General Comments

Report Date: May 10, 2024

Region of York

THIS REPORT CONTAINS COMMENTS FROM THE FOLLOWING DEPARTMENTS & AGENCIES (SEE SCHEDULE B):

Additional comments from departments and agencies received after the publication of the report will be made available on the City's <u>website</u>.

Internal Departments *Comments Received	Condition	s Required	Nature of Comments
Committee of Adjustment	Yes 🖂	No 🗆	General Comments w/Conditions
Building Standards (Zoning)	Yes 🗆	No 🖂	General Comments
Development Planning	Yes 🗆	No 🖂	Recommend Approval/No Conditions
Development Engineering	Yes 🗆	No 🖂	Recommend Approval/No Conditions
Development Finance	Yes 🗆	No 🖂	General Comments
External Agencies	Condition	s Required	Nature of Comments
*Comments Received		-	*See Schedule B for full comments
Alectra	Yes 🗆	No 🖂	General Comments

PUBLIC & APPLICANT CORRESPONDENCE (SEE SCHEDULE C)

No 🖂

Yes 🗆

All personal information collected because of this public meeting (including both written and oral submissions) is collected under the authority of the Municipal Act, the Municipal Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act (MFIPPA), the Planning Act and all other relevant legislation, and will be used to assist in deciding on this matter. All personal information (as defined by MFIPPA), including (but not limited to) names, addresses, opinions and comments collected will become property of the City of Vaughan, will be made available for public disclosure (including being posted on the internet) and will be used to assist the Committee of Adjustment and staff to process this application.

Correspondence Type	Name	Address	Date Received (mm/dd/yyyy)	Summary
Applicant			10/02/2022	Application Cover Letter
Public	Sari Liem	21 Shale Crescent	11/16/2022	Letter of Support
Public	Rajit Seahra	29 Shale Crescent	08/11/2022	Letter of Support
Applicant			06/20/2023	Planning Justification Report
Public	Nancy Zhu	86 Valley Vista Drive	06/20/2023	Letter of Objection
Applicant			06/22/2023	Presentation to Committee – June 22, 2023 Hearing

BACKGROUND (SCHEDULE D, IF REQUIRED) * Background Information contains historical development approvals considered to be related to this file.	
This information should not be considered comprehensive.	
Application No. (City File)	Application Description (i.e. Minor Variance Application; Approved by COA / OLT)
N/A	N/A

ADJOURNMENT HISTORY		
* Previous hearing dates where this application was adjourned by the Committee and public notice issued.		
Hearing Date	Reason for Adjournment (to be obtained from NOD_ADJ)	
June 22, 2023	Minor Variance Application A283/22 was adjourned by the Committee of Adjustment to sine die to permit time for the applicant to address Development Planning comments.	

SCHEDULES	
Schedule A	Drawings & Plans Submitted with the Application
Schedule B	Comments from Agencies, Building Standards & Development Planning
Schedule C (if required)	Public & Applicant Correspondence
Schedule D (if required)	Background



MINOR VARIANCE APPLICATION FILE NUMBER A283/22

CITY WARD #:	4
APPLICANT:	Melita Sager & Eyal Sager
AGENT:	Millennium Landscape Design & Construction
PROPERTY:	25 Shale Crescent, Maple
ZONING DESIGNATION:	See below.
VAUGHAN OFFICIAL PLAN (2010) DESIGNATION:	Vaughan Official Plan 2010 ('VOP 2010'): "Low-Rise Residential"
RELATED DEVELOPMENT APPLICATIONS:	None
PURPOSE OF APPLICATION:	Relief from the Zoning By-law is being requested to permit an existing cabana and deck.

The following variances are being requested from the City's Zoning By-law to accommodate the above proposal:

The subject lands are zoned R3 – Residential Zone Three and subject to the provisions of Exception 14.874 under Zoning By-law 001-2021, as amended.

#	Zoning By-law 001-2021	Variance requested
1	A residential accessory structurewith a height greater than	To permit residential accessory
	2.8 metres shall not be located closer than 2.4 metres to any	structure with a height greater
	lot line.	than 2.8 metres to be located 0.6
	Section 4.1.2.1.b.	metres to the rear lot line.
2	A residential accessory structure with a height greater than	To permit a residential accessory
	2.8 metres shall not be located closer than 2.4 metres to any	structure with a height greater
	lot line.	than 2.8 metres to be located 0.45
	Section 4.1.2.1. b.	metres to the interior side lot line.
3	A maximum encroachment of 2.4 metres is permitted into the	To permit a maximum
	minimum required rear yard for an uncovered platform,	encroachment of 3.87 metres into
	including access stairs, with a height less than 1.2 metres.	the required rear yard for an
	Table 4-1	uncovered platform and access
		stairs.
4	Unless otherwise permitted by this By-law, a minimum	To permit the eaves of the
	distance of 0.6 metres shall be required from any permitted	accessory building to be located a
	encroachment to the nearest lot line.	minimum distance of 0.3 metres to
	Section 4.13.3	the nearest lot line.

HEARING INFORMATION

DATE OF MEETING: Thursday, May 16, 2024

TIME: 6:00 p.m.

MEETING LOCATION: Vaughan City Hall, Woodbridge Room (2nd Floor), 2141 Major Mackenzie Drive LIVE STREAM LINK: <u>Vaughan.ca/LiveCouncil</u>

PUBLIC PARTICIPATION

If you would like to speak to the Committee of Adjustment at the meeting, either remotely or in person, please complete the **Request to Speak Form** and submit to **cofa@vaughan.ca**

If you would like to submit written comments, please quote file number above and submit by mail or email to:

Email: <u>cofa@vaughan.ca</u>

Mail: City of Vaughan, Office of the City Clerk, Committee of Adjustment, 2141 Major Mackenzie Drive, Vaughan, ON, L6A 1T1

HEARING INFORMATION

To speak electronically, pre-registration is required by completing the Request to Speak Form on-line and submitting it to cofa@vaughan.ca no later than NOON on the last business day before the meeting.

THE DEADLINE TO REGISTER TO SPEAK ELECTRONICALLY OR SUBMIT WRITTEN COMMENTS ON THE ABOVE NOTED FILE(S) IS <u>NOON</u> ON THE LAST BUSINESS DAY BEFORE THE MEETING.

INTRODUCTION

Staff and Agencies act as advisory bodies to the Committee of Adjustment. The comments contained in this report are presented as recommendations to the Committee.

Section 45(1) of the Planning Act sets the criteria for authorizing minor variances to the City of Vaughan's Zoning By-law. Accordingly, review of the application may consider the following:

That the general intent and purpose of the by-law will be maintained.

That the general intent and purpose of the official plan will be maintained.

That the requested variance(s) is/are acceptable for the appropriate development of the subject lands. That the requested variance(s) is/are minor in nature.

Public written and oral submissions relating to this application are taken into consideration by the Committee of Adjustment as part of its deliberations and final decision on this matter.

COMMITTEE OF ADJUSTMENT		
Date Public Notice Mailed:	May 2, 2024	
Date Applicant Confirmed Posting of Sign:		
Applicant Justification for Variances: *As provided in Application Form		
Was a Zoning Review Waiver (ZRW) Form submitted by Applicant: *ZRW Form may be used by applicant in instances where a revised submission is made, and zoning staff do not have an opportunity to review and confirm variances prior to the	Yes □ No □	
issuance of public notice.		
COMMENTS:	adad partial approval at the June 22, 2022, hearing. The	
application was adjourned to address staff co	nded partial approval at the June 22, 2023, hearing. The pomments.	
Committee of Adjustment Recommended Conditions of Approval:	Applicant to submit Adjournment Fee to reschedule the application from the June 22, 2023, hearing.	
	STANDARDS (ZONING)	
**See Schedule B for Building Standards (Zo	oning) Comments	
Building Standards Recommended Conditions of Approval:	None	
DEVEL	OPMENT PLANNING	
**See Schedule B for Development Planning		
Development Planning Recommended Conditions of Approval:	None	
DEVELOPMENT ENGINEERING		
Link to Grading Permit Link to Pool Pe	rmit Link to Curb Curt Permit Link Culvert Installation	
The Owner/Applicant has received a letter from The Neighbour at 21 Shale Crescent, in favor of the existing cabana. The Neighbor also confirmed that they have not experienced any unusual flooding caused by the cabana's construction. Development Engineering no longer has any concerns with the 0.45m setback based off the support letter's comments. The Owner/Applicant has received a Grading Permit from the Development Engineering (DE) Department for the proposed cabana in September of 2022. The Development Engineering Department does not object to the Minor Variance application A283/22.		
Development Engineering Recommended Conditions of	None	

Approval:

PARKS, FORESTRY & HORTICULTURE (PFH)		
No comments received to date.		
PFH Recommended Conditions of Approval:	None	
DEVEL		
No comment no concerns.		
Development Finance Recommended Conditions of Approval:	None	
	E, LICE	NSING AND PERMIT SERVICES
No comments received to date.		
BCLPS Recommended Conditions of Approval:	None	
BUILDING	INSPEC	CTION (SEPTIC)
No comments received to date.		
Building Inspection Recommended Conditions of Approval:	None	
FIR		RTMENT
No comments received to date.		
Fire Department Recommended	None	
Conditions of Approval:		
RECOMMENDED CON	DITIONS	S OF APPROVAL SUMMARY
		this application in accordance with request and I by Ontario Regulation 200/96, the following
# DEPARTMENT / AGENCY		CONDITION
1 Committee of Adjustment <u>cofa@vaughan.ca</u>		Applicant to submit Adjournment Fee to reschedule the application from the June 22, 2023, hearing.
All conditions of approval, unless otherwise stated, are considered to be incorporated into the approval " if required ". If a condition is no longer required after an approval is final and binding, the condition may be waived by the respective department or agency requesting conditional approval. A condition cannot be waived without written consent from the respective department or agency.		
IMPOPT		
IMPORTANT INFORMATION CONDITIONS: It is the responsibility of the owner/applicant and/or authorized agent to obtain and provide a clearance letter from respective department and/or agency (see condition chart above for contact). This letter must be provided to the Secretary-Treasurer to be finalized. All conditions must be cleared prior to the issuance of a Building Permit. APPROVALS: Making any changes to your proposal after a decision has been made may impact the validity of the Committee's decision.		
An approval obtained from the Committee o envelope shown on the plans and drawings approval.		ent, where applicable, is tied to the building with the application and subject to the variance
A building envelope is defined by the setbacks of the buildings and/or structures shown on the plans and drawings submitted with the application, as required by Ontario Regulation 200/96. Future development outside of an approved building envelope, where a minor variance was obtained, must comply with the provisions of the City's Zoning By-law.		
Elevation drawings are provided to reflect th a building height variance has been applied applied to the style of roof (as defined in the submitted with the application.	. Where a	height variance is approved, building height is
Architectural design features that are not required part of an approval unless specified in the C		y the City's Zoning By-law are not to be considered 's decision.

IMPORTANT INFORMATION

DEVELOPMENT CHARGES: That the payment of the Regional Development Charge, if required, is payable to the City of Vaughan before issuance of a building permit in accordance with the Development Charges Act and the Regional Development Charges By-law in effect at the time of payment.

That the payment of the City Development Charge, if required, is payable to the City of Vaughan before issuance of a building permit in accordance with the Development Charges Act and the City's Development Charges By-law in effect at the time of payment.

That the payment of the Education Development Charge if required, is payable to the City of Vaughan before issuance of a building permit in accordance with the Development Charges Act and the Boards of Education By-laws in effect at the time of payment

That the payment of Special Area Development charge, if required, is payable to the City of Vaughan before issuance of a building permit in accordance with the Development Charges Act and The City's Development Charge By-law in effect at the time of Building permit issuance to the satisfaction of the Reserves/Capital Department.

NOTICE OF DECISION: If you wish to be notified of the decision in respect to this application or a related Ontario Land Tribunal (OLT) hearing you must complete a Request for Decision form and submit to the Secretary Treasurer (ask staff for details). In the absence of a written request to be notified of the Committee's decision you will **not** receive notice.

SCHEDULE A: DRAWINGS & PLANS



25 Shale Crescent, Maple





25 SHALE CRES.



RECEIVED

By Christine Vigneault at 7:34 am, May 16, 2023



A4 3/8"=1'-0"

DO NOT SCALE CONTRACTOR MUST VERIFY ALL INFORMATION PRIOR TO COMMENCEMENT OF THE WORK. ALL DRAWINGS & SPECIFICATIONS ARE PROPERTY OF JNICIPAL SEAL: ORANA INC. AND MUST BE RETURNED UPON COMPLETION OF THE WORK. DRAWINGS ARE NOT TO BE USED FOR OTHER PROJECTS WITHOUT THE WRITTEN CONSENT OF THE DESIGNER. NSULTANTS SEAL: PROFESSION LICENSED ENGINEER -Harmelor in H.SINGH 100156**1**44 2022/08/10 PROLINCE OF ONTARIO ____ A REVIEW YS 27.04.2022 BY: DATE: REV: DESCRIPTION: STATUS: REVIEW RANA Ο DRAFTING A DIVISION OF ORANA INC. Kristian Seeman 25 Shale Crescent, Vaughan, ON, Canada TITLE: ELEVATION 1 CHECKED: DS DRAWN: YS DATE: 27.04.2022 3/8"=1'-0" /ISION OJECT N 22-36 A4 А



DO NOT SCALE CONTRACTOR MUST VERIFY ALL INFORMATION PRIOR TO COMMENCEMENT OF THE WORK. ALL DRAWINGS & SPECIFICATIONS ARE PROPERTY OF UNICIPAL SEAL: ORANA INC. AND MUST BE RETURNED UPON COMPLETION OF THE WORK. DRAWINGS ARE NOT TO BE USED FOR OTHER PROJECTS WITHOUT THE WRITTEN CONSENT OF THE DESIGNER. NSULTANTS SEAL: PROFESSIONA LICENSED ENGINEER -Harmder in H.SINGH 100156**1**44 2022/08/10 PROLINCE OF ONTARIO ____ YS 27.04.2022 A REVIEW BY: DATE: REV: DESCRIPTION: STATUS: REVIEW RANA Ο DRAFTING A DIVISION OF ORANA INC. Kristian Seeman 25 Shale Crescent, Vaughan, ON, Canada TITLE: ELEVATION 2 CHECKED: DS DRAWN: YS DATE: 27.04.2022 3/8"=1'-0" ROJECT NO VISION A5 22-36 А



A6 3/8"=1'-0"

DO NOT SCALE CONTRACTOR MUST VERIFY ALL INFORMATION PRIOR TO COMMENCEMENT OF THE WORK. ALL DRAWINGS & SPECIFICATIONS ARE PROPERTY OF ORANA INC. AND MUST BE RETURNED UPON COMPLETION OF THE WORK. DRAWINGS ARE NOT TO BE USED FOR OTHER PROJECTS WITHOUT THE WRITTEN CONSENT OF THE DESIGNER. JNICIPAL SEAL: NSULTANTS SEAL: PROFESSIONA LICENSED ENGINEER -Harmelor in H.SINGH 100156**1**44 2022/08/10 PROLINCE OF ONTARIO YS 27.04.2022 A REVIEW REV: DESCRIPTION: BY: DATE: STATUS: REVIEW RANA Ο DRAFTING A DIVISION OF ORANA INC. Kristian Seeman 25 Shale Crescent, Vaughan, ON, Canada TITLE: ELEVATION 3 CHECKED: DS DRAWN: YS DATE: 27.04.2022 3/8"=1'-0" ROJECT NO VISION А 22-36 A6



DO NOT SCALE CONTRACTOR MUST VERIFY ALL INFORMATION PRIOR TO COMMENCEMENT OF THE WORK. ALL DRAWINGS & SPECIFICATIONS ARE PROPERTY OF UNICIPAL SEAL: ORANA INC. AND MUST BE RETURNED UPON COMPLETION OF THE WORK. DRAWINGS ARE NOT TO BE USED FOR OTHER PROJECTS WITHOUT THE WRITTEN CONSENT OF THE DESIGNER. NSULTANTS SEAL: PROFESSIONA LICENSED ENGINEER -Harmaler ynd H.SING/H 100156**1**44 2022/08/10 PROLINCE OF ONTARIO ____ YS 27.04.2022 A REVIEW BY: DATE: REV: DESCRIPTION: STATUS: REVIEW RANA 0 DRAFTING A DIVISION OF ORANA INC. Kristian Seeman 25 Shale Crescent, Vaughan, ON, Canada TITLE: **ELEVATION 4** CHECKED: DS DRAWN: YS DATE: 27.04.2022 3/8"=1'-0" ROJECT NO VISION A7 22-36 А

SCHEDULE B: COMMENTS FROM AGENCIES, BUILDING STANDARDS & DEVELOPMENT PLANNING

No 🖂	General Comments
No 🖂	Recommend Approval/No Conditions
-	No 🖂

External Agencies *Comments Received	Conditions Required		Nature of Comments *See Schedule B for full comments
Alectra	Yes 🗆	No 🖂	General Comments
Region of York	Yes 🗆	No 🖂	General Comments



То:	Christine Vigneault, Committee of Adjustment Secretary Treasurer
From:	Nancy Tuckett, Director of Development Planning
Date:	May 8, 2024
Name of Owners:	Melita and Eyal Sager
Location:	25 Shale Crescent
File No.(s):	A283/22

Proposed Variance(s) (By-law 001-2021):

- 1. To permit a residential accessory structure with a height greater than 2.8 metres to be located 0.6 metres to the rear lot line.
- 2. To permit a residential accessory structure with a height greater than 2.8 metres to be located 0.45 metres to the interior side lot line.
- 3. To permit a maximum encroachment of 3.87 metres into the required rear yard for an uncovered platform (deck) and access stairs.
- 4. To permit the eaves of the accessory building to be located a minimum distance of 0.3 metres to the nearest lot line.

By-Law Requirement(s) (By-law 001-2021):

- 1. A residential accessory structure with a height greater than 2.8 metres shall not be located closer than 2.4 metres to any lot line.
- 2. A residential accessory structure with a height greater than 2.8 metres shall not be located closer than 2.4 metres to any lot line.
- 3. A maximum encroachment of 2.4 metres is permitted into the minimum required rear yard for an uncovered platform, including access stairs, with a height less than 1.2 metres.
- 4. Unless otherwise permitted by this By-law, a minimum distance of 0.6 metres shall be required from any permitted encroachment to the nearest lot line.

Official Plan:

City of Vaughan Official Plan 2010 ('VOP 2010'): "Low-Rise Residential"

Comments:

The Owners are requesting relief to permit the existing cabana, and deck with stairs, with the above-noted variances.

Background

On June 22, 2023, the Committee of Adjustment adjourned the application sine dine to allow the Owners time to work with the Development Planning Department to address its concerns with extent of the setbacks proposed to the rear and east interior side lot lines for the deck. The revised application proposes a deck configuration that no longer requires relief for its proximity to the interior side lot line and lessens its projection into the rear yard. An at-grade patio south-east of the deck, which does not require relief, is proposed to replace the portion of the deck Development Planning Department Staff were concerned with.

<u>Analysis</u>

Development Planning Department Staff has no objection to Variances 1, 2, and 4 for the existing cabana. The cabana is modest in height and footprint and as such the reduction to the rear and interior side lot line setbacks will not pose a significant adverse massing impact to the abutting rear yards. The space between the cabana walls and fence is graveled and provides sufficient space for maintenance access. The 0.3 m encroachment of the eaves to the nearest lot line is minimal in nature given the modest height of the cabana and length of its walls. The eve projection does not pose any significant impact to the abutting properties and sufficient distance remains for occasional maintenance access if needed. The Development Engineering Department has also reviewed correspondence provided by the Owners of 21 Shale Crescent, noting



that they have no objection to the location of the cabana as the reduced setbacks to the interior side lot line have not resulted in ponding, pooling, or damming on their property.

Development Planning Department Staff has no objection to Variance 3 as the encroachment of the deck and stairs maintains sufficient space for access and drainage, and is not anticipated to have adverse impacts to the neighbouring properties. The encroachment into the rear yard will be mitigated by the eight (8) cedar trees along the rear property line. The vegetation in combination with the increased spatial separation of the deck from the rear lot line will help provide screen and buffer the deck activity from the abutting properties to the rear. The deck complies with interior side yard setback requirements of the Zoning By-law. As such, Development Planning Department Staff can support the redesigned deck configuration to encroach into the rear yard.

Accordingly, Development Planning Department Staff support the requested variances and are of the opinion that the proposal is minor in nature, maintains the general intent and purpose of the Official Plan and Zoning By-law, and is desirable for the appropriate development of the land.

Recommendation:

Development Planning Department Staff recommends approval of the application.

Conditions of Approval:

If the Committee finds merit in the application, the following conditions of approval are recommended:

None.

Comments Prepared by:

Alyssa Pangilinan, Planner I David Harding, Senior Planner



То:	Committee of Adjustment	
From:	Lindsay Haviland, Building Standards Department	
Date:	April 26, 2024	
Applicant:	Melita and Eyal Sager	
Location:	25 Shale Crescent PLAN 65M3985 Lot 12	
File No.(s):	A283/22	

Zoning Classification:

The subject lands are zoned R3 – Residential Zone Three and subject to the provisions of Exception 14.874 under Zoning By-law 001-2021, as amended.

# 1	Zoning By-law 001-2021 A residential accessory structure with a height greater than 2.8 metres shall not be located closer than 2.4 metres to any lot line. Section 4.1.2.1.b.	Variance requested To permit residential accessory structure with a height greater than 2.8 metres to be located 0.6 metres to the rear lot line.
2	A residential accessory structure with a height greater than 2.8 metres shall not be located closer than 2.4 metres to any lot line. Section 4.1.2.1.b.	To permit a residential accessory structure with a height greater than 2.8 metres to be located 0.45 metres to the interior side lot line.
3	A maximum encroachment of 2.4 metres is permitted into the minimum required rear yard for an uncovered platform, including access stairs, with a height less than 1.2 metres. Table 4-1	To permit a maximum encroachment of 3.87 metres into the required rear yard for an uncovered platform and access stairs.
4	Unless otherwise permitted by this By-law, a minimum distance of 0.6 metres shall be required from any permitted encroachment to the nearest lot line. Section 4.13.3	To permit the eaves of the accessory building to be located a minimum distance of 0.3 metres to the nearest lot line.

Staff Comments:

General Comments:

1

The applicant shall be advised that additional variances may be required upon review of detailed drawing for building permit/site plan approval.

Conditions of Approval:

None

* Comments are based on the review of documentation supplied with this application.

Lenore Providence

Subject: FW: [External] RE: A283/22 (25 Shale Crescent) - City of Vaughan, Request for Comments

From: Development Services <<u>developmentservices@york.ca</u>>

Sent: Thursday, November 10, 2022 11:52 AM

To: Christine Vigneault <<u>Christine.Vigneault@vaughan.ca</u>>
 Cc: Committee of Adjustment <<u>CofA@vaughan.ca</u>>
 Subject: [External] RE: A283/22 (25 Shale Crescent) - City of Vaughan, Request for Comments

Hi Christine,

The Regional Municipality of York has completed its review of the above minor variance and has no comment.

Many thanks,

Christine Meehan, B.U.R.PL. | Associate Planner, Programs and Process Improvement, Planning and Economic Development, Corporate Services

The Regional Municipality of York | 17250 Yonge Street | Newmarket, ON L3Y 6Z1 1-877-464-9675 x73012 | <u>christine.meehan@york.ca</u> | <u>www.york.ca</u> *Our Values: Integrity, Commitment, Accountability, Respect, Excellence*



Our Mission: Working together to serve our thriving communities - today and tomorrow

Please consider the environment before printing this email.



Date:November 8th 2022Attention:Christine VigneaultRE:Request for Comments

File No.: A283-22

Related Files:

- Applicant Melita and Eyal Sager
- Location 25 Shale Crescent



COMMENTS:

х

We have reviewed the proposed Variance Application and have no comments or objections to its approval.

We have reviewed the proposed Variance Application and have no objections to its approval, subject to the following comments (attached below).

We have reviewed the proposed Variance Application and have the following concerns (attached below).

Alectra Utilities (formerly PowerStream) has received and reviewed the proposed Variance Application. This review, however, does not imply any approval of the project or plan.

All proposed billboards, signs, and other structures associated with the project or plan must maintain minimum clearances to the existing overhead or underground electrical distribution system as specified by the applicable standards, codes and acts referenced.

In the event that construction commences, and the clearance between any component of the work/structure and the adjacent existing overhead and underground electrical distribution system violates the Occupational Health and Safety Act, the customer will be responsible for 100% of the costs associated with Alectra making the work area safe. All construction work will be required to stop until the safe limits of approach can be established.

In the event construction is completed, and the clearance between the constructed structure and the adjacent existing overhead and underground electrical distribution system violates the any of applicable standards, acts or codes referenced, the customer will be responsible for 100% of Alectra's cost for any relocation work.

References:

- Ontario Electrical Safety Code, latest edition (Clearance of Conductors from Buildings)
- Ontario Health and Safety Act, latest edition (Construction Protection)
- Ontario Building Code, latest edition (Clearance to Buildings)
- PowerStream (Construction Standard 03-1, 03-4), attached
- Canadian Standards Association, latest edition (Basic Clearances)

If more information is required, please contact either of the following:

Mr. Stephen Cranley, C.E.T Supervisor, Distribution Design, ICI & Layouts (North) *Phone*: 1-877-963-6900 ext. 31297

E-mail: <u>stephen.cranley@alectrautilities.com</u>

Mitchell Penner

Supervisor, Distribution Design-Subdivisions *Phone*: 416-302-6215

Email: Mitchell.Penner@alectrautilities.com



Stream Construction Standard 03-1

TOUR CORRENT CONNECTION				
	SYSTEM VOLTAGE			
LOCATION OF WIRES, CABLES OR CONDUCTORS	SPAN GUYS AND COMMUNICATIONS WIRES		4.16/2.4kV TO 27.6/16kV (SEE NOTE 1)	44kV
	MINIMUM VERTICAL CLEARANCES (SEE NOTE 2)			
OVER OR ALONGSIDE ROADS, DRIVEWAYS OR LANDS ACCESSIBLE TO <u>VEHICLES</u>	442cm	442cm	480cm	520cm
OVER GROUND ACCESSIBLE TO <u>PEDESTRIANS</u> AND <u>BICYCLES</u> ONLY	250cm	310cm	340cm	370cm
ABOVE TOP OF RAIL AT RAILWAY CROSSINGS	730cm	730cm	760cm	810cm
ATTACHMENT HEIGHT +GRADE DIFF. WINIMUM ATTACHMENT HEIGHT = MAXIMUM SAG + MINIMUM VERTICAL CLEARANCE (FROM ABOVE TABLE) ± GRADE DIFFERENCE + 0.3m (VEHICLE OR RAILWAY LOCATION) + SNOW DEPTH (PEDESTRIAN LOCATION, SEE NOTE 3) ATTACHMENT HEIGHT = MAXIMUM SAG + MINIMUM VERTICAL CLEARANCE (FROM ABOVE TABLE) ± GRADE DIFFERENCE + 0.3m (VEHICLE OR RAILWAY LOCATION) + SNOW DEPTH (PEDESTRIAN LOCATION, SEE NOTE 3)				
NOTES: 1. THE MULTIGROUNDED SYSTEM NEUTRAL HAS THE SAME CLEARANCE AS THE 600V SYSTEM. 520cm 17'-4" 480cm 16'-0" 442cm 15'-5"				
2. THE VERTICAL CLEARANCES IN THE ABOVE TABLE ARE UNDER MAXIMUM SAG CONDITIONS. 370cm 12'-4" 340cm 11'-4" 310cm 10'-4"				
3. REFER TO CSA STANDARD C22.3 No.1, ANNEX D FOR LOCAL SNOW DEPTH VALUES.				
4. ALL CLEARANCES ARE IN ACCORDANCE TO CSA STANDARD C22.3. REFERENCES SAGS AND TENSIONS SE				
MINIMUM VERTICAL CLEARANCES OF WIRES, CABLES AND CONDUCTORS ABOVE GROUND OR RAILS			This construction S	te of Approval standard meets the safety tion 4 of Regulation 22/04 <u>2012-JAN-09</u> Date

ORIGINAL ISSUE DATE: 2010-DEC-24 REVISION NO: R1 REVISION DATE: 2012-JAN-09

P.Eng. Approval By:

Joe Crozier



VOLTAGE	MINIMUM HORIZONTAL CLEARNACE UNDER MAXIMUM SWING CONDITIONS DIMENSION "X" (SEE NOTES 1, 3 & 4)	MINIMUM VERTICAL CLEARANCE UNDER MAXIMUM DESIGN SAG CONDITIONS DIMENSION "Y" (SEE NOTES 1, 2, 4 & 5)
0-600V AND NEUTRAL	100cm	250cm
4.16/2.4 TO 44kV	300cm	480cm

- NOTES UNDER NO CIRCUMSTANCES SHALL A CONDUCTOR BE PERMITTED TO PENETRATE THE ENVELOPE SHOWN BY THE DOTTED LINE. 1.
- 2 THE VERTICAL CLEARANCES ARE UNDER CONDITIONS OF MAXIMUM DESIGN SAG.
- THE HORIZONTAL CLEARANCES ARE UNDER CONDITIONS OF MAXIMUM SWING. WHERE THE CONDUCTOR SWING IS NOT KNOWN A HORIZONTAL CLEARANCE OF 480CM SHALL BE USED. 3.
- BUILDINGS THAT EXCEED 3 STOREYS OR 15M IN HEIGHT, THE MINIMUM HORIZONTAL CLEARANCE OF THE SECONDARY CONDUCTORS SHOULD BE INCREASED TO 300cm WHERE IT IS NECESSARY TO ALLOW FOR THE RAISING OF LADDERS BY LOCAL FIRE DEPARTMENTS. 4.
- IN SITUATIONS SUCH AS MULTI-LEVEL GARAGES, WHERE ROOFS ARE NORMALLY USED BY PERSONS AND VEHICLES, THE VERTICAL CLEARANCES OF POWERSTREAM STANDARD 03-1 SHALL APPLY. 5.
- DISTRIBUTION LINES CONSTRUCTED NEAR BUILDINGS SHALL BE BUILT TO AVOID OVERHANG WHEREVER POSSIBLE. WHERE LINES MUST BE CONSTRUCTED OVER OR ADJACENT TO BUILDINGS THE APPLICABLE HORIZONTAL AND VERTICAL CLEARANCES SHALL BE AT CONDITIONS OF MAXIMUM CONDUCTOR SWING AND MAXIMUM SAG. THE ABOVE CLEARANCES ARE DESIGNED TO PREVENT PERSONS ON OR IN BUILDINGS AS WELL AS EXTERNAL MACHINERY USED IN CONJUCTION WITH A BUILDING TO COME IN CONTACT WITH CONDUCTORS. EFFORTS SHOULD BE MADE TO INCREASE THESE CLEARANCES WHERE POSSIBLE 6. POSSIBLE.
- 7. ALL CLEARANCES ARE IN ACCORDANCE TO CSA C22.3 NO.1-06 (TABLE-9).

MINIMUM VERTICAL & HORIZONTAL CLEARANCES OF CONDUCTORS FROM BUILDINGS OR OTHER PERMANENT STRUCTURES (CONDUCTORS NOT ATTACHED TO BUILDINGS)

ORIGINAL ISSUE DATE: 2010-MAY-05 REVISION NO: REVISION DATE: PErsystem Flanding and Standards/Standard Design/FowerStream Standards/PowerStream Standards working folder/Section 2/3-4/0/WG 03-4 RD May 5, 2010 Adde PDF

CONVERSION TABLE		
METRIC	IMPERIAL (APPROX)	
480cm	16'-0"	
300cm	10'-0"	
250cm	8'-4"	
100cm	3'-4"	

Certificate of Approval This construction Standard meets the safety requirements of Section 4 of Regulation 22/04 Debbie Dadwani, P.Eng. 2010-MAY-05 Nam Date P.Eng. Approval By: D. Dadwani

/5/2010 8:22502 AM.

SCHEDULE C: PUBLIC & APPLICANT CORRESPONDENCE				
Correspondence Type	Name	Address	Date Received (mm/dd/yyyy)	Summary
Applicant			10/02/2022	Application Cover Letter
Public	Sari Liem	21 Shale Crescent	11/16/2022	Letter of Support
Public	Rajit Seahra	29 Shale Crescent	08/11/2022	Letter of Support
Applicant			06/20/2023	Planning Justification Report
Public	Nancy Zhu	86 Valley Vista Drive	06/20/2023	Letter of Objection
Applicant			06/22/2023	Presentation to Committee – June 22, 2023 Hearing

 From:
 Committee of Adjustment

 To:
 Committee of Adjustment

 Subject:
 [External] Re: Application A283/22, 25 Shale Crescent, Maple

 Date:
 Tuesday, June 20, 2023 9:23:37 AM

 Attachments:
 Tuesday

June 20, 2023

Secretary- Treasurer
Committee of Adjustment
City of Vaughan
2141 major Mackenzie Drive West
Vaughan Ontario
L6A 1T1
Dear members:

Re: Application A283/22 25 Shale Crescent, Maple

I am the owner of the property known as 86 Valley Vista Drive, Vaughan Ontario L6A 0Z3. Our property is located to the South of the subject property.

Our family object to the subject applications for the following reasons:

- 1. The structure is not desirable for the development of the subject land as it would not be compatible with the neighborhood.
- 2. Approving this application would set a dangerous precedent for the area.
- 3. The proposed variances are not minor.

Based on the foregoing, we respectfully request that the Committee dismiss the Application.

Please kindly ensure that we receive notice of the Committee's decision. Attached is a completed Request for Decision Form.

Thank you for your assistance.

Yours truly,

25 Shale Crescent

Committee of Adjustment Hearing

June 22, 2023

File Number A283/22

Site Plan



· — ·

LEGEND :

Deck Foundation Plan/

Legend

N

[]- Structural 0.254 meter sono tube, 6x6 post in 1.2 meters concrete

ii. Deck foundation- Augured 1.2 meter holes with 0.254 meter sono tubes 6x6 posts in concrete iii.Deck elevations- 0.71 meters iv.Deck Cross Section detail- Spacing for the joist is standard 16" max done from 2x6 Beams were done by 2x8



"DO NOT SCALE" CONTINUCTOR MULTI VIENTY ALL INFORMATION CONTINUCTOR MULTICENENT OF THE WORK, ALL DRWYINGS & SPECIFICATIONS AND FPROPERTY OF ORAMA INC. AND MUST BE RETURNED UPON COMPLETION OF THE WORK, DRAWINGS ARE NOT TO BE USED FOR OTHER PROJECTS WITHOUT THE WRITTEN COMENT OF THE DESIGNER.

Requested Variances

Proposed Variance(s) (By-law 001-2021):

- 1. To permit a minimum rear yard of 0.66 metres to the accessory building.
- 2. To permit a minimum interior side yard of 0.45 metres to the accessory building.
- 3. To permit the existing deck and steps to encroach up to 0.2 metres from the interior side lot line.
- 4. To permit a maximum encroachment of 4.8 metres into the required rear yard for the existing deck and steps.

Proposed Variance(s) (By-law 1-88):

- 5. To permit a minimum interior side yard of 0.2 metres to the existing uncovered deck and steps.
- 6. To permit a maximum encroachment of 6.3 metres into the required rear yard for the existing uncovered deck and steps.

By-Law Requirement(s) (By-law 001-2021):

- 1. A minimum rear yard of 2.4 metres is required to the accessory building.
- 2. A minimum interior side yard of 2.4 metres is required to the accessory building.
- 3. The existing uncovered deck and steps may encroach up to 0.6 metres from the interior side lot line.
- 4. A maximum rear yard encroachment for the existing uncovered deck and steps shall be 2.4 metres.

By-Law Requirement(s) (By-law 1-88):

- 5. A maximum encroachment of 0.3 metres is permitted into the minimum required interior side yard for the deck and stairs.
- 6. A maximum rear yard encroachment for the existing uncovered deck and steps is 1.8 metres.

Aerial View of Site From The East



Aerial View of Site From the Northeast

















Similar Developments in The Neighbourhood



Similar Developments in The Neighbourhood



Melita and Eyal Sager 25 Shale Crescent Vaughan ON L6A 0Z3

	1 1 1 1 1
Phone:	
E-mail:	

Please print Name: Home owner address:

Rajit Seahra 24 Shale Cres Vaughan, ON L6A023

August 11, 2022

Dear Recipient:

We are neighbours of Melita and Eyal Sager who reside at 25 Shale Crescent Vaughan ON L6A 0Z3.

We understand they have been renovating their backyard to include a new deck and cabana.

We are comfortable with the construction and permanent existence of both: the height and location of the cabana, and the deck size and proximity to the east side of their property.

The cabana dimensions 13 ft x 12 ft, and measures 12 inches on the west side of the backyard to the fence, and 18 inches to the south side of the fence. It is 3.8 m high.

The deck dimensions 6 ft x 18 ft, and measures 3 ft to the south side of the fence and 6 inches to the east side of the fence. It is 1m high.

Sincerely,

Rayit Seahra

Print/Name Here

Sign name here

Aug 29,2022





land use planning

development

April 25, 2023

April 25, 2023

23 Foxwood Road. Thornhill, ON L4J 9C4 Tel: 905.889.1564 | Fax: 905.889.6309 Website: mplaninc.com



Lenore Providence Administrative Coordinator - Committee of Adjustment City of Vaughan I Office of the City Clerk 2141 Major Mackenzie Dr., Vaughan, ON L6A 1T1

RE: A283/23 (25 Shale Crescent) Planning Justification Letter

Dear Ms. Providence,

MPlan Inc. was retained by the owners of 25 Shale Crescent to assist with their Minor Variance Application for their as built rear yard deck and cabana. Prior to being retained, MPlan Inc. reviewed the Committee of Adjustment File and visited the site on multiple occasions to confirm that the variances could be supported from a planning perspective. This resubmission and Planning Justification Letter is in support of the development.

Upon being retained it was clear that the plans that were submitted and the as built structure did not match. It was discovered that the plans submitted with the application display the original concept plan for the development. This concept plan was altered slightly during construction by reducing the overall height of the structure and altering the roof design from a flat roof to a peak roof. The plans have been revised to indicate the actual dimensions of the as built rear yard deck and accessory building. The revised plans indicate that the height and rear yard setback variances for the accessory building are no longer required.

Site and Surrounding Area

The subject property is located within a low-rise residential neighbourhood generally located north of Major Mackenzie Drive W and west of Bathurst Street. The subdivision is approximately 10-15 years old and consists of detached, semi-detached, and townhouse dwellings.

An anecdotal look around the neighbourhood indicates that there are multiple structures that would have required similar variances. Based on a review of variances in the neighbourhood it appears that there are only a few properties with similar variances that were requested. A further anecdotal look around the neighbourhood during a neighbourhood visit indicates that there are multiple developments that would have required similar variances but there are no records of applications. This is not surprising since it is common knowledge that many people do not apply for variances when constructing decks and accessory structures. Regardless of the legality of many of these structures, they have become part of the built form character of the neighbourhood.

Description of Development

The proposed development consists of a rear deck which extends from the rear of the dwelling and extends to the east side of the property, providing access to a hot tub. At the southwest corner of the rear yard is a cabana made of cedar with two openings that face the rear yard and is closed towards the neighbouring properties to provide privacy for the homeowner and neighbours.

<u>History</u>

The proposed development was built in the summer of 2021. The owner hired a contractor to design and build the deck, hot tub, cabana, and surrounding landscaping. It was the owners understanding that all permits were obtained for the structures prior to construction beginning and being completed. At some point the owners received a visit from a building inspector who deemed that some of the structures were not compliant with the Zoning By-law.

This led to an application for the Minor Variance. The owner submitted the original plans that were provided and received a notice of the required variances based on those set of plans. Later it was realised that the plans that were originally submitted were altered during construction by reducing the height of the overall structure and changing the roof from a flat roof to a peak roof.

Urban Design Staff reviewed the 1st circulation of the proposed development concept for the site as mentioned above, submitted on November 15, 2022, and provide the following comment:

Site Plan:

1. The cabana structure is excessively high and too close to the common property boundaries and can adversely impact the neighboring properties. Staff advises on reducing the height the minimum requirements per code or increasing the setbacks to 1.5 meters minimum to provide hedge planting to visually screen the structure.

In the Urban Design Comments of November 15, 2022, based on the old plan, it was suggested that the Applicant either reduce the height of the accessory building to be compliant with the Zoning By-law or move the structure.

This comment was based on the first submission with a height variance required for the Accessory Building of 3.8m. The revised plans show that the height has been reduced to 2.7m and the structure is compliant with the maximum permitted height and minimum

rear yard setback for an Accessory Building in By-law 001-2021, which will be discussed in the next section. The reduction in height is compliant with the By-law and satisfies the comments from Urban Design.

The owner has prepared revised plans which indicate the as-built situation of the rear yard development.

Revised Plans

The owner has had revised plans prepared which indicate the as-built situation of the rear yard development. The revised plans show that the Accessory Building has a height of 3.0m to the top of the peak roof and a height of 2.4m to the eaves. The definition for height in Zoning By-law 001-2021 states that in the case of a sloped roof, the height shall be measured to the mean height between the eaves and the ridge.

Height: Means in reference to a building or structure, the vertical distance measured from established grade to:

i. In the case of a flat roof, including any roof where more than half of the roof area has a slope of 15 degrees or less above the horizontal, the highest point of the roof surface or parapet, whichever is the greater;

ii. in the case of a sloped roof, the mean height between the eaves and the ridge; or,

iii. In the case of any structure with no roof, the highest point of the structure.

The revised plans show that the height of the accessory building is 3.0m to the roof and 2.4m to the eaves, creating a mean height of 2.7m. Therefore, the height of the accessory building should be considered to be 2.7m based on the definition in By-law 001-2021. The accessory building (cabana) is within the maximum permitted height regulations for height in By-law 001-2021 (2.8m) and By-law 1-88 (3m).

Zoning By-law 001-2021 indicates that a residential accessory structure with a height greater than 2.8 m shall not be located closer than 2.4 m to any lot line. In all other cases, a residential accessory structure shall not be located closer than 0.6 m to any lot line. As stated earlier, the height of the structure in By-law 001-2021 is considered to be 2.7m and the 0.66m rear yard setback for the accessory building is compliant with the Zoning By-law.

Based on the revised plans, variances 1, 2, and 6 are no longer required.

Minor Variances

The City of Vaughan Building Standards Department prepared a Zoning review dated January 3, 2023. Based on that review, ten variances were required in total for the

application. These variances are duplicated between the new Zoning By-law 001-2021 and the old Zoning By-law 1-88. There were 5 variances required from each By-law.

The revised plans have updated the measurements of the as built situation and the following changes have been made:

Zoning By-law 001-2021

1. A maximum building height of 3.0 metres is permitted for the accessory building. S. 4.1.4

To permit a maximum building height of 3.8 metres for the accessory building. This variance is no longer required based on the measurements in the revised plans.

2. A minimum rear yard of 2.4 metres is required to the accessory building. S. 4.1.2

To permit a minimum rear yard of 0.6 metres to the accessory building.

Removed: This variance is no longer required based on the measurements in the revised plans.

3. A minimum interior side yard of 2.4 metres is required to the accessory building. S.4.1.2

To permit a minimum interior side yard of 0.6 metres to the accessory building. **Revised:**

A minimum interior side yard of 0.6 metres is required to the accessory building. S.4.1.2

To permit a minimum interior side yard of 0.45 metres to the accessory building.

4. The existing uncovered deck and steps may encroach up to 0.6 metres from the interior side lot line. Table 4-1

To permit the existing deck and steps to encroach up to 1.2 metres from the interior side lot line. (0.0m interior side yard)

5. A maximum rear yard encroachment for the existing uncovered deck and steps shall be 2.4 metres. Table 4-1

To permit a maximum encroachment of 6.3 metres into the required rear yard for the existing deck and steps. (1.2m rear yard)

Zoning By-law 1-88

6. A maximum building height of 3.0 metres is permitted measured from the average finished grade to the nearest part of the roof of the accessory building. S.4.1.1

To permit a maximum building height of 3.048 metres to the nearest part of the roof of the accessory building.

Removed: This will be removed based on the measurements in the revised plans.

7. A minimum rear yard of 7.5 metres is required to the accessory building. S.4.1.1

To permit a minimum rear yard of 0.6 metres to the accessory building. Revised: To permit a minimum rear yard of 0.66 metres to the accessory building.

8. A minimum interior side yard of 1.2 metres is required to the accessory building. S.4.1.1

To permit a minimum interior side yard of 0.6 metres to the accessory building. Revised: To permit a minimum interior side yard of 0.45 metres to the accessory building.

9. A minimum interior side yard of 1.2 metres is required to the existing uncovered deck and steps. S.3.14(I)

To permit a minimum interior side yard of 0.0 metres to the existing uncovered deck and steps.

10. A maximum rear yard encroachment for the existing uncovered deck and steps is 1.8 metres. (5.7 metre rear yard) S.3.14(c)

To permit a maximum encroachment of 6.3 metres into the required rear yard for the existing uncovered deck and steps. (1.2m rear yard)

THE FOUR TESTS:

Official Plan (General Intent and Purpose)

The subject site is designated as Low-rise residential in the City of Vaughan Official Plan. This land use designation allows for residential units and other accessory uses that are no greater than 3 storeys in height.

The proposed development and requested variances will allow for a rear yard deck and rear yard accessory structure to a low-rise residential dwelling.

The proposed development conforms to the City of Vaughan Official Plan.

Zoning By-law (General Intent and Purpose)

The subject lands are zoned R3 – Residential Zone Three and subject to the provisions of Exception 14.874 under Zoning By-law 001-2021, as amended. The subject lands are zoned RD3 Residential Detached Zone Three and subject to the provisions of Exception 9(1231) under Zoning By-law 1-88, as amended.

Height of the Accessory Building (Variance 1 and 6).

As stated earlier, the revised plans indicate the true size of the accessory building which is compliant with the Zoning By-law. Therefore, the variances related to the height of the accessory structure/building are no longer required in By-law 001-2021 or By-law 1-88.

Rear Yard Setback for the Accessory Structure (Variance 2 and 7).

The accessory structure is setback 0.66 metres from the rear lot line which is the minimum required setback required in By-law 001-2021 for an accessory building that is not greater than 2.8m. Therefore, the variance is no longer required from By-law 001-2021 (Variance 2). This variance is still required in By-law 1-88 (Variance 7). This regulation is intended to ensure that proper drainage can occur between lots and to preserve the privacy and enjoyment of rear yard amenity space for adjacent properties. The setback to the rear yard lot line allows for drainage. The structure acts as a privacy screen between the neighbours and there is a row of trees planted behind the structure providing a buffer to the adjacent property from the rear yard.

Interior Side yard to the Accessory Building (Variance 3 and 8)

In By-law 001-2021 the minimum required setback for an accessory building that is not greater than 2.8m is 0.6m (Variance 3). In By-law 1-88, a minimum interior side yard of 1.2 metres is required to the accessory building (Variance 8). The accessory structure is setback 0.45 metres from the west lot line. This regulation is intended to ensure that proper drainage can occur between lots and to preserve the privacy and enjoyment of rear yard amenity space for adjacent properties. Multiple properties in the neighbourhood have accessory structures with a similar setback. The location allows for drainage to flow adequately and allows for the applicants to utilize their backyard appropriately. The accessory structure is a wood structure which provides privacy between the neighbours and does not create any shadow impacts on the neighbouring property. It should be noted that a letter of support was received and submitted by the neighbour to the affected side yard.

A minimum interior side yard to the existing uncovered deck and steps (Variance 4 and 9).

Variance 4 and Variance 9 relates to the sideyard setback of the uncovered deck. Bylaw 001-2021 allows an encroachment of 0.6m into the 1.2m sideyard setback for an uncovered deck and stairs (Variance 4). In By-law 1-88 a minimum interior side yard of 1.2 metres is required to the existing uncovered deck and steps (Variance 9). Whereas there is a 0.0m setback to the east side yard lot line. This regulation is intended to protect privacy between adjacent properties. It should be noted that the neighbour to the east has provided a letter of support for the proposed development. There is a large privacy fence erected and the neighbour to the east has large cedars planted along that side yard lot line which provides a buffer to block any potential privacy impacts. There are no impacts on the adjacent property to the east.

A maximum rear yard encroachment for the existing uncovered deck and steps is 1.8 metres (Variance 5 and 10).

This variance relates to the setback of the deck from the rear yard. The permitted encroachment is 2.4 metres in By-law 001-2021 (Variance 5) and 1.8 metres in By-law 1-88 (Variance 10). This regulation is intended to control the rear yard amenity space and to preserve the privacy and enjoyment of rear yard amenity space for adjacent properties. A row of cedars has been planted along the rear lot line providing a buffer to the adjacent property from the rear yard to provide a privacy buffer between the lots.

For the reasons discussed above, the proposed development and associated minor variances meet the general intent and purpose of the Zoning By-law.

Appropriate Development of Property

The development of the rear yard deck and accessory building is a simple design that maximizes the usable space of the rear yard for outdoor amenity purposes. The accessory building has a height which is reduced from the previous plans and satisfies the comment from Urban Design. The building will not create shadow issues on neighbouring properties and will enhance privacy for adjacent neighbours. Cedars have been planted along the rear lot line to reduce any visual impacts of the accessory structure and provide privacy from the rear yard deck. The neighbour to the west has provided a letter of support for the proposed accessory building.

The rear yard deck extends along the east side of the rear yard. It is a small deck which allows for direct access to the hot tub from the dwelling. Overall, the size of the deck is modest and has received support from the neighbour to the east, which shares the lot line affected by the reduced setback.

When walking around the neighbourhood, it can be observed that there are many backyards with similar conditions including the same type of deck and accessory structure locations.

Therefore, the proposed development is appropriate for the subject property and the surrounding neighbourhood.

Is the Variance Minor

The test of whether a variance is minor relates to the impact it might have on adjacent properties.

The variances relating to side yard setback to the east side yard line will have no impact as there is a row of tall trees along the property line which provides screening between the two rear yards. The side yard setback along the west side yard is related to the cabana, which acts as a privacy screen and has enough space to allow for drainage between the neighbouring properties. There are no shadow impacts on adjacent properties and the neighbour to the west has provided a letter of support for the proposed minor variance.

The variances related to the rear yard setback for the deck are minor as they only affect a small portion of the deck. A row of cedars have been planted along the rear property line as a buffer and provide additional privacy. There are no undesirable adverse impacts created by the proposed development on adjacent properties.

Therefore, it is my opinion that the variances are minor.

Conclusion

The proposed application for Minor Variance meets the four tests under the Planning Act and therefore should be approved.

Respectfully submitted,

Joshua Chitiz

Joshua Chitiz, MCIP, RPP.

April 25, 2023

Melita and Eyal Sager 25 Shale Crescent Vaughan ON L6A 0Z3



Please print Name:

Home owner address:

EM 6A 07 VAUCAton

November 16, 2022

Dear Recipient:

We are neighbours of Melita and Eyal Sager who reside at 25 Shale Crescent Vaughan ON L6A 0Z3.

We understand they have been renovating their backyard to include a new deck and cabana.

We are comfortable with the construction and permanent existence of both: the height and location of the cabana, and the deck size and proximity to the east side of their property.

There has been no pooling, ponding or damming on our property as a result of the construction in their backyard.

Sincerely,

Print Name Here

Sign name here

Date 19/16/2001





October 3, 2022

To Whom it May Concern:

Millennium Landscapes Design & Construction is a landscape construction company that has been working with Melita & Ayel Rudner to complete a backyard landscape project. The project included a deck and a cabana which was subcontracted out to a carpenter. The first contractor hired had started a building permit application (22 116981 000 00 D). This contractor could not fulfill his obligations with the project so it was then subcontracted out to another contractor HomeFix by Drew Shacklady. He assured both me and the homeowners that the permits were issued and continued to build and complete the project. However, the building and grading permits were never completed or issued. Millennium Landscape Design & Construction took over the permit applications. A grading permit was successfully issued but there were some deficiencies identified for the cabana. As previously mentioned, this structure has already been completed so the drawings could not be changed to receive the building permit. We have opted to apply for a minor variance to rectify the situation. We have collected letters from the neighbours ensuring they have no complaints with the Cabana.

Sincerel Justin Ferreira

Justin Ferreira Owner Millennium Landscape Design & Construction 416.433.9970 justin@millenniumldc.com Gallery: millenniumldc.com



SCHEDULE D: BACKGROUND

None