## ADDENDUM AGENDA ITEM 9 COMMITTEE OF ADJUSTMENT

## MacPherson, Adriana

Subject:

Attachments:

FW: [External] A174/19 - UPDATE on SIGNATURES in opposition for the variances (76 Chaiwood Crt.,Maple) Signature.pdf

From: Amir Hashemi <

Sent: May-24-20 2:22 PM

To: Committee of Adjustment <CofA@vaughan.ca>; Vigneault, Christine <Christine.Vigneault@vaughan.ca>;
 MacPherson, Adriana <Adriana.MacPherson@vaughan.ca>
 Cc: Clerks@vaughan.ca
 Subject: [External] A174/19 - UPDATE on SIGNATURES in opposition for the variances (76 Chaiwood Crt.,Maple)

Dear Chair and Committee of Adjustment Members,

I would like to point out significant **important updates on signature withdrawals and letter retraction on TEN signatures** pertaining to opposition for the variances application A174/19 (76 Chaiwood Crt), obtained by providing either false information or in error by Kai Wang and Chun (Trina) Wang for petition against the proposed accessory structure.

On Saturday May 23, 2020 we have spoken with the residents listed below who told us that they are either in support of our application or not against it.

Great majority of residents listed below stated that they originally signed the opposing petition "against" because they were told by Chun (Trina) Wang that:

- the main issued with the application was the smell coming from the proposed bathroom.
- Chun (Trina) Wang firmly stated to the residents that the "pipes that lead to the bathroom and sewer in the bathroom would freeze and burst during winter and the sewage waste could flood and contaminate neighbouring yards."
- <u>Chun (Trina) Wang, who is also a Registered Real Estate Broker & Salesperson with HOMELIFE NEW WORLD</u> <u>REALTY INC told several residents that the value of their homes will be reduced significantly because of the bad</u> <u>smell and contamination coming from the proposed bathroom.</u>
- They were either aggressively pursued to sign and the only way to get out of the conversation was to sign, or they did not even fully understand what they were signing and just wanted to be done with the conversation.
- Not one resident expressed their concern regarding the height or setback of the proposed accessory structure.

We have obtained two signatures from residents of 87 Chaiwood Court and 67 Moraine Hill Drive that would like to be removed from the list of "against" opposing petition. Two additional residents from 76 Randolph Drive and 48 Moraine Hill Drive stated that they will email the COFA in order to remove their names from the "opposition" petition list also.

Various other residents who are originally listed in the "against"/ "opposition" petition have agreed to support it, but were able to provide verbal consent only due to social distancing and COVID19 and nature of coming close and sharing pens/paper for signatures. Residents at addresses listed below expressed their agreement that should the COFA contact them, they will confirm that they are not against but actually "in favour" or do not have any concerns of the proposed accessory structure.

- <u>87 Chaiwood Court</u> Kejian (Kevin) Zhang and Xinlei (Lily) Wang The resident/owner of this address, who wrote the letter of objection, has decided to withdraw her letter of opposition signature and instead support the application and signed "in favour" on May 24, 2020. (*please see attached*). The homeowner was originally told wrong information about the project and therefore signed "against" based on incorrect facts. She does not mind the proposed accessory structure.
- <u>70 Chaiwood Court</u> The resident/owner of this address did not sign a petition; there is no signature on the signature list.

- <u>40 Moraine Hill Drive</u> Victor Gavrish The resident/owner of said address said he does not mind the proposed structure and would like to be taken off the list. The resident stated that he only wants to make sure the toilet/sewage pipes are installed correctly and there is no smell from the bathroom.
- 4. <u>47 Moraine Hill Drive</u> Leon Efimov The resident/owner of this address said he does not mind the proposed structure. He said he would confirm his "in support" position to the COFA if he was contacted.
- <u>48 Moraine Hill Drive</u> Tilla Reyhani The residents/owners of this address both said they absolutely do not mind the proposed structure and would confirm their "in favour" in support position to the COFA if they were contacted. They also stated that they will send email to COFA asking to be removed from the list.
- 6. <u>67 Moraine Hill Drive</u> Alexander Serman The resident/owner of this address has decided to support the application and signed "in favour" on May 24, 2020. (*please see attached signature*). Please withdraw his opposition signature and instead support the application. He is not opposed to accessory structure and stated that it does not affect him in any way.
- 7. <u>90 Randolph Drive</u> The residents/owners of this address both said they absolutely do not mind the proposed structure and would confirm their "in support" position to the COFA if they were contacted.
- <u>95 Randolph Drive</u> The resident/owner of this address said he does not mind the proposed structure. He
  expressed his position of support for this accessory structure as long as the toilet/sewage pipes are installed
  correctly and there is no smell from the bathroom.
- 9. <u>87 Randolph Drive</u> Bojun Shi The resident/owner of this address stated she does not mind the proposed structure as long as the toilet/sewage pipes are installed correctly and there is no smell from the bathroom.
- <u>76 Randolph Drive</u> Emilie Klimitz The residents/owners of this address both said they absolutely do not mind the proposed structure and would confirm their "in support" position to the COFA if they were contacted. The resident said it does not affect her in any way and she does not mind it. They stated that they will send an email to COFA asking to be removed from the list.

## Additional corrections:

Irina Golikov resides at 66 Moraine Hill Drive.

Daniel Greenberg whose name is mentioned without address and counts as a vote lives at 58 Moraine Hill Drive. His "against" vote is listed in twice in "multiple" column address signature.

Kai Wang and Chun Wang reside at 62 Moraine Hill Drive. Chun Wang also goes by the name -Trina Wang - that is listed as 66 Moraine Hill Drive. Chun/Trina Wang does not live there. Her signature was counted twice.

Thank you in advance for your time and consideration in this matter.

Respectfully,

Kateryna Hashemi and Amir Hashemi Resident of 76 Chaiwood Crt. Applicants of A174/19

67 Moraine Hill Drive constructuren third Alexander Sermon

e - .

87 Chaiwood Court I don't mind construction sturke Lily Wang That's fine for me.

Reservated They's