

**Communication : C 2**  
**Committee of the Whole (Public Meeting)**  
**September 13, 2022**  
**Agenda Item # 5**

**From:** danielegmessina [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Monday, August 29, 2022 1:37 PM  
**To:** Clerks@vaughan.ca; Council@vaughan.ca; Kemi Apanisile <Kemi.Apanisile@vaughan.ca>  
**Subject:** [External] Notice of Public meeting response re CACOELI TERRA VAUGHAN LTD file no OP.22.006 and Z.22.009

---

Good afternoon,  
I'd like to write in response to a Notice I received Regarding  
Applicant: CACOELI TERRA VAUGHAN LTD.  
File # OP.22.006 and Z.22.009

I am a resident of Maple and I would also like my response submitted into the meeting.

In regards to this application by CACOELI TERRA VAUGHAN LTD. Re: 10811 & 10819 Jane St, I feel that land developers should abide by the current land use and its guidelines. In this particular area, this was part of Maple's original founding areas. There are a number of reasons not to allow a mid-high rise building development in this area. If every developer was allowed to buy property on the greenbelt and other protected lands, wait for 5-10 years to pass and then build condo's, homes and other buildings, we would be destroying our environment land parcel by land parcel. Within this designated land, there are many trees and plants that help our environment and wildlife stay healthy. If all these lands within the "Hamlet of Teston" are turned into Condos, we will be destroying a part of Maple's Heritage and it's green environment. Furthermore, now that the lands South West of Teston Rd/Jane are currently being developed commercially the pollution, vehicle traffic and safety of the community will be at high risk. Myself, I bought in Maple at the corner of Jane/Teston Rd almost 15 years ago with the knowledge of the Master planned community. I am frustrated that there are so many applications within a small community requesting developments that are way beyond the lands permitted use. I feel that this application in particular should be only be allowed to build as per its designated use. The supportive care facility is a great idea. However, if the intentions are for a care facility, then stick to the 2 Storey building and make it a fully operational care facility. This isn't the first developer to use this "care facility" as a way to get their application approved and it will most likely not be the last. I am hoping that this council looks at this application very carefully and considers the negative affect this application of land development will have on the community of Maple and its residents moving forward. I'd like to make it clear that I do not support this application and I would only support an application that suits the current land designation.

Respectfully,  
Daniele Messina