Communication: C163 Committee of the Whole (PM) April 4, 2023 Item #4

From: Clerks@vaughan.ca
To: Jacquelyn Gillis

Subject: FW: [External] Comments on files OP.22.022 and Z.22.043

Date: Monday, April 3, 2023 9:30:59 AM

----Original Message----

From: Sent: Monday, April 03, 2023 9:28 AM

To: Clerks@vaughan.ca

Subject: [External] Comments on files OP.22.022 and Z.22.043

To City of Vaughan:

As a home owner and resident of Upper Thornhill Estate, my family and I do not want high rise buildings on Queen Filomena Ave. Our reasons are as below:

- The proposed high rise will change the area from low density to medium/high density, changing the area become
 more traffic, more noisy, more pollution. The walking trails and the parks would become more crowded. We have
 been living in the same house for 16 years and we never expected any condo building in the area, the high rise was
 not in the original plan and it should not be changed.
- 2. The condo high rise will have negative impact to our investment, i.e. our principal home value. The area is named Upper Thornhill Estate so it should be an area of estate homes, not high rise. Although the houses in the area are not huge lots, the average price is over 2 million, many houses are over 3 million. The Condo units will bring down the average price. Who will compensate our loss?
- 3. Our quality of living in Upper Thornhill Estate will drop. My family and I agreed with what my neighbours are saying no high rise on Queen Filomena: increased traffic; overcrowding; reduced safety; strain on local services.

Thank you for your attention.

Bill

Sir Stevens Drive home owner

Sent from my iPhone