 15-20 minutes for traffic to clear per vehicle
- Pedestrians are always in danger crossing the street to get to their homes

• Rutherford Rd.

- Very congested
- Cars are bumper to bumper from 7AM 9AM and 3PM 6PM
- Due to stress, drivers get aggressive and they speed through red lights
- There has been multiple accidents in the intersection where pedestrian have been hit as well

May 3rd, 2024



May 3rd, 2024



May 6th, 2023



Oct 2nd, 2023



CLOSING REMARKS

- NO AMENDMENTS to our existing By-Laws and City plans
 - Zoning By-Law 001-2021
 - VOP Vaughan Official Plan
 - VMCSP Vaughan Metropolitan Centre Secondary Plan
- LACK OF AMENITIES, the development will put a HUGE strain in:
 - Schools
 - Roads
 - Hospitals
 - Environment & Park Lands
 - Parking
 - Traffic

• Crime: Crime will increase – Proof of evidence (Buildings at Hwy 7 & Jane)

FINALLY: Developments are supposed to Benefit the neighbourhood/community

- We get 0 benefits
- 100% gain on HEALTH DETERIORATION
- 100% of MENTAL HEALTH ISSUES
- 100% STRESS AND ANXIETY

• Developers/Owners GAIN MILLIONS OF DOLLARS

OUR COMMUNITY SAYS NO TO 3300 RUTHERFORD DEVELOPMENT

-ANK

C14

Communication

Council - May 22, 2024

CW(PM) – Report No. 18 Item No. 6

From: To: Subject: Date: Assunta Ferrante Adelina Bellisario FW: [External] stop project : 3300 Rutherford Rd May-08-24 11:56:24 AM

From: Natalia Gues

Sent: Monday, May 6, 2024 7:59 PM
To: <u>Clerks@vaughan.ca</u>
Subject: [External] stop project : 3300 Rutherford Rd

CAUTION! This is an external email. Verify the sender's email address and carefully examine any links or attachments before clicking. If you believe this may be a phishing email, please use the Phish Alert Button.

To whom it may concern.

I am writing this letter regarding new proposal for 3300 Rutherford Development.All our neighborhood have consensus about new proposal which not resolving any

problems that were raise by all members of our neighborhood last May 2023. In some degree this new proposal is even worse because it even eliminated road entrance/exit to

Canada's Wonderland service road.We decided new proposal at community meeting and everybody is strongly oppose this new proposal for many reasons that goes against urban planning goals and ideals (eg. NOT easing transportation throughout the city, NOT creating more community spaces, improving citizens' quality of life etc.). Development is very bad for already unbearable local traffic which already put majority of our neighbors at risk with most of the streets in our neighborhood without side-walks at all.It puts

more stress on people, mental problems and on neighborhood as whole. We all agree that people who have lived in finished residential area with finished commercial plaza for more than 20 years has to be priority in the mind of City of Vaughan mayor and all councilors.

We all agree that land at 3300 Rutherford Rd is simply unsuitable for this type of development due to its drastic negative impact on our neighborhood in every way, shape and form. We hope that City of Vaughan in touch with this reality and our strong opposition to new proposal and will reject it.

Sincerely,

Natalia Gues Deepsrings Cres Maple ON

C15 Communication Council – May 22, 2024 CW(PM) – Report No. 18 Item No. 6

From:	Assunta Ferrante	
То:	Adelina Bellisario	
Subject:	FW: [External] Regarding new proposal for 3300 Rutherford Deve	lopment.
Date:	May-08-24 11:55:11 AM	

From: sergiy moroz

Sent: Tuesday, May 7, 2024 7:53 AM

To: <u>Clerks@vaughan.ca</u>

Subject: [External] Regarding new proposal for 3300 Rutherford Development.

CAUTION! This is an external email. Verify the sender's email address and carefully examine any links or attachments before clicking. If you believe this may be a phishing email, please use the Phish Alert Button.

I am writing this letter regarding new proposal for 3300 Rutherford Development.

These pictures are important for potential buyers of condo units in this complex. This is an advertisement for realtors...And, by the way, it was executed incorrectly. Starting with the unrealistic position of the sun and ending with the disproportionate sizes of buildings. These pictures are not for us. We don't see what's important to us. What we don't see in these pictures is how the view from our backyards, our streets, and from our doorsteps will change. That's what's important to us. But not this ad. We are excited about how our lives will change with the start of construction and after. That's what's important to us.

Thank you.

Sergiy Moroz, Love Run Rd. Vaughan.

C16 Communication Council – May 22, 2024 CW(PM) – Report No. 18 Item No. 6

Subject: Date:

From:

To:

Assunta Ferrante Adelina Bellisario FW: [External] 3300 Rutherford May-08-24 11:54:07 AM

From: anna antsip <

Sent: Tuesday, May 7, 2024 7:22 AM To: <u>Clerks@vaughan.ca</u> Subject: [External] 3300 Rutherford

CAUTION! This is an external email. Verify the sender's email address and carefully examine any links or attachments before clicking. If you believe this may be a phishing email, please use the Phish Alert Button.

To whom it may concern.

I am writing this letter regarding new proposal for 3300 Rutherford Development. All our neighborhood have consensus about new proposal which not resolving any problems that were raise by all members of our neighborhood last May 2023. In some degree this new proposal is even worse because it even eliminated road entrance/exit to Canada's Wonderland service road.

We decided new proposal at community meeting and everybody is strongly oppose this new proposal for many reasons that goes against urban planning goals and ideals (eg. NOT easing transportation throughout the city, NOT creating more community spaces, improving citizens' quality of life etc.). Development is very bad for already unbearable local traffic which already put majority of our neighbors at risk with most of the streets in our neighborhood without side-walks at all.It puts more stress on people, mental problems and on neighborhood as whole. We all agree that people who have lived in finished residential area with finished commercial plazza for more than 20 years has to be priority in the mind of City of Vaughan mayor and all councilors.

Moreover this directly affects below social determinants of health:

- Education and literacy adding more residential units will put additional stress on already overwhelmed and overcrowded schools in the area. as far as this proposal goes, there is no mentioning about adding new school in the area to address this issue.
- Childhood experiences not enough childcare and playgrounds in the area; children will be living not in the green and quiet residential area, rother it will be not safe d/t increased pollution, vehicle traffic, nosy environment for the children.

- 3. Physical environments additional stress on the roads, increasing traffic, additional time spent to get to and from work which directly affects physical and metal well-being. increasing traffic (which is already a matter of great concern) will add risks for road accidents, noise that affects metal health on a daily basis.
- 4. Access to health services eliminating current plaza with walkin clinic, pharmacy will affect all neighbors who are currently using their services. a lot of older adults who cannot drive themselves to get to another location. many years of this clinic proving service and becoming a close and valued member of the neighborhood. this will definitely add additional stress on the health of the residents and also on the hospitals system, as we will en dup using ED services more in case walk-in clinic will be closed. as we all know, increasing number of inappropriate ED visits and addressing the long wait times in ED can be addressed by maintaining walk-in clinics in the neighborhood, and not eliminating them. moreover. having medical services accessible to our neighborhood helps with preventative medicine which is imperative for everyone's health.
- 5. Employment and working conditions a lot of jobs will be lost if local businesses will be closed at this location. at the times of economic distress this does not show support for local business, rather sending businesses and employers to the unemployment market and using a welfare system more than necessary.

We all agree that land at 3300 Rutherford Rd is simply unsuitable for this type of development due to its drastic negative impact on our neighborhood in every way, shape and form. We hope that City of Vaughan in touch with this reality and our strong opposition to new proposal and will reject it.

Sincerely, Anna Antsipovitch

C17 Communication Council – May 22, 2024 CW(PM) – Report No. 18 Item No. 6

From:Clerks@vaughan.caTo:Adelina BellisarioSubject:FW: [External] FW: 3200 RutehrfordDate:May-09-24 8:18:54 AM

From: Neha Desai

Sent: Thursday, May 9, 2024 7:09 AM To: Clerks@vaughan.ca Subject: [External] FW: 3200 Rutehrford

CAUTION! This is an external email. Verify the sender's email address and carefully examine any links or attachments before clicking. If you believe this may be a phishing email, please use the Phish Alert Button.

This Message Is From an Untrusted Sender

You have not previously corresponded with this sender.

Please do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the source of this email and know the content is safe.

Report Suspicious

All Condo's in Vaughan or York Region NEED FOUR ROADS /STREETS NEW ROADS FOR ENTRANCE & EXITS, LOADING DOCKS, GARBAGE BINS PICK UPS, DELIVERY LANES, PARKING FOR ALL CONDO RESIDENTS. THE CONDO DEVELOPERS DON'T HAVE NONE OF THE ABOVE. GOGGLE 79 ARROWHEAD BY HIGHWAY 7,

WIGWOSS DRIVE NO CONDO NOT BUILT YET ALMOST 5 YEARS.

CONDO DEVELOPERS CAN NOT USE RESIDENTAL ROADS ALREADY PAID FOR BY THE NEIGHBORS THROUGH PROPERTY TAXES /LEVIES. KOMURA ROAD, SWEETRIVER BLVD, DEEPSPRINGS CRESCENT, JULLIARD DRIVE.

THOSE WHO LIVE ON CAMINO DRIVE &

CARRILLO STREET WILL BE IMPACTED BECAUSE CARS, VEHICLES WILL SPEED THROUGH YOUR TWO STREETS TO GET TO CONDO'S TO AVOID RUTHERFORD WEST BOUND

C18 Communication

Council - May 22, 2024

CW(PM) - Report No. 18 Item No. 6

From: NATALYA AMELINA

Sent: Monday, May 6, 2024 7:23 PM

To: Marilyn lafrate <<u>Marilyn.lafrate@vaughan.ca</u>>; <u>Clerks@vaughan.ca</u> **Subject:** [External] New Proposal for 3300 Rutherford Condos Development

CAUTION! This is an external email. Verify the sender's email address and carefully examine any links or attachments before clicking. If you believe this may be a phishing email, please use the Phish Alert Button.

To whom it may concern,

We're writing this letter regarding new proposal for 3300 Rutherford Development. All our neighborhood has consensus about new proposal which not resolving any problems that were raise by all members of our neighborhood last May 2023. The new proposal is even worse because it even eliminated road entrances and exits to Canada's Wonderland service road. We decided new proposal at community meeting and everybody is strongly opposing this new proposal for many reasons that goes against urban planning goals and standards (exp, NOT easing transportation throughout the city, NOT creating more community spaces, improving citizens' quality of life, etc.). Development is very bad for already unbearable local traffic which already put majority of our neighbors at risk with most of the streets in our neighborhood without sidewalks at all. It puts more stress on people's mental problems and on neighborhood as a whole. We all agree that people who have lived in finished residential area with finished commercial plaza for more than 20 years has to be priority in the mind of City of Vaughan mayor and all councilors.

We all agree that land at 3300 Rutherford Rd is simply unsuitable for this type of development due to its drastic negative impact on our neighborhood in every way, shape and form. We hope that City of Vaughan in touch with this reality and our strong opposition to new proposal and will reject it.

Sincerely,

Natalya Amelina, Viktor Kuzov Address: Camino Drive, Maple, ON,

C19 Communication

Council – May 22, 2024

CW(PM) – Report No. 18 Item No. 6

From:	Assunta Ferrante	CW(P
To:	Adelina Bellisario	
Subject:	FW: [External] STOP BUILDING PLAN 3300 RUTHERFORD	ROAD
Date:	May-08-24 11:48:42 AM	

From: q ngo <

Sent: Monday, May 6, 2024 7:19 PM
To: <u>Clerks@vaughan.ca</u>
Subject: [External] STOP BUILDING PLAN 3300 RUTHERFORD ROAD

>

CAUTION! This is an external email. Verify the sender's email address and carefully examine any links or attachments before clicking. If you believe this may be a phishing email, please use the Phish Alert Button.

CITY OF VAUGHAN

OFFICE OF THE CITY CLERK

2141 MAJOR MACKENZIE DRIVE, VAUGHAN, ON, L6A 1T1

FROM: QUANG NGO

NATIONAL PINE DRIVE, MAPLE, ON,

DEAR SIR / MADAM,

LAST YEAR WE BROUGHT THE ISSUES TO THE CITY OF VAUGHAN. AND THE MAYOR AND COUNCILLORS AGRREE THAT THE SITE PLAN CAN NOT BE BUILT

ON 3300 RUTHERFORD ROAD.

NOW AGAIN, THE BUILDER TRY IN THE SAME ZONE. WE NEED YOUR HELP TO STOP THEM FROM BUILDING DUE TO OVER POPULATION AND TRAFFIC JAM.

C20 Communication Council – May 22, 2024 CW(PM) – Report No. 18 Item No. 6

From: Vageetha Senevirathna < Sent: Monday, May 6, 2024 6:48 PM To: <u>Clerks@vaughan.ca</u> Subject: [External] STOP the 3300 Rutherford Development

CAUTION! This is an external email. Verify the sender's email address and carefully examine any links or attachments before clicking. If you believe this may be a phishing email, please use the Phish Alert Button.

To whom it may concern.

I am writing this letter regarding new proposal for 3300 Rutherford Development.All our neighborhood have consensus about new proposal which not resolving any

problems that were raise by all members of our neighborhood last May 2023. In some degree this new proposal is even worse because it even eliminated road entrance/exit to

Canada's Wonderland service road. We decided new proposal at community meeting and everybody is strongly oppose this new proposal for many reasons that goes against urban planning goals and ideals (eg. NOT easing transportation throughout the city, NOT creating more community spaces, improving citizens' quality of life etc.). Development is very bad for already unbearable local traffic which already put majority of our neighbors at risk with most of the streets in our neighborhood without side-walks at all. It puts

more stress on people, mental problems and on neighborhood as whole. We all agree that people who have lived in finished residential area with finished commercial plazza for more than 20 years has to be priority in the mind of City of Vaughan mayor and all councilors. We all agree that land at 3300 Rutherford Rd is simply unsuitable for this type of development due to its drastic negative impact on our neighborhood in every way, shape and form. We hope that City

of Vaughan in touch with this reality

and our strong opposition to new proposal and will reject it.

Regards, Vageetha Senevirathna

C21 Communication Council - May 22, 2024 CW(PM) – Report No. 18

From: Olga Khazaradze <

Sent: Monday, May 6, 2024 5:48 PM

To: <u>Clerks@vaughan.ca</u>

Subject: [External] Reconsidering Development Plans for 3300 Rutherford Rd

CAUTION! This is an external email. Verify the sender's email address and carefully examine any links or attachments before clicking. If you believe this may be a phishing email, please use the Phish Alert Button.

>

To whom it may concern,

I hope this message finds you well. I'm writing to share some thoughts about the proposed development at 3300 Rutherford Rd.

Simply put, the current plan doesn't seem to fit with what we need for our community. Urban planning is all about making life better for people, like improving how we get around, giving us more places to enjoy together, and just making life nicer overall. But this plan doesn't seem to do that.

The land at 3300 Rutherford Rd isn't the best spot for this kind of development. It could make our traffic problems worse and put a strain on the things we already have, like parks, schools, and places for us to gather.

I believe we need to take a step back and think about whether this plan is really the right fit for our community. Let's make sure any decisions we make are in line with what's best for all of us.

Thank you for considering my perspective.

Olga Khazaradze

C22 Communication Council – May 22, 2024 CW(PM) – Report No. 18 Item No. 6

From: Philip K <

Sent: Monday, May 6, 2024 5:42 PMTo: <u>Clerks@vaughan.ca</u>Subject: [External] Concerns about Building at 3300 Rutherford

CAUTION! This is an external email. Verify the sender's email address and carefully examine any links or attachments before clicking. If you believe this may be a phishing email, please use the Phish Alert Button.

To Whom It May Concern,

I am writing to address the new proposal for the development at 3300 Rutherford. Following thorough discussions within our neighborhood, it has become evident that there is a unanimous consensus regarding this proposal. Regrettably, it fails to address the issues raised by our community members in May 2023. In fact, the new proposal exacerbates some of these concerns, notably by eliminating the road entrance/exit to Canada's Wonderland service road.

Our collective stance against this proposal was solidified during a community meeting, where widespread opposition was expressed for various reasons. Primarily, the proposal diverges from crucial urban planning objectives and ideals. It neglects to alleviate transportation challenges across the city, does not prioritize the creation of additional community spaces, and fails to enhance the overall quality of life for citizens. Moreover, the proposed development poses significant challenges to our already strained local traffic infrastructure, further endangering residents, particularly given the absence of sidewalks along many streets within our neighborhood.

It is our firm belief that the long-standing residents, who have contributed to and relied upon the existing residential and commercial infrastructure for over two decades, should be paramount in the considerations of Mayor and Councilors of the City of Vaughan.

Furthermore, we contend that the land at 3300 Rutherford Rd is fundamentally unsuitable for the proposed development due to its overwhelmingly negative implications for our neighborhood. The adverse effects would be far-reaching and comprehensive.

In light of these concerns and the resounding opposition from our community, we implore the City of Vaughan to acknowledge our reality and reject the proposed development.

Sincerely, Philip Khazaradze

C23 Communication Council – May 22, 2024 CW(PM) – Report No. 18 Item No. 6

From: Michael Nathai

Sent: Monday, May 6, 2024 5:26 PM To: <u>Clerks@vaughan.ca</u>

Subject: [External] 3300 Rutherford Development

CAUTION! This is an external email. Verify the sender's email address and carefully examine any links or attachments before clicking. If you believe this may be a phishing email, please use the Phish Alert Button.

>

To whom it may concern,

I am writing this letter regarding the new proposal for 3300 Rutherford Development. I currently live and have lived in the polling area for over 25+ years, I've seen the area grow from what it used to be. With this new development of condos, I am personally strongly against it & I know many neighbours around me feel the same way. Not only will this development be a disaster for the current citizens here, but it will also negatively affect the entirety of Vaughan. Crime, Traffic, and Litter will all increase and is already skyrocketing across the city, these condos will make these issues even worse. The polling neighbourhood beside the proposed condos is already dirty enough & filled with litter, and is never cleaned, imagine what the neighbourhood will look like once hundreds of new people move in. I hope this proposal can be reconsidered and developed elsewhere as the current commercial plaza serves benefit to the community & there is lots of opportunity to make this plaza even better.

Sincerely,

Michael Nathai

C24 Communication Council – May 22, 2024 CW(PM) – Report No. 18 Item No. 6

From: Yakov Kandyba

Sent: Monday, May 6, 2024 5:18 PM

To: <u>Clerks@vaughan.ca</u>

Subject: [External] Regarding 3300 Rutherford development

CAUTION! This is an external email. Verify the sender's email address and carefully examine any links or attachments before clicking. If you believe this may be a phishing email, please use the Phish Alert Button.

To whom it may concern.

I am writing this letter regarding new proposal for 3300 Rutherford Development.All our neighborhood have consensus about new proposal which not resolving any

problems that were raise by all members of our neighborhood last May 2023. In some degree this new proposal is even worse because it even eliminated road entrance/exit to

Canada's Wonderland service road.We decided new proposal at community meeting and everybody is strongly oppose this new proposal for many reasons that goes against urban planning goals and ideals (eg. NOT easing transportation throughout the city, NOT creating more community spaces, improving citizens' quality of life etc.). Development is very bad for already unbearable local traffic which already put majority of our neighbors at risk with most of the streets in our neighborhood without side-walks at all.It puts

more stress on people, mental problems and on neighborhood as whole. We all agree that people who have lived in finished residential area with finished commercial plazza for more than 20 years has to be priority in the mind of City of Vaughan mayor and all councilors.

We all agree that land at 3300 Rutherford Rd is simply unsuitable for this type of development due to its drastic negative impact on our neighborhood in every way, shape and form. We hope that City of Vaughan in touch with this reality

and our strong opposition to new proposal and will reject it.

Sincerely,

Yakov Kandyba

C25 Communication Council – May 22, 2024 CW(PM) – Report No. 18 Item No. 6

Assunta Ferrante		
Adelina Bellisario		
FW: [External] Stop 3300 Rutherford RD		
May-08-24 10:58:15 AM		
LogoKirchhoffAutomotive.png		
f logo RGB-Blue 58 28ed5180-5808-4b6f-988c-b1bf6b5a17cd.png		
LI-In-Bug f96ebdfd-cb2b-4736-b3cb-6f805265c1d8.png		
Instagram Glyph Gradient cd61b977-bd61-4021-8cb7-675b89ab64b9.	.pnq	
voutube social icon red 48ccd05c-7ea2-4904-8fc8-89a1ee2efade.png	l i	
	Adelina Bellisario FW: [External] Stop 3300 Rutherford RD May-08-24 10:58:15 AM LogoKirchhoffAutomotive.png f logo RGB-Blue 58 28ed5180-5808-4b6f-988c-b1bf6b5a17cd.png LI-In-Bug f96ebdfd-cb2b-4736-b3cb-6f805265c1d8.png Instagram Glyph Gradient cd61b977-bd61-4021-8cb7-675b89ab64b9	

From: Ortepi, Linda linda.ortepi@kirchhoff-automotive.com>
Sent: Tuesday, May 7, 2024 9:02 AM
To: Clerks@vaughan.ca
Subject: [External] Stop 3300 Rutherford RD

CAUTION! This is an external email. Verify the sender's email address and carefully examine any links or attachments before clicking. If you believe this may be a phishing email, please use the Phish Alert Button.

To whom it may concern. I am an original owner at Deepsprings Cres, I am writing this letter regarding the new proposal for 3300 Rutherford Development. All our neighborhood have the same consensus about the new proposal which has not resolved any problems/concerns that were raise by all members of our neighborhood last May 2023. In some degree, this new proposal is even worse because it even eliminated road entrance/exit to Canada's Wonderland service road.

We decided new proposal at community meeting held last week and everybody is strongly opposed to this new proposal for many reasons that goes against urban planning goals and ideals (eg. NOT easing transportation throughout the city, NOT creating more community spaces, improving citizens' quality of life etc.). Development is very bad for already unbearable local traffic which already put majority of our neighbors at risk with most of the streets in our neighborhood without side-walks at all. It puts more stress on people, mental problems and on neighborhood as whole. We all agree that people who have lived in finished residential area with finished commercial plaza for more than 20 years has to be priority in the mind of City of Vaughan mayor and all councilors.

We all agree that land at <u>3300 Rutherford Rd</u> is simply unsuitable for this type of development due to its drastic negative impact on our neighborhood in every way, shape and form. We hope that City of Vaughan reviews this proposal for what it really is and hears our strong opposition to the new proposal and will reject it.

Sincerely,

Best Regards

Linda Ortepi Procurement Manager

phone: +1.905.727.8585 x1499 mobile: +1.289.221.2432 fax: +1.905.727.7999 e-mail: <u>linda.ortepi@kirchhoff-automotive.com</u> web: <u>http://www.kirchhoff-automotive.com</u>

KIRCHHOFF Automotive Aurora Corporate 200 Vandorf Sideroad L4G 0A2 Aurora Canada



KIRCHHOFF Automotive Canada Inc. | 200 Vandorf Sideroad, Aurora, Ontario, L4G 0A2, Canada | Managing Directors: Dipl.-Ing. J. Wolfgang Kirchhoff (President and Chairman), Dipl.-Kfm. Hagen Reck, Paul Dilworth | http://www.kirchhoff-automotive.com

This e-mail may contain confidential and/or privileged information. If you are not the intended recipient (or have received this e-mail in error) please notify the sender immediately and destroy this e-mail. Any unauthorised copying, disclosure or distribution of the material in this e-mail is strictly forbidden.

C26 Communication Council – May 22, 2024 CW(PM) – Report No. 18 Item No. 6

From:Assunta FerranteTo:Adelina BellisarioSubject:FW: [External] 3300 Rutherford DevelopmentDate:May-08-24 10:55:22 AM

From: Elmira Nurtayev <

Sent: Tuesday, May 7, 2024 9:30 AM

To: <u>Clerks@vaughan.ca</u>

Subject: [External] 3300 Rutherford Development

CAUTION! This is an external email. Verify the sender's email address and carefully examine any links or attachments before clicking. If you believe this may be a phishing email, please use the Phish Alert Button.

Hello,

I am writing this letter regarding new proposal for 3300 Rutherford Development.All our neighborhood have consensus about new proposal which not resolving any

problems that were raise by all members of our neighborhood last May 2023.In some degree this new proposal is even worse because it even eliminated road entrance/exit to

Canada's Wonderland service road.We decided new proposal at community meeting and everybody is strongly oppose this new proposal for many reasons that goes against urban planning goals and ideals (eg. NOT easing transportation throughout the city, NOT creating more community spaces, improving citizens' quality of life etc.). Development is very bad for already unbearable local traffic which already put majority of our neighbors at risk with most of the streets in our neighborhood without side-walks at all.It puts

more stress on people, mental problems and on neighborhood as whole. We all agree that people who have lived in finished residential area with finished commercial plazza for more than 20 years has to be priority in the mind of City of Vaughan mayor and all councilors.

We all agree that land at 3300 Rutherford Rd is simply unsuitable for this type of development due to its drastic negative impact on our neighborhood in every way, shape and form. We hope that City of Vaughan in touch with this reality and our strong opposition to new proposal and will reject it.

Sincerely,

Elmira and Adil Nurtayev Deepsprings cres.

Communication Council – May 22, 2024

From:	Assunta Ferrante	CW(PM) – Report No. 18	
То:	Adelina Bellisario		
Subject:	FW: Concerns About Proposed 3300 Rutherford Residential Development Near Our Community		
Date:	May-08-24 10:52:08 AM		

From: Marisa Provenzano <<u>Marisa.Provenzano@vaughan.ca</u>>

Sent: Tuesday, May 7, 2024 9:54 AM

To: Hasan Ozbay

Cc: Gina Ciampa <<u>Gina.Ciampa@vaughan.ca</u>>; Marilyn lafrate <<u>Marilyn.lafrate@vaughan.ca</u>>; <u>Clerks@vaughan.ca</u>

Subject: RE: Concerns About Proposed 3300 Rutherford Residential Development Near Our Community

Good morning,

Our office has forwarded your email to Clerks on your behalf so that your concerns become part of the public record.

Kind regards,

Marisa

Marisa Provenzano Council Assistant to Councillor Marilyn lafrate 905-832-2281 ext. 8413 marisa.provenzano@vaughan.ca

City of Vaughan I Office of Councillor, Ward 1- Maple/Kleinburg 2141 Major Mackenzie Dr., Vaughan, ON L6A 1T1 vaughan.ca

Subscribe to Councillor lafrate's eNewsletter.



From: Hasan Ozbay

Sent: Tuesday, May 7, 2024 12:08 AM

To: <u>mayor@vaughan.ca</u>; Linda Jackson <<u>Linda.Jackson@vaughan.ca</u>>; Mario Ferri

<<u>Mario.Ferri@vaughan.ca</u>>; Gino Rosati <<u>Gino.Rosati@vaughan.ca</u>>; Marilyn lafrate

<<u>Marilyn.lafrate@vaughan.ca</u>>; Mario G. Racco <<u>MarioG.Racco@vaughan.ca</u>>;

<u>adrian.volpentesta@vaughan.ca</u>; Rosanna DeFrancesca <<u>Rosanna.DeFrancesca@vaughan.ca</u>>; Chris Ainsworth <<u>Chris.Ainsworth@vaughan.ca</u>>; Gila Martow <<u>Gila.Martow@vaughan.ca</u>>; <u>Council@vaughan.ca</u> **Subject:** [External] Concerns About Proposed 3300 Rutherford Residential Development Near Our Community

CAUTION! This is an external email. Verify the sender's email address and carefully examine any links or attachments before clicking. If you believe this may be a phishing email, please use the Phish Alert Button.

To City Council,

As a member of the community being impacted by this urban development I strongly urge that you do not proceed. We have been overlooked as members of a community that will be gravely impacted by the apartments that are set to be built at the 3300 Rutherford commercial land. As if the current traffic weren't enough, now there will be an addition of 2,009 residential units that will worsen traffic.

Moreover, Canada Wonderland is a touristic place and brings many people all over the North America. With congested traffic people will not willing to visit Canada Wonderland and Vaughan Mills Mall.

Another important point is this land zoning is set for commercial buildings not residential and it shouldn't be changed to residential space as our neighborhood home owner when they purchased their homes they purchased based on their existing zoning and views. They wouldn't buy if the proposed high-rise building that block their views.

Plus, the parking space to be provided does not fit the one space per resident standard; and the developers solution to this? For the residents of the building to park on our streets! This development demeans the integrity of our neighborhood as a well accommodated space, and does not take into account the need for more infrastructure. This plot of land and limited road space and other social infrastructure, simply cannot take in the additional residents.

This building will also reduce the value of our homes that we have invested so much of our money and time in.

It is clear that this development is opposed by the vast majority of our community, so I urge you to reconsider the impacts of this development to our neighborhood and the surrounding area.

Moreover, I read the TRANSPORTATION IMPACT STUDY report prepared by LEA Consulting Ltd. is a mostly one sided report and paid by land developer. Their samples does not make sense.

I kindly urge you to reconsider this development and prioritize the needs of existing residents.

Thanks for your attention to this matter.

Best regards, Hasan Ozbay

Deepsprings Crescent, Maple ON, From: Marisa Provenzano <<u>Marisa.Provenzano@vaughan.ca</u>>

Sent: Tuesday, May 7, 2024 9:56 AM

To: PEYMAN OZBAY

Cc: Gina Ciampa <<u>Gina.Ciampa@vaughan.ca</u>>; Marilyn lafrate <<u>Marilyn.lafrate@vaughan.ca</u>>; <u>Clerks@vaughan.ca</u>

Subject: RE: Regarding Proposed 3300 Rutherford Residential Development

Good morning,

Our office has forwarded your email to Clerks on your behalf so that your concerns become part of the public record.

Kind regards,

Marisa

Marisa Provenzano Council Assistant to Councillor Marilyn lafrate 905-832-2281 ext. 8413 marisa.provenzano@vaughan.ca

City of Vaughan I Office of Councillor, Ward 1- Maple/Kleinburg 2141 Major Mackenzie Dr., Vaughan, ON L6A 1T1 vaughan.ca

Subscribe to Councillor lafrate's eNewsletter.



From: PEYMAN OZBAY <

Sent: Tuesday, May 7, 2024 12:14 AM

To: <u>mayor@vaughan.ca</u>; Linda Jackson <<u>Linda.Jackson@vaughan.ca</u>>; Mario Ferri

<<u>Mario.Ferri@vaughan.ca</u>>; Gino Rosati <<u>Gino.Rosati@vaughan.ca</u>>; Marilyn Iafrate

<<u>Marilyn.lafrate@vaughan.ca</u>>; Mario G. Racco <<u>MarioG.Racco@vaughan.ca</u>>;

<u>adrian.volpentesta@vaughan.ca</u>; Rosanna DeFrancesca <<u>Rosanna.DeFrancesca@vaughan.ca</u>>; Chris Ainsworth <<u>Chris.Ainsworth@vaughan.ca</u>>; Gila Martow <<u>Gila.Martow@vaughan.ca</u>>;

<u>Council@vaughan.ca</u>

Subject: [External] Regarding Proposed 3300 Rutherford Residential Development
CAUTION! This is an external email. Verify the sender's email address and carefully examine any links or attachments before clicking. If you believe this may be a phishing email, please use the Phish Alert Button.

Dear City Council Members,

I hope you're doing well. I'm writing as a concerned resident of the neighbourhood by 3000 Rutherford regarding the proposed residential development near our community.

Simply put, our infrastructure can't handle more residents. Our roads are already congested, parking is scarce, and traffic is a daily struggle. Adding more people without addressing these issues will only make life harder for current residents. Our residential streets will also have to take in a bunch of parking, which the developers have ridiculously stated as a "solution" to there not being the standard 1 parking spot per resident in their proposed development.

Moreover, losing the commercial plaza would be a blow to our community. It's a hub for essential services and a meeting place for locals.

All this put, the value of our homes goes down and that is simply not fair to any of the residents in our community.

And we have to suffer noise and air pollution from the construction for all this? It's difficult to see that our needs aren't being put at the forefront.

I urge you to reconsider this development and prioritize the needs of existing residents. Let's work together to find solutions that benefit everyone.

Thanks for your attention to this matter.

Best,

Peyman Ozbay

C29

Council - May 22, 2024

Communication

CW(PM) - Report No. 18 Item No. 6

From:Assunta FerranteTo:Adelina BellisarioSubject:FW: [External] FW: 3300 RutherfordDate:May-08-24 10:42:06 AMAttachments:imagea70202.PNG

From: Neha Desai < Sent: Tuesday, May 7, 2024 3:09 PM To: <u>Clerks@vaughan.ca</u> Subject: [External] FW: 3300 Rutherford

CAUTION! This is an external email. Verify the sender's email address and carefully examine any links or attachments before clicking. If you believe this may be a phishing email, please use the Phish Alert Button.

To whom it may concern. I am Dhananjay Desai from Love Run Rd I am writing this letter regarding the new proposal for 3300 Rutherford Development. All our neighbors have the same consensus about the new proposal which has not resolved any problems/concerns that were raise by all members of our neighborhood last May 2023. In some degree, this new proposal is even worse because it even eliminated road entrance/exit to Canada's Wonderland service road.

We discussed and reviewed the new proposal at a community/neighborhood meeting held last week in our subdivision and everybody is strongly opposed to this new proposal for many reasons that goes against urban planning goals and ideals (eg. NOT easing transportation throughout the city, NOT creating more community spaces; ie schools, upgrading current infrastructure, improving citizens' quality of life etc.). This development is very bad for our existing extremely unbearable local traffic which already puts the majority of our neighbors at risk with most of the streets in our neighborhood without sidewalks at all as one example. The thought of this proposal puts more stress on me and our neighbours causing mental difficulties and the negative

We bought house 23 yrs ago, at that time this area was not in plan to build high rise now city cannot change their plan to build constructions.

By putting this sign, we got so much stress so think while they do constructions how much stress we have to face and after build that many residences this stress will increase

and never will be gone if start building in here- it is affecting our mental stress.

Traffic is a huge problem, so many accidents happened, lost many lives.

Long line up for making right turn from our community and also long line up for left turn – wasting so much gas for just waiting for the turn right now so think about another 2000 cars

In last meeting Mayor already said that they cannot build higher than 7 stories because it is just beside the highway

No apt. can build near other residential houses.

There are many open lands available in Brampton North of Teston – cannot make this area so much crowded.

Builder will be not living in this area; we have to live and we will suffer with this wrong decision.

I don't have family Dr. for me and my family, because not enough Dr. available, how those 2000 times 3 people in a home will get family Dr.s?

No other school build nearby – where the children will go? effect on the neighborhood as whole. We all agree that people who have lived in finished residential area with finished commercial plaza for more than 20 years has to be priority in the mind of City of Vaughan, the mayor and all counsellors.

We all agree that land at <u>3300 Rutherford Rd</u> is simply unsuitable for this type of development due to its drastic negative impact on our neighborhood in every way, shape and form. We hope that City of Vaughan reviews this proposal for what it really is, a builder cash grab and hears our strong opposition to the new proposal and votes to reject it.

Sincerely

Best Regards

Dhananjay Desai Love Run Rd. Maple, On.

Neha Desai Production Clerk Multimatic Anton Mfg. 300 Basaltic Road Concord, Ontario Canada L4K 4Y9 Tel. +1 905 879 0500



<u>CONFIDENTIALITY NOTE</u>: This email (and attachments, if any) contain(s) information that is PRIVATE and CONFIDENTIAL, and may be LEGALLY PRIVILEGED or otherwise exempt from disclosure under applicable law. All copyright is reserved, and no right or license is granted to duplicate or publish the content of this email or to forward it to third parties, without, in each case, specific permission. If you have received this email in error, you must not copy, distribute, retain or use this email or the information contained in it for any purpose (other than to notify the sender). If you believe that you are not the intended recipient of this email, please contact the sender immediately and delete this email from your computer system (including any archive or other storage facilities). Thank you.

From:Assunta FerranteTo:Adelina BellisarioSubject:FW: [External] 3300 RutherfordDate:May-08-24 10:41:22 AMAttachments:image158876.PNG

C30 Communication Council – May 22, 2024 CW(PM) – Report No. 18 Item No. 6

From: Neha Desai < Sent: Tuesday, May 7, 2024 3:08 PM To: <u>Clerks@vaughan.ca</u> Subject: [External] 3300 Rutherford

CAUTION! This is an external email. Verify the sender's email address and carefully examine any links or attachments before clicking. If you believe this may be a phishing email, please use the Phish Alert Button.

To whom it may concern. I am Neha Desai from Love Run Rd I am writing this letter regarding the new proposal for 3300 Rutherford Development. All our neighbors have the same consensus about the new proposal which has not resolved any problems/concerns that were raise by all members of our neighborhood last May 2023. In some degree, this new proposal is even worse because it even eliminated road entrance/exit to Canada's Wonderland service road.

We discussed and reviewed the new proposal at a community/neighborhood meeting held last week in our subdivision and everybody is strongly opposed to this new proposal for many reasons that goes against urban planning goals and ideals (eg. NOT easing transportation throughout the city, NOT creating more community spaces; ie schools, upgrading current infrastructure, improving citizens' quality of life etc.). This development is very bad for our existing extremely unbearable local traffic which already puts the majority of our neighbors at risk with most of the streets in our neighborhood without sidewalks at all as one example. The thought of this proposal puts more stress on me and our neighbours causing mental difficulties and the negative

We bought house 23 yrs ago, at that time this area was not in plan to build high rise now city cannot change their plan to build constructions.

By putting this sign, we got so much stress so think while they do constructions how much stress we have to face and after build that many residences this stress will increase

and never will be gone if start building in here- it is affecting our mental stress.

Traffic is a huge problem, so many accidents happened, lost many lives.

Long line up for making right turn from our community and also long line up for left turn – wasting so much gas for just waiting for the turn right now so think about another 2000 cars

In last meeting Mayor already said that they cannot build higher than 7 stories because it is just beside the highway

No apt. can build near other residential houses.

There are many open lands available in Brampton North of Teston – cannot make this area so much crowded.

Builder will be not living in this area; we have to live and we will suffer with this wrong decision.

I don't have family Dr. for me and my family, because not enough Dr. available, how those 2000 times 3 people in a home will get family Dr.s?

No other school build nearby – where the children will go? effect on the neighborhood as whole. We all agree that people who have lived in finished residential area with finished commercial plaza for more than 20 years has to be priority in the mind of City of Vaughan, the mayor and all counsellors.

We all agree that land at <u>3300 Rutherford Rd</u> is simply unsuitable for this type of development due to its drastic negative impact on our neighborhood in every way, shape and form. We hope that City of Vaughan reviews this proposal for what it really is, a builder cash grab and hears our strong opposition to the new proposal and votes to reject it.

Sincerely

Best Regards

Neha Desai Love Run Rd. Maple, On.

Neha Desai Production Clerk Multimatic Anton Mfg. 300 Basaltic Road Concord, Ontario Canada L4K 4Y9 Tel. +1 905 879 0500



<u>CONFIDENTIALITY NOTE</u>: This email (and attachments, if any) contain(s) information that is PRIVATE and CONFIDENTIAL, and may be LEGALLY PRIVILEGED or otherwise exempt from disclosure under applicable law. All copyright is reserved, and no right or license is granted to duplicate or publish the content of this email or to forward it to third parties, without, in each case, specific permission. If you have received this email in error, you must not copy, distribute, retain or use this email or the information contained in it for any purpose (other than to notify the sender). If you believe that you are not the intended recipient of this email, please contact the sender immediately and delete this email from your computer system (including any archive or other storage facilities). Thank you.

Communication

Council - May 22, 2024

CW(PM) – Report No. 18 Item No. 6

From:Assunta FerranteTo:Adelina BellisarioSubject:FW: [External] 3300 Rutherford Rd DevelopmentDate:May-08-24 10:38:28 AM

From: Nick N <<u>n</u>

Sent: Tuesday, May 7, 2024 3:20 PMTo: <u>Clerks@vaughan.ca</u>Subject: [External] 3300 Rutherford Rd Development

>

CAUTION! This is an external email. Verify the sender's email address and carefully examine any links or attachments before clicking. If you believe this may be a phishing email, please use the Phish Alert Button.

To whom it may concern:

I am one of the residents behind 3300 Rutherford Rd Development where they are looking to build a master community. The project itself doesn't seem like a bad idea - if it was to get built in a different area - not the most congested area of the city. We need some parkland, not 3000 units plus shopping.

I will try to get straight to the point of this email. This proposal is ridiculous! for traffic and safety. The safety issues are this amount of people added to this area will only create more crimes, accidents and god forbid IF ONE OF US REQUIRED A 911 CALL HOW WOULD THE VEHICLES EVEN GET TO US IN TIME??

The traffic that this would create above the gridlock we are all facing in this area - resident or passerby - shouldn't even have to be a discussion anymore.

Right on Vaughan webpage, under the Mayor - it states what his priorities during his term in office are. First one listed is <u>"Reducing gridlock and getting vaughan moving again"</u>

Tell me and all residents concerned....how does this project accomplish this?

Who in their right mind will allow this to go through? To get zoning changed from what it is to destroy this part of the city? At the moment rutherford Rd in this area is a parking lot during rush hour and on weekends and with wonderland opening it just gets worse.

On the south east corner of Rutherford there are 14 towers APPROVED and being built as we speak, around the mall there is 1 tower built and occupied, another to be completed shortly and more approved to be built. If this area cannot sustain the traffic we already have, what is going to happen when all the ALREADY APPROVED towers get occupied? Where do the people go? How do they get around? Even the people who only use this as a means to get to and from HWY 400?

At this moment to get from Vaughan Auto Mall to Rutherford Rd is impossible to do in under 10 minutes with cars lined up behind each other on Sweetriver to Juliard bumper to bumper during busy times.

REMEMBER.. The last city meeting on this our counsel said she would look into this and see if it can

be made "no through traffic between certain times" - there was nothing that came of this in a year's time!

I see studies done regarding this project - how is it that the shade, blocking of sun of these towers reaches the mall on one side but when the sun turns they show the shadows barely reaching Komura St right beside (north) of this project? My Gazebo has more shade than this project! With the traffic studies done...were any of the condominiums already approved in the area taken into effect?? How could they be right? It's totally congested now, plus 3000 units proposed here and 16 more towers of people to come.

There are no proper exits and entryways into this land, they are too close to the onramp of the 400 to exit onto Rutherford, Wonderland has its own private road they cannot access so all access is from Sweetriver and Komua?? Really good plan!

I understand people have purchased this land to invest and make money but they also bought it knowing the zoning and if it doesn't get approved that is the chance they took.

To keep this email somewhat short... I am waiting to see the outcome of your decision, Our Mayor, Our Counsel, the people we voted in to make sure these types of things don't happen.

I am also interested to see if i bring this to other city planners, people in this industry on what their reaction may be.

Waiting for you to make us proud not angry and embarrassed.

C32 Communication Council – May 22, 2024 CW(PM) – Report No. 18 Item No. 6

From:Assunta FerranteTo:Adelina BellisarioSubject:FW: [External] 3300 Rutherford RdDate:May-08-24 10:37:03 AM

From: Shawn Reynolds <<u>shawn.reynolds@econopetro.com</u>>
Sent: Tuesday, May 7, 2024 3:26 PM
To: <u>Clerks@vaughan.ca</u>
Subject: [External] 3300 Rutherford Rd

CAUTION! This is an external email. Verify the sender's email address and carefully examine any links or attachments before clicking. If you believe this may be a phishing email, please use the Phish Alert Button.

To whom it may concern. I am an original owner at Pikake Court Maple ON I am writing this letter regarding the new proposal for 3300 Rutherford Development. All our neighbors have the same consensus about the new proposal which has not resolved any problems/concerns that were raise by all members of our neighborhood last May 2023. In some degree, this new proposal is even worse because it even eliminated road entrance/exit to Canada's Wonderland service road.

We discussed and reviewed the new proposal at a community/neighborhood meeting held last week in our subdivision and everybody is strongly opposed to this new proposal for many reasons that goes against urban planning goals and ideals (eg. NOT easing transportation throughout the city, NOT creating more community spaces; ie schools, upgrading current infrastructure, improving citizens' quality of life etc.). This development is very bad for our existing extremely unbearable local traffic which already puts the majority of our neighbors at risk with most of the streets in our neighborhood without side-walks at all as one example. The thought of this proposal puts more stress on me and our neighbours causing mental difficulties and the negative effect on the neighborhood as whole. We all agree that people who have lived in finished residential area with finished commercial plaza for more than 20 years has to be priority in the mind of City of Vaughan, the mayor and all counsellors.

We all agree that land at <u>3300 Rutherford Rd</u> is simply unsuitable for this type of development due to its drastic negative impact on our neighborhood in every way, shape and form. We hope that City of Vaughan reviews this proposal for what it really is, a builder cash grab and hears our strong opposition to the new proposal and votes to reject it. **Sincerely**

Best Regards

Shawn and Audrey Reynolds

Regards,

Shawn P. Reynolds (He / Him) | V. P. Wholesale and Commercial | Econo Petroleum Inc. Retail Fuels | Wholesale Fuels | Commercial Fuels | Lubricants | Aviation Fuels | National Imperial Branded Wholesale | Key to the Highway 200-30 Colonnade Road, Ottawa, ON K2E 7J6 Direct: 647.234.4566 | Email: shawn.reynolds@econopetro.com Website: www.econopetro.com



This email and its contents are private and confidential, for the sole use of the addresses. If you are not the intended recipient, copying or forwarding or other distribution of this email or its contents by any means is prohibited. If you believe that you received this email in error please notify the original sender immediately.

C33 Communication Council – May 22, 2024 CW(PM) – Report No. 18 Item No. 1

From: Yan

Sent: Monday, May 6, 2024 3:42 PM

To: Clerks@vaughan.ca; Alannah Slattery <Alannah.Slattery@vaughan.ca> **Subject:** [External] Woodbridge Go Station proposal

CAUTION! This is an external email. Verify the sender's email address and carefully examine any links or attachments before clicking. If you believe this may be a phishing email, please use the Phish Alert Button.

Good day all,

My name is Yan de Thieulloy and I am sending this email as unfortunately I will be unable to attend the Public Meeting being held on May 7th, but do have some thoughts and concerns regarding this proposed project.

As a resident of over 20+ years in Woodbridge and in particular the MarketLane area, I have seen dramatic changes in the neighbourhood, with many more on the way! Condominiums have been built, are being built, and will soon begin construction. Woodbridge ave just finished a yearlong rejuvenation update. And many more projects seem to be coming.

Densification is real and I understand that we have to allow for increased numbers of people to live and work in our neighbourhoods, but traffic, not people, is the issue here!

All of the surrounding streets here save for Hwy 7 and Islington are one-lane in each direction and congestion is already a nightmare. It used to be that during morning and afternoon rush-hour there would be a noticeable increase in the amount of cars traveling east and west on Woodbridge ave between Kipling and Islington, but now there are sometimes bumper-to bumper lineups that entire section, as well as a nonstop parade of cars using James and Williams streets as side-street shortcuts and sometimes zipping by at insanely dangerous speeds at all hours of the day. Trying to reverse out of my driveway during certain times can be an exercise in patience and frustration..and I'm someone who's naturally patient!

One of my fears with this proposed GO Station is that given the amount of people that you've probably estimated will be coming and going each day we are going to see a **massive influx in the amount of vehicles on Kipling and other arteries leading to and from** it as these riders get picked up or dropped off, and I just don't understand how this will not be a hugely negative impact on everyone living within a kilometre of the station. And let's not forget that with this increase in trains on the tracks, we'll also **more and more street crossing closures** which will once more only inconvenience everyone and exacerbate the problem...

What's more is that with the introduction of a new scheduled passenger service, those of us living close to the railroad tracks are also going to experience a **huge increase in noise pollution**, something that we all accepted to a certain degree when we moved here, but that now runs the risk of going from a train every 4 or 5 hours to much more frequently...Will anything be done to minimize or account for this?

This is not "NIMBY-ism" for the sake of complaining - a new GO Station might do wonders for the citizens of Vaughan, but it must be placed somewhere easily accessible and not so catastrophically disruptive to its' surroundings, or I fear that our future will be one of an infinite centipede of creeping cars all up and down Kipling ave at all hours of the day.

Thank you for allowing me to share my concerns and I look forward to hearing the city's response.

Regards,

Yan de Thieulloy James st



C34 Communication Council – May 22, 2024 CW(PM) – Report No. 18 Item No. 5

> Conner Harris Direct Line: 416 597-5422 conner@raymanharris.com

File No. 1396

May 7, 2024

VIA EMAIL – <u>clerks@vaughan.ca</u>

City of Vaughan Office of the City Clerk 2141 Major Mackenzie Drive Vaughan, ON L6A 1T1

To Whom It May Concern:

RE: Notice of Public Meeting – Committee of the Whole Zoning By-Law Amendment File Z.18.0004 Rizmi Holdings Ltd – 11333 Dufferin Street

We are counsel to Maple Downs Golf & Country Club ("**Maple Downs**") with respect to the above-noted matter. We make this submission with respect to the upcoming Committee of the Whole Meeting at which this application will be considered on May 7th, 2024 at 7:00pm.

Our client has been monitoring the Zoning By-Law Amendment application Z.18.004, as well as the related application bearing file number 19T-18V004. Our client owns the lands immediately to the south of those that are the subject of the above applications. Maple Downs Golf & Country Club was founded in 1954 and has been serving the local community since that time. It prides itself on a welcoming, family-first atmosphere which offers world class facilities to its members and visitors. The Club has recently undergone significant renovations to enhance its facilities and maintain its reputation for excellence.

As a result of the recent developments regarding the above-noted applications, our client has significant concerns about the proposals for development on the lands neighbouring their facility. This letter sets out, from a high level, the concerns and objections of Maple Downs. They include:

 The capacity of existing services to handle the scale of development proposed. Services are limited, at present. The proposed development densities would significantly increase the burden on those services and tax their capacity to a potentially harmful degree. Maple Downs is concerned with ensuring that any approved development density is appropriate for available services and will not impair the use of those services by existing users.

- 2. The impact of the proposed development on existing road services. As they currently exist, roads servicing the area are not sufficient to handle the development density and volume proposed. Development of that nature without proper and timely construction of appropriate road capacity will negatively impact the surrounding area and harm Maple Downs' operations.
- 3. Proximity of development to Maple Downs and maintenance of a wooded buffer separating Maple Downs from the lands slated for development. Maple Downs is currently buffered from the lands to the north (subject of the applications) by a woodlot. That buffer is a significant amenity to Maple Downs and preserves its peaceful and private atmosphere and use of its lands. The buffer must be maintained during, and after, the construction of any development in order to preserve Maple Downs' existing amenity. The current development plans show homes immediately bordering Maple Downs' lands. The lack of any appropriate buffering is a significant concern. Maple Downs also has concern about the preservation of other environmental features and amenities in the area bordering its property and the lands subject to this application.
- 4. Preservation of access to the TransCanada pipeline running between the Maple Downs property and the lands slated for development. At present the pipeline is located in the woodlot buffer. Maintenance of that buffer will ensure continued access to the pipeline. Maple Downs is concerned with ensuring that access to the pipeline remains through the woodlot and is not routed through its property, which disrupting its operations to an unacceptable degree.

As the applications above are at the preliminary stage Maple Downs may have further concerns as they continue to be assessed and developed. I Maple Downs wishes to be notified of any further meetings, reports or other developments as they relate to the application.

We would be pleased to arrange such a meeting at your convenience.

Sincerely, RAYMAN HARRIS LLP

Clove

Conner Harris CH/nw



C35 Communication Council – May 22, 2024 CW(PM) - Report No. 1

18	ltem	No. 2
----	------	-------

From: Assunta Ferrante Adelina Bellisario To: **Isabel Leung** Cc: Subject: FW: [External] Deputation made to Committee of the Whole - May 7, 2024 Date: May-09-24 4:17:35 PM Attachments: Meeting Deputation Block 27, - Karim 2024 05 07.docx

From: Karim Tahir

Sent: Thursday, May 9, 2024 12:45 PM

To: Assunta Ferrante < Assunta. Ferrante@vaughan.ca>

Subject: [External] Deputation made to Committee of the Whole - May 7, 2024

CAUTION! This is an external email. Verify the sender's email address and carefully examine any links or attachments before clicking. If you believe this may be a phishing email, please use the Phish Alert Button.

Hello Assunta,

I am grateful for your help in registration for my deputations and reaching out to me for written notes of deputations made by me at Committee of the Whole on May 7, 2024. Please see attached. I have made some editing to clarify the authority of extending Rapid Transit Core to Teston Road.

If you have any question, kindly advise.

Sincerely,

Karim Tahir

per Ahmadiyya Muslim Jama`at

10610 Jane Street, Maple ON L6A 3A2

416 821 8484

His Worship Mayor and Membrs of the Committee of the Whole;

BLOCK 27 – Committee of the Whole Meeting, May 7, 2024 **SUBJECT:**

My name is Karim Tahir and I am humbled to be a volunteer advisor to Ahmadiyya Muslim Jama'at (AMJ) Properties Department. As you all know, we have our National Head Office in the City of Vaughan located at 10610 Jane Street. Block 27 is in the immediate vicinity and is bound to have a huge impact on our existing operations.

Before I go the matters related specifically to Block 27, I wish to share with some very personal thoughts. I was happily living with my family in prime Brampton area of Dixie and Williams Parkway, when I was assigned the blessed volunteer duty of following planning matters of block 33 East on behalf of Ahmadiyya Muslim Jama'at. This made me to move to Peace Village (located in this block), City of Vaughan, an emerging world class city, as City of my choice.

At occasions, I got an opportunity to express my vision of City of My Choice to this auspicious council as well. Cities are recognized by their institutions.

- 1. It has educational institutions like Oxford University, John Hopkins and MIT. Thanks for your efforts to bring York University Medical School.
- 2. It has parks like Hyde Park and High Park. Thank you for North Maple Prak.
- 3. It has a world class convention centre like Metro Toronto Convention Centre or at least International Centre. I look forward to see this happen.
- 4. It has public facilities to accommodate international games like Wimbledon Park and Rogers Centre (Sky Dome). Thanks for Soccer Stadium proposed in North Maple Park.
- 5. It will attract people from all over the world for tourism (we have Wonderland) and to attend and get spiritual experience like attending Annual Convention (Jalsa Salana) of Ahmadiyya Muslim Jama`at.
- 6. I will not only enjoy my and my family lives in this city but also be buried in this city after passing away.

I feel grateful that under leadership of this council, this great city is on its way to become and get recognition as a world class city.

To:

This subdivision will have minimum of 5,759 residential units. This means a
population of more than 30,000. This will immensely increase traffic on Jane
Street. We respectfully ask the council to take up the matter with York
Region to find ways how an efficient traffic flow can be managed.
Designating an HOV lane during morning and evening rush hours can be
one possibility. To attract use of public transport, it is imperative that Rapid
Transit Corridor be extended up to Teston Road. This is currently up to
Major Mackenzie Drive. Reference may please be made to schedule 10
(Major Transit Network) of the current Official Plan. Jane Street is a
Regional Road, the extension of designation Rapid Transit Corridor up to
Teston Road will have to be done through York Region.

I solemnly state that during approval process of Block 33 East, I heard Late Lorna Jackson (may she rest in eternal peace) stand up in this council and state that Vaughan will preserve a corridor along highway 400 up to Wonderland for future transit. Alas, we could not keep up with her vision. Now, at least we can extend the Rapid Transit Corridor up to Teston Road to make life a little bit easier for existing residents of block 33 East and future residents of Block 27.

- 2. We expect that a large number of our community members will be interested in making this block their home. We request that bicycle lanes and other pedestrian features may be well considered in this block and connect these to the mosque. This will help reduce the vehicular traffic coming to the mosque.
- 3. There is no place of worship in the proposed block plan. This will greatly impact our mosque. We request that reasonable sized land for places of worship, not only for our community but also other interested communities, be made a part of the plan to be approved by this council.

We shall be pleased to work with land owners' group with support of this auspicious council. In one of the OPA Citizen Working Group meetings, I was advised that a recommendation to allow use of residential lots as places of worship may be an option for OPA team. However, we know very well as you also understand that re-zoning of residential lots as places of worship is not a practical matter.

- 4. We also note that the community hub and public squares are not located in central locations, as a result most of the residents will not be able to walk to these facilities. This is an essential feature of today's environment friendly urban design. We fail to understand how this consideration was overlooked by the planning team. Relocating these facilities may please be given a consideration before final approval of the block plan.
- 5. There is a dire need of affordable housing in our city. AMJ, Humanity First and other organizations like Human Endeavour are working hard to sponsor refugees and help in their settlement. I can give an example of Ahmadiyya Abode of Peace - a non-profit housing provider sponsored by AMJ. This has not only been recognized a leader in sustainability, energy efficiency and Management Best Practices, but has also been a first step for immigrant families to get established in their new home country. A conservative estimate is that more than 100 families from this project have moved to their own homes in Vaughan.

We seek your help that some of the areas reserved for midrise residential and mixed-use development be inclusionary zoned specifically for affordable housing in the plan to be approved. We shall be pleased to work with Land Owner's Group how we can co-operate in making this happen and managed.

Edited and Submitted: Karim Tahir Ahamdiyya Muslim Jama`at May 9, 2024

C36 Communication Council – 22, 2024 CW(WS) – Report No. 19 Item No. 2



From: <u>Clerks@vaughan.ca</u> <<u>Clerks@vaughan.ca</u> Sent: Wednesday, May 8, 2024 11:50 AM To: John Britto <<u>John.Britto@vaughan.ca</u>> Subject: FW: [External] Integrated Water Plan

 From: IRENE FORD
 >

 Sent: Wednesday, May 8, 2024 11:11 AM
 To: Clerks@vaughan.ca; oprmanager@vaughan.ca

 Cc: Counci@vaughan.ca; opsmanager@vaughan.ca
 Subject: [External] Integrated Water Plan

CAUTION! This is an external email. Verify the sender's email address and carefully examine any links or attachments before clicking. If you believe this may be a phishing email, please use the Phish Alert Button

Hello,

I will admit that I have not been following Vaughan's Official Plan closely. I had hoped that it would be similar to York Region's recently approved Official Plan. It is my intent to look more closely.

I am confused by some of the projects presented in the executive summary attachment and present my concerns below.

Post 2051 Growth Area ORM - Natural Core?

Please explain why there is a future growth area shown on the ORMCA, 2001 lands designated natural core area (north of Teston, East of Bathurst)? Is Vaughan Council and staff planning to ask the Minister for ORM removals during the ongoing Official Plan Review/Update, as occurred during York Region's Official Plan update? If so greater transparency would be appreciated.

ORM & Former Landfill Water Servicing

I am surprised to see a water pipe proposed from Keele to Dufferin where Teston Rd is proposed to be connected. It seems to me that there would be large engineering feats given this falls between the former Keele Valley landfill to the south and former Vaughan Landfill to the north for which the City of Toronto is responsible for in perpetuity. I presume consultation with the City of Toronto, likely the landowner, occurred?



I am somewhat confused by this ECA which approves the installation of sanitary that connects 16m south of Teston Rd.

sanitary sewers on Shipwill Street (from approximately 295 metres north of McGown Road to Street 1), Street 1 (from Shipwill Street to approximately 22 metres northwest of Teston Road), Block 2 - Service Node (from Street 1 to approximately 20 meters west of Street 1), Teston Road (from Block 2 - Service Node to approximately 19 metres south of Block 2 - Service Node), and Street 2 (from approximately 150 metres west of Street 1), discharging to existing sanitary sewers located approximately 16 metres south of Teston Road and approximately 51 metres west of Street 1;

https://www.accessenvironment.ene.gov.on.ca/instruments/8682-C8PRPS-14.pdf

I guess this was part of the 'Interim Servicing Strategy' approved after this MZO was approved. I presume the sanitary approved by the ECA will be shown in due course?



Figure 0-8: Wastewater Preferred Solutions 2

Why are we increasing servicing capacity on the east side of the 400 from Rutherford to south of Langstaff?

There have been several developments proposed here that have greatly upset the community. The CHIA request at Langstaff and Chrislea that currently sits in limbo dues to changes under Bill 185. The other was site specific changes of this land from employment to residential made by the Minister upon approval of York Region's Official Plan.





Figure 0-11: Wastewater Preferred Solutions 5

Regards, Irene Ford

C37 Communication Council – May 22, 2024 CW(PM) – Report No. 18 Item No. 2

<u>Clerks@vaughan.ca</u>	CW(PM) -
Adelina Bellisario	
FW: [External] Block 27 Development Plan - Item 2 at May 7, 2024 Pu	ublic Meeting
May-13-24 8:51:27 AM	
	Adelina Bellisario FW: [External] Block 27 Development Plan - Item 2 at May 7, 2024 Pt

From: Maryann Munholland

Sent: Saturday, May 11, 2024 7:32 PM

To: Clerks@vaughan.ca; Marilyn lafrate <Marilyn.lafrate@vaughan.ca>

Cc: Cliff Nordal

Subject: [External] Block 27 Development Plan - Item 2 at May 7, 2024 Public Meeting

CAUTION! This is an external email. Verify the sender's email address and carefully examine any links or attachments before clicking. If you believe this may be a phishing email, please use the Phish Alert Button.

Hello,

Please ensure that I am kept apprised of all further communications/developments and plans for Block 27. I live on the south side of Teston on Ashton Drive (Ashton Drive,).

Marilyn, current plans for Block 27 as shown on May 7, do not include a signalled entrance into Block 27 at the location where it is proposed to have exclusive entrance into the Teston Road Development Plan proposed on the south side of Teston between Jane and Cranston Park. Wondering if this will be entertained OR will it remain a signalled entrance for the south side of Teston only?

....and I know that this next comment references a very future possibility, but the few residents that were present on Tuesday night have mounting concerns of escalating traffic flow on Ashton as a thru-fare between Jane & Teston. It wouldn't seem that the current street, as it exists, could handle a higher traffic flow like Cranston Park, Drummond or Melville etc. I know that you are working hard to ensure neighbourhood safety as the north part of Maple evolves and we (and I personally) appreciate that. It's way too early to talk about traffic mitigating options, but I hope you and the city planners have this in mind as we progress.

Thanks in advance,

Maryann Munholland

C38 Communication Council – May 22, 2024

CW(1) – Report No. 17 Item No. 13

 From:
 Clerks@vaughan.ca

 To:
 Adelina Bellisario

 Subject:
 FW: [External] Non Stop today

 Date:
 May-13-24 9:03:46 AM

From: Rose Savage Sent: Sunday, May 12, 2024 7:40 AM
To: Clerks@vaughan.ca; Todd Coles <Todd.Coles@vaughan.ca>
Subject: [External] Non Stop today

CAUTION! This is an external email. Verify the sender's email address and carefully examine any links or attachments before clicking. If you believe this may be a phishing email, please use the Phish Alert Button.

All are Boeing airlines and noise you can hear for miles.

We brought this to your attention and now the numbers have increased?

What has the City of Vaughan done to stop this insanity ?





C39 Communication Council – May 22, 2024 CW(1) – Report No. 17 Item No. 13

From:	Clerks@vaughan.ca
To:	Adelina Bellisario
Subject:	FW: [External] Planes Avoid its Usual Path in Brampton & Mississauga
Date:	May-13-24 9:04:05 AM

 From: Rose Savage

 Sent: Sunday, May 12, 2024 11:51 AM

 To: Clerks@vaughan.ca; Todd Coles <Todd.Coles@vaughan.ca>

 Cc: angela
 IRENE FORD

Subject: [External] Planes Avoid its Usual Path in Brampton & Mississauga

CAUTION! This is an external email. Verify the sender's email address and carefully examine any links or attachments before clicking. If you believe this may be a phishing email, please use the Phish Alert Button.

Based on all our videos and photos taken this far, there is no doubt that planes are "illegally flying" over Vaughan and Not the decades long approved flight path in Brampton and Mississauga. Los Angeles is West; Not East ? A Boeing airplane as well right above our community.

Mayor and Members of Council in Vaughan, please explain how this happened and how this continues to get worse each day?

Are we to assume that the mayor and members of council in Brampton and Mississauga are doing a better job at protecting their taxpayers?

This is the perception at the moment and as taxpayers living in Vaughan we would like to know why you allowed this to happen and at the expense your own constituents.





C40 Communication Council – May 22, 2024 CW(1) – Report No. 17 Item No. 13

From:	Clerks@vaughan.ca
To:	Adelina Bellisario
Subject:	FW: [External] Re: Planes Avoid its Usual Path in Brampton & Mississauga
Date:	May-13-24 9:04:48 AM
Date:	May-13-24 9:04:48 AM

From: angela Sent: Sunday, May 12, 2024 6:25 PM To: Clerks@vaughan.ca; Todd Coles <Todd.Coles@vaughan.ca> Cc: Rose Savage ; IRENE FORD Subject: [External] Re: Planes Avoid its Usual Path in Brampton & Mississauga

CAUTION! This is an external email. Verify the sender's email address and carefully examine any links or attachments before clicking. If you believe this may be a phishing email, please use the Phish Alert Button.

It's unbelievable that we (Maple and Woodbridge residents) have become the dedicated arrival and departure flight paths for Toronto International Airport without resident's true knowledge impact, consent, input or approval. Brampton and Mississauga have been able to move their flight paths to Maple and Woodbridge without the Mayor or City councillor's involvement ????? Again, how does this happen and why are we not getting support from the Mayor and City councillors to stop these illegal flight paths.

Large planes have been flying over My house since 7:30 am. These planes are illegally and are using our airspace. We need your help and support to stop these illegal large planes flying over our home at very low altitude and making sharp steep turns/contours. In addition to this, new procedures are being used (RNP/CDO) which are dangerous and are in altitude breach and are mostly being performed in Woodbridge and Maple.

Can you please respond in writing to our questions and concerns. This is not only a federal issue.

Get Outlook for Android

From: Rose Savage Sent: Sunday, May 12, 2024 11:51:03 a.m. To: clerks@vaughan.ca <clerks@vaughan.ca>; todd.coles@vaughan.ca <todd.coles@vaughan.ca> Cc: angela IRENE FORD Subject: Planes Avoid its Usual Path in Brampton & Mississauga

Based on all our videos and photos taken this far, there is no doubt that planes are "illegally flying" over Vaughan and Not the decades long approved flight path in Brampton and Mississauga. Los Angeles is West; Not East ? A Boeing airplane as well right above our community.

Mayor and Members of Council in Vaughan, please explain how this happened and how this continues to get worse each day?

Are we to assume that the mayor and members of council in Brampton and Mississauga are doing a better job at protecting their taxpayers?

This is the perception at the moment and as taxpayers living in Vaughan we would like to know why you allowed this to happen and at the expense your own constituents.















C41 Communication Council – May 22, 2024 CW(PM) – Report No. 18 Item No. 6

From:	Clerks@vaughan.ca
То:	Adelina Bellisario
Subject:	FW: [External] STOP BUILDING PLAN 3300 RUTHERFORD ROAD
Date:	May-16-24 8:19:46 AM

From: q ngo

Sent: Thursday, May 16, 2024 8:03 AMTo: Clerks@vaughan.caSubject: [External] STOP BUILDING PLAN 3300 RUTHERFORD ROAD

CAUTION! This is an external email. Verify the sender's email address and carefully examine any links or attachments before clicking. If you believe this may be a phishing email, please use the Phish Alert Button.

CITY OF VAUGHAN OFFICE OF THE CITY CLERK 2141 MAJOR MACKENZIE DRIVE, VAUGHAN, ON, L6A 1T1

FROM: QUANG NGO

NATIONAL PINE DRIVE, MAPLE, ON,

DEAR SIR / MADAM,

LAST YEAR WE BROUGHT THE ISSUES TO THE CITY OF VAUGHAN. AND THE MAYOR AND COUNCILLORS AGRREE THAT THE SITE PLAN CAN NOT BE BUILT ON 3300 RUTHERFORD ROAD.

NOW AGAIN, THE BUILDER TRY IN THE SAME ZONE. WE NEED YOUR HELP TO STOP THEM FROM BUILDING DUE TO OVER POPULATION AND TRAFFIC JAM.

THANK YOU.

C42 Communication Council – May 22, 2024 CW(1) – Report No. 17 Item No. 13














CAUTION! This is an external email. Verify the sender's email address and carefully examine any links or attachments before clicking. If you believe this may be a phishing email, please use the Phish Alert Button.

C43

Communication

Council - May 22, 2024

CW(1) – Report No. 17 Item No. 13

-	
From:	Clerks@vaughan.ca
To:	Adelina Bellisario
Subject:	FW: [External] Shame on City of Vaughan
Date:	May-17-24 8:26:18 AM

From: Rose Savage
Sent: Thursday, May 16, 2024 7:21 PM
To: Clerks@vaughan.ca; Todd Coles <Todd.Coles@vaughan.ca>
Cc: IRENE FORD
; angela
Subject: [External] Shame on City of Vaughan...

CAUTION! This is an external email. Verify the sender's email address and carefully examine any links or attachments before clicking. If you believe this may be a phishing email, please use the Phish Alert Button.

For turning Vaughan into the Mississauga Congress Centre due to your lack of action.

The level of noise at that location is the same here due to low flying altitude and Vaughan's higher ground !

We as taxpayers are requesting your attention in this matter.

We do not allow Boeing planes to fly over our Community.

We did not, We do not and We will not Comply with this illegal activity.

We request the City of Vaughan to take accountability, responsibility and ownership for this illegal act and ask GTAA and NAVCAN to stop immediately !

Your attention to matter would be greatly appreciated by the taxpayers of Vaughan !













From:	<u>Clerks@vaughan.ca</u>		
To:	Adelina Bellisario		
Subject:	FW: [External] Helicopter makes a detour off its regular approved path; Again to Infiltrate Our Community	! Who approve	d this change?
Date:	May-21-24 8:34:52 AM		

From: Rose Savage			
Sent: Monday, May	20, 2024	12:29 F	PM

To: Clerks@vaughan.ca; Todd Coles <Todd.Coles@vaughan.ca>; Suzanne Craig <Suzanne.Craig@vaughan.ca>

Cc: IRENE FORD >; angela

Subject: [External] Helicopter makes a detour off its regular approved path; Again to Infiltrate Our Community ! Who approved this change?

CAUTION! This is an external email. Verify the sender's email address and carefully examine any links or attachments before clicking. If you believe this may be a phishing email, please use the Phish Alert Button.

We need the City Of Vaughan to investigate who approved this change to slightly make a change to fly East (Contour adjustment) in order to infiltrate our Community ?

Not only are we infiltrated by Boeing planes; we have these helicopters flying above our homes at very fast speed, low altitudes, making a scary loud noise and pollute the air we breathe !

We have had enough !!!

We want answers and request that this illegal infiltration of our airspace as we are calling it the "Wild Wild West" and "Willie Nillie" STOP !

We DO NOT COMPLY !



Island Reset Conse Area	voir ervation
CGYNM A139 Ornge Air	© Alex Pierzak
N/A NOT AVA \longrightarrow N/A NOT AVA Dep. 0:14 ago	BAROMETRIC A 1,650 ft GROUND SPEED 142 kts
Leonardo AW139	REG. C-GYNM









From:	<u>Clerks@vaughan.ca</u>
To:	Adelina Bellisario
Subject:	FW: [External] Looks like the Willie Nillie AI Boeing 777 doesn't know direction or it's the Wild Wild West attacking our community intentionally Again !
Date:	May-21-24 8:34:18 AM

From: Rose Savage <

Sent: Saturday, May 18, 2024 9:27 PM

To: Francesco Sorbara <francesco.sorbara@parl.gc.ca>; Cynthia Woods <Cynthia.Woods@gtaa.com>; Robyn Connelly <Robyn.Connelly@gtaa.com>; Clerks@vaughan.ca; Todd Coles <Todd.Coles@vaughan.ca>; NAV Consultation Toronto-Pearson <yzrnpconsult@navcanada.ca>; Navcanada Service <service@navcanada.ca>

Cc: IRENE FORD

Subject: [External] Looks like the Willie Nillie AI Boeing 777 doesn't know direction or it's the Wild Wild West attacking our community intentionally Again !

CAUTION! This is an external email. Verify the sender's email address and carefully examine any links or attachments before clicking. If you believe this may be a phishing email, please use the Phish Alert Button.

AI is not as intelligent as you all think !

STOP Flying over our Community !

Maybe GTAA needs to follow the law and get a Human with compassion for people and get rid of AI who obviously doesn't know direction and is intentially attacking Committees.

Stop ! Leave us alone !





From:	Clerks@vaughan.ca
To:	Adelina Bellisario
Subject:	FW: [External] Stop flying Willie Nillie
Date:	May-21-24 8:33:40 AM

From: Rose Savage

Sent: Saturday, May 18, 2024 4:02 PM

To: Francesco Sorbara <francesco.sorbara@parl.gc.ca>; Cynthia Woods <Cynthia.Woods@gtaa.com>; Robyn Connelly <Robyn.Connelly@gtaa.com>; Clerks@vaughan.ca ; angela

Cc: IRENE FORD

Subject: [External] Stop flying Willie Nillie

CAUTION! This is an external email. Verify the sender's email address and carefully examine any links or attachments before clicking. If you believe this may be a phishing email, please use the Phish Alert Button.



town urchville • Toronto Ster B. Pearson International Airport (YYZ)	Tor
ACA117 AC117 A321 Air Canada	© Mehrad Watso
YYZ torontoYVR vancouDep. 0:04 ago	BAROMETRIC A 7,207 ft GROUND SPEED 280 kts

From:	Clerks@vaughan.ca
To:	Adelina Bellisario
Subject:	FW: [External] Irresponsible ! STAY AWAY From our Community !
Date:	May-21-24 8:33:17 AM

From: Rose Savage

Sent: Saturday, May 18, 2024 2:13 PM

To: Clerks@vaughan.ca; Todd Coles <Todd.Coles@vaughan.ca>; Francesco Sorbara <francesco.sorbara@parl.gc.ca>; Robyn Connelly <Robyn.Connelly@gtaa.com>; Cynthia Woods <cynthia.woods@gtaa.com>; yzrnpconsult@navcanada.ca; Navcanada Service <service@navcanada.ca>; ZZG-Community Engagement <communityengagement@gtaa.com>

Cc: IRENE FORD

Subject: [External] Irresponsible ! STAY AWAY From our Community !

; angela

CAUTION! This is an external email. Verify the sender's email address and carefully examine any links or attachments before clicking. If you believe this may be a phishing email, please use the Phish Alert Button.



oton foronts B. Peusen International	
ACA9 AC9 B77W Air Canada	© fox_sam
YYZ TORONTO>NRT TOKYODep. 0:02 ago	BAROMETRIC A 4,775 ft GROUND SPEED 252 kts
Boeing 777-333(ER)	REG. C-FITL

From:	Clerks@vaughan.ca
To:	Adelina Bellisario
Subject:	FW: [External] Taxpayers Need to Be Heard !
Date:	May-21-24 8:32:32 AM

From: Rose Savage	
Sent: Saturday, May 18, 2024 8:20 AM	_
To: Clerks@vaughan.ca; Todd Coles <todd.col< td=""><td>es@vaughan.ca>; Suzanne Craig <suzanne.craig@vaughan.ca></suzanne.craig@vaughan.ca></td></todd.col<>	es@vaughan.ca>; Suzanne Craig <suzanne.craig@vaughan.ca></suzanne.craig@vaughan.ca>
Cc: IRENE FORD ang	ela
Subject: [External] Taxpayers Need to Be Hear	d !

CAUTION! This is an external email. Verify the sender's email address and carefully examine any links or attachments before clicking. If you believe this may be a phishing email, please use the Phish Alert Button.

We are getting nowhere and very exhausted with all this finger pointing and observe more time is spent on "superficial photo ops" instead of addressing real issues in Vaughan !

Enough is Enough !

This "Willie Nillie" "Wild Wild West" "untraceable" "test runs" above our airspace in Vaughan is "killing us"

Not to mention "Wild Wild West Planning" on the ground that supports the reason for the "Wild Wild West in the Sky" !!!

Health and Safety as well as Crime are all being ignored !

Mayor and Members of Council need to focus on the real issues caused by their decisions.





From: Rose Savage

Sent: Saturday, May 18, 2024 7:54 AM

To: Francesco Sorbara <francesco.sorbara@parl.gc.ca>; Todd Coles <Todd.Coles@vaughan.ca>; Clerks@vaughan.ca; Cynthia Woods <Cynthia.Woods@gtaa.com>; Robyn Connelly <Robyn.Connelly@gtaa.com>

Cc: IRENE FORD >; angela

Subject: [External] DO NOT FLY Over our Community !

CAUTION! This is an external email. Verify the sender's email address and carefully examine any links or attachments before clicking. If you believe this may be a phishing email, please use the Phish Alert Button.

We received confirmation from the City's Integrity Commissioner that the City of Vaughan Mayor and Members of council did not approve airplanes flying over our airspace in our Community in Vaughan.

Why is GTAA still illegally trespassing continuously without permission?

Stop flying over our airspace illegally !

Stop flying Boeing airplanes over our community !

Why did the Federal Government refuse to approve a health study; formal requests from GTAA were provided and Federal Government ignored four times !

Stop killing us !

Francesco as GTAA Chair and MP; why have you not been successful in obtaining a health study ?

What actions have you taken ?

How many deaths have there been with this "Willie Nil lie" illegal flying path in Vaughan?

How many trees and human life have been affected by this "Willie Nil lie" "Wild Wild West flying" in Vaughan?

Is GTAA monitoring the damages caused?

Who compensates and has Corporate Insurance to address all the damages caused?

There is No Governance process in place to support a "Willie Nil lie" "Wild Wild West" untraceable "test runs" approach; why continue in this manner without it?

Your actions speak louder than "superficial photo ops"

We DID NOT COMPLY We DO NOT COMPLY We WILL NOT COMPLY

You DO NOT have the Authority !

Stay away from our Community !











From: Rose Savage <

Sent: Saturday, May 18, 2024 2:14 AM

To: Clerks@vaughan.ca; Todd Coles <Todd.Coles@vaughan.ca>

Cc: IRENE FORD ; angela

Subject: [External] Fwd: What is this? Right above my house at this hour of the morning? STOP this illegal Willie Nil lie nonsense !!

CAUTION! This is an external email. Verify the sender's email address and carefully examine any links or attachments before clicking. If you believe this may be a phishing email, please use the Phish Alert Button.

To mayor and members of council

This is an illegal Act and we have had enough !

Stop this nonsense as all of you are accountable for bringing Vaughan down !

You are all illusional if you think otherwise.

Stop this madness !

Begin forwarded message:

From: Rose Savage < Date: May 18, 2024 at 2:01:10 AM EDT

To: Francesco Sorbara <<u>francesco.sorbara@parl.gc.ca</u>>, Robyn Connelly <u>Robyn.Connelly@gtaa.com</u>>, Cynthia Woods <<u>cynthia.woods@gtaa.com</u>>, Todd Coles <<u>todd.coles@vaughan.ca</u>>, NAV Consultation Toronto-Pearson <<u>yzrnpconsult@navcanada.ca</u>>, Navcanada Service <<u>service@navcanada.ca</u>>, ZZG-Community Engagement <<u>communityengagement@gtaa.com</u>>, "Mario G. Racco" <<u>MarioG.Racco@vaughan.ca</u>> Cc: IRENE FORD ______, angela <

Subject: What is this? Right above my house at this hour of the morning? STOP this illegal Willie Nil lie nonsense !!





From:	Clerks@vaughan.ca
To:	Adelina Bellisario
Subject:	FW: [External] Why is GTAA sending Boeing plans in my community non stop??
Date:	May-21-24 8:30:21 AM
Attachments:	IMG_0120.PNG

From: Rose Savage <

Sent: Friday, May 17, 2024 7:07 PM

To: Francesco Sorbara <francesco.sorbara@parl.gc.ca>; Cynthia Woods <Cynthia.Woods@gtaa.com>; Robyn Connelly <Robyn.Connelly@gtaa.com>; Todd Coles <Todd.Coles@vaughan.ca>; Clerks@vaughan.ca

Cc: IRENE FORD ; angela

Subject: [External] Why is GTAA sending Boeing plans in my community non stop??

CAUTION! This is an external email. Verify the sender's email address and carefully examine any links or attachments before clicking. If you believe this may be a phishing email, please use the Phish Alert Button.





From:	Clerks@vaughan.ca
To:	Adelina Bellisario
Subject:	FW: [External] Low Altitude. Loud. Boeing - Congress Centre Noise - City of Vaughan Aloud this ?
Date:	May-21-24 8:28:58 AM

 From: Rose Savage

 Sent: Friday, May 17, 2024 4:58 PM

 To: Clerks@vaughan.ca; Todd Coles <Todd.Coles@vaughan.ca>

 Cc: IRENE FORD
 ; angela

Subject: [External] Low Altitude. Loud. Boeing - Congress Centre Noise - City of Vaughan Aloud this ?

CAUTION! This is an external email. Verify the sender's email address and carefully examine any links or attachments before clicking. If you believe this may be a phishing email, please use the Phish Alert Button.





C45

Communication

Council - May 22, 2024

CW(1) – Report No. 17 Item No. 13

From:	Clerks@vaughan.ca
To:	Adelina Bellisario
Subject:	FW: [External] Re: DO NOT FLY Over our Community !
Date:	May-21-24 8:32:57 AM
Attachments:	IMG_0157.PNG

From: angela

Sent: Saturday, May 18, 2024 1:09 PM

Francesco Sorbara <francesco.sorbara@parl.gc.ca>; Todd Coles <Todd.Coles@vaughan.ca>; To: Rose Savage Clerks@vaughan.ca; Cynthia Woods <Cynthia.Woods@gtaa.com>; Robyn Connelly <Robyn.Connelly@gtaa.com> Cc: IRENE FORD Subject: [External] Re: DO NOT FLY Over our Community !

CAUTION! This is an external email. Verify the sender's email address and carefully examine any links or attachments before clicking. If you believe this

Yes, Planes are flying over our community non stop. Stop these illegal and dangerous planes flying at very low altitudes over our homes. As stated, we are tax payers and have the right to live in our neighbourhood without constant noise and concern about our health.

We need answers to questions that we have been asking for:

- Where is the health study that we have been asking for?
- Why has Vaughan inherited flight paths that were normally going through Brampton, Mississauga and Toronto? Their skies are free or/and planes are strategically placed/planned to avoid resident's homes.
- RNP or CDO procedures do not occur in above city as they do in Vaughan. RNP/CDO is dangerous and in altitude breach.
- Why are Planes flying every 2 to 5 minutes all day and night, and why is Woodbridge and Maple the preferential night flight?
- Why are cargo planes flying at very low altitudes (average 2,600) from 12:00 am to 5:300 am? (performing RNP/CDO procedures and most of planes are old refurbished DC planes)
- Why was Vaughan chosen to be the new flight paths here without residents or City of Vaughan Mayor and Members of council approval/permission for large planes flying over our airspace in Vaughan?
- Were the plans always to make runway 05/23 and 24L/26R dedicated arrival and departure flight paths and major reason for the rehabilitation of the runways? (to ensure that the runway could handle the increase of larger planes.)
- We never had planes flying over Woodbridge or Maple. Now we have International and Domestic planes flying through, 24hrs a day, new dangerous procedures (RNP, CDO), contrail planes as well as helicopters and private planes without residents permission, city of Vaughan's permission.

We DID NOT COMPLY We DO NOT COMPLY

We WILL NOT COMPLY

You DO NOT have the Authority !

Stay away from our Community !

From: Rose Savage < Sent: May 18, 2024 7:53 AM To: Francesco Sorbara < francesco.sorbara@parl.gc.ca>; todd.coles@vaughan.ca < todd.coles@vaughan.ca>; clerks@vaughan.ca < clerks@vaughan.ca>; Cynthia Woods <<u>Cynthia.Woods@gtaa.com</u>>; Robyn Connelly <<u>Robyn.Connelly@gtaa.com</u>> Cc: IRENE FORD ; angela • Subject: DO NOT FLY Over our Community !

We received confirmation from the City's Integrity Commissioner that the City of Vaughan Mayor and Members of council did not approve airplanes flying over our airspace in our Community in Vaughan.

Why is GTAA still illegally trespassing continuously without permission?

Stop flying over our airspace illegally !

Stop flying Boeing airplanes over our community !

Why did the Federal Government refuse to approve a health study; formal requests from GTAA were provided and Federal Government ignored four times !

Stop killing us !

Francesco as GTAA Chair and MP; why have you not been successful in obtaining a health study ?

What actions have you taken ?

How many deaths have there been with this "Willie Nil lie" illegal flying path in Vaughan?

How many trees and human life have been affected by this "Wille Nil lie" "Wild Wild West flying" in Vaughan?

Is GTAA monitoring the damages caused?

Who compensates and has Corporate Insurance to address all the damages caused?

There is No Governance process in place to support a "Willie Nil lie" "Wild Wild West" untraceable "test runs" approach; why continue in this manner without it?

Your actions speak louder than "superficial photo ops"

We DID NOT COMPLY We DO NOT COMPLY We WILL NOT COMPLY

You DO NOT have the Authority !

Stay away from our Community !






United Airlines	© DJ Reed - OPS	
YYZ TORONTO SAN FRA	BAROMETRIC A 7,257 ft GROUND SPEED	
Dep. 0:03 ago	277 kts	
Boeing 737-824	REG. N78501	

From:	Clerks@vaughan.ca
To:	Adelina Bellisario
Subject:	FW: [External] Re: Why is GTAA sending Boeing plans in my community non stop??
Date:	May-21-24 8:31:10 AM
Attachments:	IMG_0120.PNG

From: angela

Sent: Friday, May 17, 2024 7:39 PM

 To: Rose Savage
 ; Francesco Sorbara <francesco.sorbara@parl.gc.ca>; Cynthia Woods <Cynthia.Woods@gtaa.com>; Robyn

 Connelly <Robyn.Connelly@gtaa.com>; Todd Coles <Todd.Coles@vaughan.ca>; Clerks@vaughan.ca

 Cc: IRENE FORD

 Subject: [External] Re: Why is GTAA sending Boeing plans in my community non stop??

CAUTION! This is an external email. Verify the sender's email address and carefully examine any links or attachments before clicking. If you believe this

may be a phishing email, please use the Phish Alert Button.

Large arrival and departure planes are also coming through my community non stop at very low altitudes. GTTA is sending all of the Boeing plans through our community without any regards, respect, for the residents. Again, they need to stop this as they are dangerous and illegal. GTTA need to act responsible and respond to our concerns. Planes are flying at low altitude thru our neighbourhood every 2 to 5 minutes.

From: Rose Savage <r Sent: May 17, 2024 7:07 PM To: Francesco Sorbara <<u>francesco.sorbara@parl.gc.ca</u>>; Cynthia Woods <<u>Cynthia.Woods@gtaa.com</u>>; Robyn Connelly <<u>Robyn.Connelly@gtaa.com</u>>; todd.coles@vaughan.ca <todd.coles@vaughan.ca>; clerks@vaughan.ca> Cc: IRENE FORD Subject: Why is GTAA sending Boeing plans in my community non stop??low



Transmitto (401) Transmitto (201) CSN312 CZ312 B77W China Southern Airlines	© Dimbardier
YYZ TORONTOCAN CUANGZDep. 0:02 agoArr. in 15:09	BAROMETRIC A 4,892 ft GROUND SPEED 262 kts
Boeing 777-31B(ER)	REG. B-2029
3D view Route More info	Nビ スK Follow Share

From:	Clerks@vaughan.ca
To:	Adelina Bellisario
Subject:	FW: [External] Re: Low Altitude. Loud. Boeing - Congress Centre Noise - City of Vaughan Aloud this ?
Date:	May-21-24 8:30:37 AM
Attachments:	jmage.png

From: Irene Zeppieri Sent: Friday, May 17, 2024 7:10 PM To: Rose Savage Cc: Clerks@vaughan.ca; Todd Coles <Todd.Coles@vaughan.ca>; angela

Subject: [External] Re: Low Altitude. Loud. Boeing - Congress Centre Noise - City of Vaughan Aloud this ?

CAUTION! This is an external email. Verify the sender's email address and carefully examine any links or attachments before clicking. If you believe this may be a phishing email, please use the Phish Alert Button.

I've really had quite enough.

This plane should not be where it is turning. The only reason that it is because a very long time ago a 10 degree variance was approved for safety under completely different operation conditions, fleet and volume of planes.

You can literally see that the NEF & zoning bylaw do not coincide with the departure path of these plans.





> On May 17, 2024, at 4:58 PM, Rose Savage <<u>rose_savage@rogers.com</u>> wrote: >

- >
- >

> <IMG_0088.jpg>



C47 Communication Council – May 22, 2024 CW(2) – Report No. 20 Item No. 4

	Municipal Services By-Law
RE:	COMMUNICATION – Council – May 22, 2024 Item #4, Report #20
FROM:	Vince Musacchio, Deputy City Manager, Infrastructure Development
то:	Mayor and Members of Council
DATE:	May 22, 2024

Recommendation

The Deputy City Manager, Infrastructure Development recommends:

 THAT Part V – Exemptions 15. Buildings and Structures Incidental to Construction of the Committee of the Whole (2) Report dated May 14, 2024 as show in Attachment 1 of this report be deleted and replaced with the following:

Part V – Exemptions 15. Buildings and Structures Incidental to Construction -Nothing in this by-law shall prevent the erection of buildings or structures for uses incidental to construction, such as shoring, excavation, construction camp or other such temporary work camp, tool shed, farming and storage structures, scaffold or other building or structure incidental to the construction only for so long as the same are necessary for work in progress which has neither been finished nor abandoned.

- THAT Part IV Compliance section 13 (i). of the Committee of the Whole (2) Report dated May 14, 2024 as show in Attachment 1 of this report be deleted and replaced with the following:
 - the City's Director of Development Engineering has confirmed that adequate Municipal Services are available in accordance with Clauses 8(a) to (g) both inclusive, or Clauses 9(a) to (f) or Clauses 10(a) to (e) both inclusive, as the case may be; and
- THAT Part IV Compliance section 14 (b). of the Committee of the Whole (2) Report dated May 14, 2024 as show in Attachment 1 of this report be deleted and replaced with the following:

(b) the said Council has exempted the development or the class of development from the requirement for allocation of capacity; and the City's Director of Development Engineering has confirmed that Municipal Services are available in accordance with Clauses 8(a) to (g) both inclusive, or Clauses 9(a) to (f) or Clauses 10(a) to (e) both inclusive, as the case may be, or the City's Chief Building Official has confirmed that Clause 11 have been complied with.

Background

Changes are being made to attachment one of the report being the Bylaw itself to clarify additional exemptions to be added to Part V – Exemptions section 15. Buildings and Structures Incidental to Construction and to correct administrative errors in Part IV – Compliance section 13 (i) and 14 (b).

For more information, contact Frank Suppa, Director, Development Engineering, Ext. 8255.

Respectfully submitted by

Vince Musacchio, Deputy City Manager Infrastructure Development

THE CITY OF VAUGHAN

BY-LAW NUMBER XXX-2024

A By-law to prohibit the use of land or the erection or use of buildings or structures unless Municipal Services are available.

WHEREAS it is considered desirable for the control of development within the City of Vaughan to prohibit the use of land or the erection or use of buildings or structures unless such Municipal Services as may be set out in this By-law are available to service the land, buildings or structures, as the case may be, in accordance with the provisions of subsection 34(5) of the *Planning Act*, R.S.O. 1990, c. P. 13, as amended;

NOW THEREFORE, the Council of The Corporation of the City of Vaughan ENACTS AS

FOLLOWS:

Part I - Definitions

1. For the purpose of this by law, the following definitions and interpretations shall govern:

"Access" means ingress and egress of vehicles from a public road or private road, including fire trucks, to and from the lands within the Subdivision.

"Building" means a fully or partially enclosed structure, whether temporary or permanent, used or erected for shelter, or for the accommodation or enclosure of persons, animals, materials or equipment, but does not include a house trailer or mobile home.

"City" means The Corporation of the City of Vaughan.

"Lane" means a narrow public right-of-way providing access to lots or blocks abutting thereon and owned by a public authority.

"Model Home" means a building erected as a model of the dwelling units to be constructed in the plan of subdivision, plan of condominium, or site plan, as applicable, and is not used for human habitation.

"Municipal Services" means any municipal services, infrastructure or facilities, and appurtenances thereto, required to service or support a Building, including but not limited to water, sanitary sewer, storm sewer, stormwater management, roads, all of which municipal services are located within municipal property, the municipal right-of -way or the municipal boulevard."

"Operational" means infrastructure placed in a condition of readiness and active service where a facility can be utilized for its designed and intended purpose without physical or safety limitations, to the satisfaction of the City (i.e. fit for use).

"Person" means an individual, corporation, partnership, company, association or party and their officers, directors, heirs, executors, administrators, or other legal representatives of such Person, to whom the context can apply according to the law.

"Public Highway" means a public right-of-way providing access to lots or blocks abutting thereon and owned by a public authority.

"Road" means a Public Highway or Lane.

"Residential Unit" means a unit that:

- a) consists of a self-contained set of rooms located in a building or structure;
- b) is used or has the capability of being used as a residential premises;
- c) contains kitchen and bathroom facilities designated for the exclusive use of its occupants; and
- d) has a means of egress to the outside of the building, which may be a means of egress through another residential unit.

"Subdivision" means a plan of subdivision, draft approved or registered in accordance with section 51 of the *Planning Act,* R.S.O. 1990, c. P.13, or similar legislation, where the Road and Municipal Services within the plan have not been assumed by the authority having jurisdiction.

"Structure" means anything constructed or erected and is fixed to or supported by the ground or attached to another structure that is fixed to or supported by the ground but shall not include an in-ground swimming pool.

"Temporary Sales Office" means a building or structure used for the sole purpose of selling or leasing land or buildings associated with a draft plan of subdivision, or draft plan of condominium or an approved site plan.

Part II - Administration

- 2. **Title -** This By-law, including any amendments from time to time, shall be known as the "Municipal Servicing By-Law".
- 3. **Boundary -** This By-law shall apply to all of the lands located within the geographic boundary of the City.
- 4. **Application -** Nothing in this By-law shall serve to relieve any person from any obligation to comply with the requirements of any other By-law of the City of Vaughan or any other applicable regulation of the Regional Municipality of York, Province of Ontario, or Government of Canada authorities that may otherwise affect the use of any land, building or structure.
- 5. **Administration** This By-law shall be administered by the Director of Development Engineering and they shall ensure this By-law is interpreted and applied in accordance with all applicable City policies, including such policies relating to the allocation of municipal services.
- 6. **Severability** If any provision or part of a provision of this By-law is found to be invalid or unenforceable for any reason, then that particular provision or part thereof shall be deemed to be severed from the remainder of By-law. All other provisions or parts of the By-law shall remain in effect and enforceable to the fullest extent permitted by law.
- 7. **Headings -** Headings and titles in the body of this By-law are included for convenience and reference purposes only and are not part of this By-law.

Part III – General Provisions

- 8. Lands Within a Subdivision Where External Infrastructure Is Available -For the purposes of this by-law, Municipal Services are deemed to be available to a Building within a Subdivision when the Municipal Services required to service the Building satisfy the following requirements:
 - (a) the Roads in the Subdivision have been constructed to base course asphalt;
 - (b) the watermains, sanitary sewers, and associated facilities, storm sewers and stormwater management facilities necessary to service the Building have been constructed and are Operational;

- (c) the watermain and any required water service connections have been disinfected in accordance with the latest Ontario Provincial Standard Specification and the latest American Water Works Association Standards' disinfection standards, and the water meets Provincial quality standards and such other standards as are adopted by the City;
- (d) the watermain and any required water service connections have been hydrostatically tested in accordance with the latest Ontario Provincial Standard Specification and the latest American Water Works Association Standards or such other standards adopted by the City;
- (e) a water flow test has been conducted in accordance with National Fire Protection Association (NFPA) Standard 291 and at all times the following flow standards in the system or other standards adopted by the City for firefighting purposes including fire flows calculated according to the latest published requirements of the Water Supply for Public Fire Protection, Fire Underwriters Survey are met:

Land Use	Fire Flow	Minimum Pressure
Single Family and Semi-Detached	7,000 L/min or 117 L/s	140 kPa (20 psi)
Townhouses	9,000 L/min or 150 L/s	140 kPa (20 psi)
Institutional	15,000 L/min or 250 L/s	140 kPa (20 psi)
Industrial/Commercial	25,000 L/min or 417 L/s	140 kPa (20 psi)
Multi-Unit Apartment Buildings	19,000 L/min or 317 L/s	140 kPa (20 psi)

- (f) two separate Accesses into the Subdivision have been provided and kept open for the purposes of emergency services ingress and egress, to the satisfaction of the City; and
- (g) notwithstanding clause (f) above, a single Access into the Subdivision, may be permitted on a case-by-case basis, provided the access route meets the requirements of the Ontario Building Code and is to the satisfaction of the City.
- 9. Lands Within a Subdivision Where External Infrastructure Is Not Available - In addition to the requirements outlined in Section 7 of this bylaw, where Municipal Services external to the Subdivision have not been constructed, for the purposes of this by-law, Municipal Services are deemed to be available to a Building within a Subdivision when confirmation of the following has been provided to the Director of Development Engineering:

(a) all property and/or easements required for the Municipal Services have

been dedicated to the City or other public authority having jurisdiction free and clear of all encumbrances and at no cost to the City, if applicable;

- (b) all property required for external roads have been conveyed to the City or alternative arrangements have been made to the satisfaction of the Director of Development Engineering and the City Solicitor;
- (c) the contract for the construction of the Municipal Services and/or upgrades of the existing Municipal Services has been awarded;
- (d) a construction schedule, confirming completion and operation of the external Municipal Services prior to occupancy, has been provided to the satisfaction of the City's Director of Development Engineering;
- (e) the City or other public authority having jurisdiction have received adequate security and required fees as applicable for the construction of the external Municipal Services, if it is intended to be constructed by a private party; and
- (f) the City or other authorities having jurisdiction have issued approvals for the construction of the Municipal Services.
- 10. Lands Not Within a Subdivision For the purposes of this by-law, Municipal Services are deemed to be available to a Building that is not within a Subdivision when Municipal Services required to service the Building satisfy the following requirements:
 - (a) where the Building does not front directly on an assumed Public Highway, an access route for fire department use, in accordance with the provisions of Ontario's Building Code or any successor legislation or regulation, has been provided;
 - (b) where any watermain, sanitary sewers and associated facilities, storm sewers and stormwater management facilities external to the site have not been constructed, confirmation that the following has been provided to the Director of Development Engineering.
 - i. confirmation that all property required for the Municipal Services has been dedicated to the City or other authorities having jurisdiction free and clear of all encumbrances and at no cost to the City, or alternative arrangements have been made to the satisfaction of the Director of Development Engineering and the City Solicitor, if applicable;
 - ii. evidence that the contract for the construction of the service has been awarded;

- iii. a construction schedule, confirming completion and operation of the external Municipal Services prior to occupancy, has been provided to the satisfaction of the City's Director of Development Engineering;
- iv. the City or other government having jurisdiction has received adequate security and engineering fees as appropriate for the construction of the external service, if it is intended to be constructed by a private party; and
- v. the City or other authorities having jurisdiction have issued approvals for the construction of the services.
- (c) the watermain and any required water service connections size have been disinfected in accordance with the latest Ontario Provincial Standard Specification and the latest American Water Works Association Standards disinfection standards, and the water meets Provincial quality standards and such other standards as are adopted by the City;
- (d) the watermain and any required water service connections have been hydrostatically tested in accordance with the latest Ontario Provincial Standard Specification and the latest American Water Works Association Standards or such other standards adopted by the City;
- (e) where a new watermain extension is required to provide water service, a water flow test has been conducted on the watermain in accordance with NFPA Standard 291 and at all times the following flow standards in the system or other standards adopted by the City for firefighting purposes including fire flows calculated according to the latest published requirements of the Water Supply for Public Fire Protection, Fire Underwriters Survey are met:

Land Use	Fire Flow	Minimum
		Pressure
Single Family and Semi-Detached	7,000 L/min or 117 L/s	140 kPa (20 psi)
Townhouses	9,000 L/min or 150 L/s	140 kPa (20 psi)
Institutional	15,000 L/min or 250 L/s	140 kPa (20 psi)
Industrial/Commercial	25,000 L/min or 417 L/s	140 kPa (20 psi)
Multi-Unit Apartment Buildings	19,000 L/min or 317 L/s	140 kPa (20 psi)

11. **Additional Consideration:** Where municipal sanitary sewers or watermains are not available to the Building, a permit for a private water supply system and private sewage disposal system will be made available at the discretion of and subject to such conditions as may be deemed

appropriate by the Deputy City Manager, Infrastructure Development or their designate(s);

Part IV – Compliance

- 12. No Person shall use any land or erect or use any building or structure except in accordance with the provisions of this By-law.
- 13. Notwithstanding the provisions of any other by-law hereinbefore or hereafter enacted pursuant to Section 34 of the *Planning Act*, or any predecessor thereof, by the Council of the City, or any predecessor thereof, no land shall be used and no Building or Structure shall be erected or used unless all necessary planning application approvals have been obtained, if applicable, and:
 - i. the City's Director of Development Engineering has confirmed that adequate Municipal Services are available in accordance with Clauses 8(a) to (g) both inclusive, or Clauses 9(a) to (f) or Clauses 10(a) to (e) both inclusive, as the case may be;

OR

ii. the City's Chief Building Official has confirmed that Clause 10 has been complied with,

OR

- iii. a conditional building permit has been issued by the City's Chief Building Official with endorsement from the City's Director of Development Engineering.
- 14. Notwithstanding the provisions of any other by-law hereinbefore or hereafter enacted pursuant to s. 34 of the *Planning Act*, or any predecessor thereof, by the Council of the City, or any predecessor thereof, no land shall be used and no Building or Structure shall be erected or used which will result in the creation of any new or additional Residential Units unless:
 - (a) water and sanitary sewer capacity is available and the Council of the City has allocated water and sanitary sewer capacity to service the said lands and all Residential Units;

OR

(b) the said Council has exempted the development or the class of development from the requirement for allocation of capacity; and the City's Director of Development Engineering has confirmed that Municipal Services are available in accordance with Clauses 8(a) to (g) both inclusive, or Clauses 9(a) to (f) or Clauses 10(a) to (e) both inclusive, as the case may be, or the City's Chief Building Official has confirmed that Clause 11 have been complied with.

Part V – Exemptions

- 15. **Buildings and Structures Incidental to Construction -** Nothing in this by-law shall prevent the erection of buildings or structures for uses incidental to construction, such as shoring, excavation, construction camp or other such temporary work camp, tool shed, farming and storage structures, scaffold or other building or structure incidental to the construction only for so long as the same are necessary for work in progress which has neither been finished nor abandoned.
- 16. **Model Homes and Sales Offices -** Nothing in this by-law shall prevent the erection of model homes and sales offices, subject to such terms and conditions as established by the City of Vaughan and provided that temporary services and an access route for fire department use in accordance with Ontario's Building Code Act, 1992, S.O. 1992, c 23, has been provided.

Part VI – Enforcement and Penalties

- 17. **Officers -** This By-law shall be enforced by such employees of the City of Vaughan designated by the Director of Development Engineering as officers for purposes of this By-law.
- 18. **Penalties** Every Person that contravenes any provision of this By-law is guilty of an offence and upon conviction is liable to the fine(s) as provided in the *Planning Act* R.S.O. 1990, c. P. 13, as amended and, if the Person is a corporation, every director or officer of the corporation who knowingly concurs in the contravention is guilty of an offence and upon conviction is liable to the fine(s) as provided in the *Planning Act* R.S.O. 1990, c. P. 13, as amended and, if the person is a corporation, every director or officer of the corporation who knowingly concurs in the contravention is guilty of an offence and upon conviction is liable to the fine(s) as provided in the *Planning Act* R.S.O. 1990, c. P. 13, as amended.
- 19. **Other Remedies -** In addition to any other remedy or any penalty provided by this By-law, the applicable court may make an order enjoining or prohibiting the contravention of this By-law.

Enacted by City of Vaughan Council this XXth day of (Month), 2024.

Steven Del Duca, Mayor

Todd Coles, City Clerk

Authorized by Item No. ____ of Report No. ____ of the Committee _____ Adopted by Vaughan City Council on



May 8 2024

DATE:

C49 Communication Council – May 22, 2024 By-Law 085-2024

RE:	COMMUNICATION - Council Meeting May 22, 2024 FORTIFICATION OF LAND BY-LAW 085-2024 (as it relates to Item No. 10, Report No. 52, CW(2), December 5, 2023)
FROM:	Gus Michaels, Deputy City Manager, Community Services
то:	Mayor and Members of Council
271121	May 0, 2021

Recommendation

That the additional amendments to the new Fortification of Land By-law 085-2024, as outlined below, be approved by Council, in order to provide further precision and clarification to exceptions from the by-law requirements.

Background

Item No. 10, Report No. 52 was approved by the Committee of the Whole(2) on December 5, 2023. The item recommended consolidation of the current Fortification of Land By-law 328-2003 in accordance with the approved consolidation format and the incorporation of several other amendments to the by-law, including: amendments to outdated definitions, repeal of applications for exemptions respecting excessive fortification, repeal of provisions with relation to video surveillance, and the designation of the By-law under the City's Administrative Monetary Penalties By-law. Further review of By-law, in consultation with York Regional Police, Vaughan Fire and Rescue Services and City's Building Standards Department, brought out additional recommended amendments, as follows:

- to make minor changes to the definition of "Excessive Fortification and Excessively Fortify", as agreed with VFRS and YRP:
 - deleting the reference to "laminated glass" when prohibiting application of break-resistant materials for windows or doors, as different types of laminated or polycarbonate materials are often recommended by police services as an additional home security measure;
 - in addition, specifying that any pillars, cones, or barriers constructed out of any solid building material are prohibited only when such barriers are blocking access to vehicles used by emergency personnel in case of emergencies;
- to clarify that any exceptions from the By-law for any commercial, business, institutional or industrial establishments are to be determined by the City. An exemption process exists under the current Fortification of Land By-law 328-

2003. Such exemptions are determined by the Building Standards Department and apply to any property. In the staff report, this exemption process was eliminated following consultations with staff that determined that this process is almost never used. Commercial, business, institutional and industrial establishments are exempt from this By-law's requirements if such use was lawfully permitted. In consultation with Building Standards and YRP, staff are recommending leaving this exemption for such establishments (such as jewelry stores, hunting gear shops, etc.), while making it up to the City's discretion to permit or prohibit such type of fortification. The decision, in such instances, would be made by BCLPS and Building Standards jointly;

- to add an exception for electrified fencing used by agricultural operations for the purpose of controlling movement of livestock, as it is a normal farm practice to allow this type of fencing for farms on agricultural lands;
- to add an exception for fences and gates that are used on residential properties and comply with the City's Fence By-law provisions.

For more information, contact Susan Kelly, Director and Chief Licensing Officer, Bylaw & Compliance, Licensing & Permit Services, ext. 8952.

Respectfully submitted by,

Article

Gus Michaels, CMM III, MLE Executive, Property Stds. Prof. GDPA Deputy City Manager, Community Services