

COMMUNICATION C12.

ITEM NO. 13

COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE (2)

May 10, 2022

Assunta Ferrante

From: Clerks@vaughan.ca
Sent: Tuesday, May 10, 2022 9:29 AM
To: Assunta Ferrante
Subject: FW: [External] Item 13 CW Block 11 District Park and Library Cost Sharing

From: Cam Milani <cam.milani@milanigroup.ca>
Sent: Monday, May 09, 2022 8:35 PM
To: Clerks@vaughan.ca; Sandra Yeung Racco <Sandra.Racco@vaughan.ca>; Linda Jackson <Linda.Jackson@vaughan.ca>; Gino Rosati <Gino.Rosati@vaughan.ca>; Mario Ferri <Mario.Ferri@vaughan.ca>; Maurizio Bevilacqua <Maurizio.Bevilacqua@vaughan.ca>; Matthew Di Vona <matthew@divonalaw.com>; Marilyn lafrate <Marilyn.lafrate@vaughan.ca>; Tony Carella <Tony.Carella@vaughan.ca>; Alan Shefman <Alan.Shefman@vaughan.ca>; Rosanna DeFrancesca <Rosanna.DeFrancesca@vaughan.ca>; Haiqing Xu <Haiqing.Xu@vaughan.ca>; Vince Musacchio <Vince.Musacchio@vaughan.ca>; Nick Spensieri <Nick.Spensieri@vaughan.ca>
Subject: [External] Item 13 CW Block 11 District Park and Library Cost Sharing

Please include these comments to Committee on the above noted item.

<https://pub-vaughan.escribemeetings.com/Meeting.aspx?Id=899de636-020f-4de3-861b-334189b2fed8&Agenda=Addendum&lang=English&Item=33&Tab=attachments>

I see Vaughan Purchased these lands in June 2010 for \$11.5M. Did Vaughan enter into the Block 11 Developers Group Agreement as a landowner developing in the area? If not, why not? If so, can you provide the agreement? Did the \$11.5M include provisions for payment towards Community Use Lands Ultimate Storm water outfall land and construction?

I see Vaughan is proposing to enter into a new Developer Group Agreement for the interim design, however, should the taxpayers be paying twice for infrastructure already provided to them in the Block 11 Agreement? A quick scan of property ownership in the area seems to indicate that a private entity owns where the Ultimate SWM pond will go. My guess is that private owner is not part of the Block 11 Developer Group Agreement yet and perhaps is a non participating owner, thus creating the need for this interim design scenario? What assurances do the taxpayers have that the ultimate design will occur? The interim design seems like a bandaid to a problem in Block 11 surrounding the Block 11 Developers Group Agreement showing the City's Storm solution on lands that are not even party to the Block 11 Agreement. I could be wrong on the facts, as that owner may be a party to the agreement so I am simply asking the question. Perhaps that owner has issues with the Agreement itself, which is not uncommon.

If the facts are correct, perhaps Vaughan should initiate a review of the Block 11 Agreement to see how solutions can be found to avoid unnecessary interim costs to the taxpayer and have that ultimate storm pond constructed or other ultimate solutions found. Interim solutions throw tax payer money away. Why cant Vaughan have the ultimate solution today? Is there any reasonable prospect that the Ultimate solution is achievable?

--

Cam Milani II
Milani Group
11333 Dufferin St., PO Box 663

Maple, ON., L6A 1S5
PH: (905) 417-9591 x 223
FAX: (905) 417-9034